10580

FORM C&GS-504

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Y Shoreline(Photogrammetric)
Field No. Office No. T-10580
LOCALITY
StateAlaska
General locality Gulf of Esquibel
Locality Culebra and St. Philip Islands
1956
CHIEF OF PARTY
W.F. Deane, Baltimore District Office
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES
DATE

USCOMM-DC 37022-P66

* THIS SURVEY IS INCOMPLETE . REFER TO PAGES 14 8 15

FORM	C&GS-181a
(3-66)	

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

	DRT - DATA RECORD	COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
THESE RECORDS ARE INCOMPLETE -	REFER TO REMARKS	AT BOTTOM OF PAGE 3
PH-87		
FILD OFFICE (II):	CHIEF OF PART	· Y
USCEGS Ship HODGSON	Single of Take	
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):	OFFICER-IN-CH	ARGE
Paltimone Manuland		
Baltimore, Maryland INSTRUCTIONS DATED (II) (III):	William	F. Deane
Off	ice: 7 Nov. 1955 13 Nov. 1956 15 July 1957 30 Oct. 1957	
METHOD OF COMPILATION (III): Graphic		
MANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):	STEREOSCOPIC PLOTTING IN	STRUMENT SCALE (III):
1:10,000		
DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFICE (IV):	DATE REPORTED TO NAUTIC	AL CHART BRANCH (IV):
APPLIED TO CHART NO.	DATE:	DATE REGISTERED (IV):
GEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):	VERTICAL DATE	JM (III): MHW
NA 1927	MEMNASEX NEXT Elevations shown Elevations shown	THIN (X EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS: as (25) refer to mean high water as (5) refer to sounding datum ter or mean lower low water
REFERENCE STATION (III):		
CULL, 1907-21		
LAT.: LONG.: 55° 39° 26.901" 133° 26° 49.2	XXADJUSTED □ UNADJUSTED	,
PLANE COORDINATES (IV):	STATE	ZONE
Y = X =	Alaska	U.T.M. 8
ROMAN NUMERALS INDICATE WHETHER THE ITEM IS TO BE ENTER OR (IV) WASHINGTON OFFICE.	ED BY (II) FIELD PARTY, (III)	PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE,

WHEN ENTERING NAMES OF PERSONNEL ON THIS RECORD GIVE THE SURNAME AND INITIALS, NOT INITIALS ONLY.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II):		DATE:
		
MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE	AND METHOD OF LOCATIONIS	
0000	: A 70°C 7 1	m la a
Uffice interpretation of	· August 1956 nine-lens photogra	pus.
CONTRACTION AND COURS ON THE CONTRACT		DATE
PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV):		DATE
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV):		DATE
CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III):		DATE
		}.
		<u> </u>
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):		DATE
7		
RADIAL PLOTXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ENSION BY (III):	DATE
E. L. Williams		January 1958
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):	PLANIMETRY	DATE
]	
	CONTOURS	DATE
	<u> </u>	
MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III):		DATE
SCRIBING BY (III):		DATE
PHOTOCONNIETDIC OFFICE DEVICE BY I'm		- BATE
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):		DATE
REMARKS:		-L

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

MERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):

Nine-lens

PHOTOGRAPHS (III)					
NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE	STAGE OF TIDE	
54596 and 54597	8-12-56	1502	1:10,000	6.3' above MLLW	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

TIDE (II	1)			Divrnal
		RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	RANGE
REFERENCE STATION: Sitka			7.7	9.9
BORDINATE STATION: Anguilla Island			8.2	10.3
SUBORDINATE STATION:				
WASHINGTON OFFICE REVIEW BY (IV): Leo F. Beugnet, AMO	3	June 1	.969	
PROOF EDIT BY (IV):		DATE:		
NUMBER OF TRIANGULATION STATIONS SEARCHED FOR (II):	RECOVERED:	IDENTIFIE	ED:	<u>.</u>
NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FOR (II) :	RECOVERED:	IDENTIFIE	D	
NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FOR (II):	RECOVERED:	IDENTIFIE	ED	<u>.</u>

NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PHOTO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):


NUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):

REMARKS:

Form 181a, 181b and 181c prepared by the final reviewer. There is no other data available.

