

10713

10713

FORM C&amp;GS-504

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey SHORELINEField No. \_\_\_\_\_ Office No. T-10713

## LOCALITY

State ALASKAGeneral locality KUIU ISLAND - SUMNER STRAITLocality HEAD OF AFHECK CANAL1955

## CHIEF OF PARTY

J. E. Waugh, Chief of Field PartyJ. Steinberg, Baltimore Photo. OfficeAlfred C. Holmes, Director, A. M. C.

## LIBRARY &amp; ARCHIVES

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

T-10713

1

PROJECT NO. (II):

PH-5702

FIELD OFFICE (II):

SHIP HODGSON

CHIEF OF PARTY

J. E. Waugh

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):

Baltimore

OFFICER-IN-CHARGE (acting)

J. Steinberg

INSTRUCTIONS DATED (II) (III):

October 29, 1957      Project Diagram  
November 27, 1957; 22/MEK, S-2-HO  
November 20, 1957      Office  
September 11, 1959      Office

METHOD OF COMPILATION (III):

Radial plot

MANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):

1:10,000

STEREOSCOPIC PLOTTING INSTRUMENT SCALE (III):

DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFICE (IV):

DATE REPORTED TO NAUTICAL CHART BRANCH (IV):

APPLIED TO CHART NO.

DATE:

DATE REGISTERED (IV):

GEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):

N. A. 1927

VERTICAL DATUM (III): MHW

MEAN SEA LEVEL EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS:

Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water

Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum

i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

REFERENCE STATION (III):

SCOT 1937

LAT.:

56° 19' 01.131" 35.0 m.

LONG.:

134° 04' 21.734" 373