COMPILATION RECORD	. (COMPLETION	DATE	REMARKS
				
Compiled		1958	· .	
Final Review		June 1969)	
		· ———	<u>:</u>	·
	• •			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		:
,			. •	
-			1.	· , •

Prince of Wales Island, Alaska



Officia	al Miles Accoun	de for	Cost
Sheet	Area	Lin.	Mi.
No.	Sq.M1.	Shorel	ine
9621	12	10	
9622	. 16	11	
9623	15	. 7	
9624	17	12	
9625	Ζļ	11	
9626	<u>ر ب</u>	ج ج	Ţ
9621 9622 9623 9624 9625 9626 9627 9628 9630	15	12	
9620	74	. 4	
9630	. 7	Š	,
11100	ર ર્ર	16	
11101	9	8	•
11102	18	10	
11103	16	15	
11100 11101 11102 11103 10380	6	. 4	
10381	5	10	•
10382	8	. 2	
10383	6	, g	
10381 10382 10383 10384 10385	\mathcal{L}	Ş	
10305	4	ับ ว	
10386 10387	4	7	
10388	3	<u>.</u>	
10388 10389 10390	7	. 12	
10390	6	16	,
3 (13(3)	Ū.	12	
10392 10393 10394 10395 10396 10397	8	7	
10393	12	10	
10394	2	4	
10395	5	, 8	
10396	2	4	,
10397	· T	<u> </u>	• '
10220	1.	7	
10399 10400	4	77	
10400	1	. 2	
10/102	2	3	•
10403	. 3	6	•
114.27	í	1	
1040/	· 5	10	
10405	Σ	2	
10400 10401 10402 10403 11427 10405 10405	ğ	Ţ	
10407 10408	کے	2	
TOTO9	59.M1.1572.4572.986586749637648225213461231528850	10 17 11 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	
10409	J. U	10,	
	ه فسد	,	
	• •		

Project Ph-87

Ç.			
10570 (10571 (10572 (10573 (10575 (10576 (10579 (10579 (1058) (1058) (1058) (1058) (1058) (1058) (1058) (1058) (1058)	1158327124222		11624121262965
10580	_2		2
10581	12		9
10502	2	•	ָני מ
10583	_		2

41.2

378

OTAL

SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-10580

Shoreline survey T-10580 is one of 58 similar surveys in project PH-87. It covers the Culebra Islands and part of St. Philip Island in the Gulf of Esquibel. See page 5 for the area of the survey within the project.

The only field work accomplished for this survey consisted of identification of horizontal control prior to compilation. There was no field inspection or field edit.

Compilation was at 1:10,000 scale by graphic methods using the nine-lens photography of August 1956. There is nothing to indicate that this survey was ever provided for photo-hydro support use. There are no contemporary hydrographic surveys within the limits of the map.

The manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 5 minutes in longitude which was drafted and reproduced on cronaflex. One cronaflex positive and a negative are provided for record and registry.

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT Project Ph-87 Surveys T-10570 through T-10583

21. AREA COVERED

This radial plot covers the area of surveys T-10570 through T-10583.

These surveys cover that part of southeastern Alaska encompassing the southern half of Heceta Island, the Maurelle Islands, a western portion of Prince of Wales Island, and the most northern tip of Noyes Island.

22. METHOD-RADIAL PLOT

Map Manuscripts:

Vinylite sheets with polyconic projections in black and U. T. M. Alaska grid in red at a scale of 1:10,000 were furnished by the Washington office.

All control stations and substitute stations were plotted on the map manuscripts using the meter bar and beam compass.

Base sheets were prepared in this office.

A sketch showing a layout of surveys and the distribution of control and photograph centers is attached to this report.

Photographs:

All photographs used in this plot were nine-lens unmounted photographs at a scale of 1:10,000.

Seventy-three (73) photographs were used, numbered as follows:
41728 through 41732
51994 and 51995
52004 through 52008
52026 through 52030
52039 through 52043
54580 through 54630

Closure and Adjustment to Control:

The radial plot is an extension to the south of the plot for surveys T-10405 through T-10409. The radial plot was assembled in two sections. The first section covered surveys T-10570 thru T-10574, T-10577 through T-10579, and T-10582 and T-10583. The second section, comprised of the remaining surveys, is essentially a separate radial plot in that the large expanse of Tonowek Bay divides the two sections. Although, only a relatively few pass-points tie the two sections together, there is sufficient control to ensure the required horizontal accuracy.

Transfer of Points:

The map manuscripts were placed over the finished plot, oriented, and the positions of all pass-points and photograph centers pricked on the manuscript.

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

The density and distribution of control was adequate.

The following control could not be held in the radial plots.

TURF, 1907. The radially plotted position of the station is 0.5 mm (5 meters) to the south of the plotted position. The identification is apparently in error.

24. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

None.


25. PHOTOGRAPHY

The photographic coverage and definition of photographs used in the plot were good.

Respectfully submitted 20 January 1958

E. L. Williams

Carto. (Photo.)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODER SURVEY

| FORM C&G5-164 (4-68) | USCOMM-DC | 50318-P68

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT CONTROL RECORD

SCALE OF MAP_

1:10,000

DISTANCE FROM GRIO OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (1 Ft. = 3048006 moter) (BACK) N.A. 1927 - DATUM FORWARD SCALE FACTOR DATE LATITUDE OR Y COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR X COORDINATE 11.244" 02.204 19,088 02.046 26.901 49.222 54.258 07.691 40 4 27 40 39 26 38 25 27 CHECKED BY 550 55 133 55 133 55 133 133 NA 1927 DATUM **= =** E 251 SOURCE OF INFORMATION 301 250 PH-87 Ë (NDEX) G609 pg ÷ = Ħ E **=** = DATE PROJECT NO. PHILLIPS ROCK, 1907 STATION 10580 SQUEEZE, 1907 CULL, 1907-21 HUG, 1914 COMPUTED BY MAP T-

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

Ph-87 (Gulf of Esquibel, Alaska)

T-10580

Chiebra Island-

Culebra Islands

Gulf of Esquibel

St. Philip Island

Approved by:

A. Voseph Wraight Chief Geographer

Prepared by:

Frank W. Pickett Cartographic Technician

SURVEYS T-10573 thru T-10583

NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER

The shoreline delineated on these surveys was interpreted using photographs taken at a fairly high stage of tide (except in Nossuk Bay). A foul line symbol was used to indicate the extent of reefs, ledges, rocks, kelp, etc., which was visible on the high tide photography. In some areas no attempt was made to show the limits because of the indefinite appearance.

In Nossuk Bay (T-10575 and T-10576), photographs were taken near low tide and office interpretation of MHW line was difficult, especially in the coves and mouth of streams. However, the foreshore features could be more completely delineated than on most of the other surveys.

T-10573 - Desconocida Reef was delineated from high tide photographs. The outline shown is only the approximate limits of the kelp visible on photographs. Careful development during hydrography is needed.

T-10574 - Elevations of rocks on which SKIP, 1914 and ECO (ECHO), 1914 are located is desired to assist in verifying office interpreted shereline which was taken from low tide photography at the entrance to Warm Chuck Inlet.

T-10575 - Verify pier shown 820 meters southeast of SIAM, 1914. (also see note for T-10576).

T-10576 - Shoreline in Nossuk Bay should be carefully verified. Many areas were in deep shadows, and delineation of shoreline was from low tide photography, making interpretation of the MHW line difficult.

T-10577 and T-10578 - Interpretation of MHW line on small islets, rocks and rough shoreline was difficult because of wave action and surf, especially in the Woods Islands area. These areas, such as the small area just west of Epsilon Rock, delineated as ledge, should be inspected for completeness and accuracy of shoreline. Limits of ledges, reefs, rocks awash, and other foreshore and offshore features could not be delineated.

T-10579 - Verify existence of pier in Nagasay Cove. Also see note for T-10577.

T-10581 - Verify MHW line in Salt Lake Bay. Much was delineated from low tide photography and deep shadows caused interpretation problems. The higher tide photography was used as a guide in interpretation but the area is at the edge of the photographs and not suitable for delineation on these.

T-10582 and T-10583 - Same difficulty as for T-10577.

No attempt was made to delineate bluffs during office interpretation of shoreline. The heights, character, and extent of any bluffs of importance for charting should be indicated on field photographs during verification of shoreline delineation.

FORM C&GS-1002 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW T- 10580 1. PROJECTION AND GRIDS 2. TITLE 3. MANUSCRIPT NUMBERS 4. MANUSCRIPT SIZE CONTROL STATIONS 5. HORIZONTAL CONTROL STATIONS OF THIRD-ORDER OR HIGHER ACCURACY 6. RECOVERABLE HORIZONTAL STATIONS
OF LESS THAN THIRD-ORDER ACCURACY 7. PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS (Topographic stations) 8. BENCH MARKS 9. PLOTTING OF SEXTANT 10. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC 11. DETAIL POINTS ALONGSHORE AREAS (Nautical Chart Data) 12. SHORELINE 13. LOW-WATER LINE 14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC. 15. BRIDGES 16. AIDS TO NAVIGATION 17. LANDMARKS 18. OTHER ALONGSHORE PHYSICAL FEATURES 19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES PHYSICAL FEATURES 20. WATER FEATURES 21. NATURAL GROUND COVER 22. PLANETABLE CONTOURS 23. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT CONTOURS 24. CONTOURS IN GENERAL 25. SPOT ELEVATIONS 26. OTHER PHYSICAL CULTURAL FEATURES 27. ROADS 28. BUILDINGS 29. RAILROADS 30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES BOUNDARIES 31. BOUNDARY LINES 32. PUBLIC LAND LINES MISCELLANEOUS 33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES 34. JUNCTIONS 35. LEGIBILITY OF THE 36. DISCREPANCY OVERLAY 37. DESCRIPTIVE REPORT 38. FIELD INSPECTION 39. FORMS Fina 40. REVIEWER SUPERVISOR, REVIEW SECTION OR UNIT 41. REMARKS (See attached sheet) FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT 42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43. COMPILER SUPERVISOR 43. REMARKS This form prepared by the final reviewer.

REVIEW REPORT T-10580 SHORELINE JUNE 18, 1969

61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See the summary which is page 6 of this report.

This is an incomplete manuscript. Most of the data for this survey had become lost prior to final review. Data available at this time consisted of the vinylite manuscript, office *600 photographs and field photographs which contained no information other than identification of horizontal control. The identification of control is believed to have been accomplished during the 1957 field season. The radial plot was run in the Baltimore office in January 1958 and the survey then compiled in the Washington office. The map was not field edited and there are no contemporary hydrographic surveys within the limits of the map.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Comparison was made with a copy of registered survey No. 3409, 1:10,000 scale, made in 1913. The shoreline of that survey is not in good agreement with that of T-10580. The stage of the tide at the time of photography and some kelp obscured some of the rocks in the area. All rocks visible on the photographs have been mapped.

The shoreline of survey No. 3409 is superseded by that of T-10580 for nautical chart construction purposes.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

Comparison was made with USGS CRAIG (C-5) ALASKA, 15 x 20 minute, 1:63,360 scale quadrangle, edition of 1951. The two surveys are in good general agreement.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

There are no contemporary hydro-graphic surveys within the limits of this map.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

Comparison was made with chart 8157, 6th edition, February 21, 1966. The shoreline of the chart is not in good agreement with that of T-10580. The difference has been shown on the comparison

* THE PLOT REPORT AND NOTES TO THE MYDROGRAPHER "ARE BOUND WITH THIS DESCRIPTIVE REPORT, NO FIELD INSPECTION OR FIELD EDIT WAS ACCOMPLISHED FOR THIS MAP.

print in red.

As stated in item 62 the stage of the tide at the time of photography obscured some of the rocks in the area. All rocks shown on the chart that are not visible on the photographs have also been noted on the comparison print in red.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

* SEE BELOW

This survey complies with instructions and meets the National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Approved by:

Reviewed by:

F. Allen L. Powell, RADM, USESSA Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Leo F. Beugnet

Approved by:

Queretto d. Ramey

for Chief, Photogrammetric Branch No Chief, Photogrammetry Division

Chief. Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Nautical Chart Division

HEADING 61). A NEW BASIC SURVEY IS RECOMMENDED USE IN
IN CHARTING AND HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYING ACTIVITIES

DUE TO IMADEQUACIES IN PHOTOGRAPHY FOR COMPILATION PURPOSES, AND THE LACK OF FIELD CLARIFICATION AND VERIFICATION OF DETAILS. JHR

BASIC MAP ACCURACY - HORIZONTAL CONTROL WAS FIELD IDENTIFIED; AND, THE RADIAL WAS CONSIDERED ADEQUATE TO SERVE AS CONTROL FOR HAPPING TO HEET THE NATIONAL STANDARDS OF MAP ACCURACY.

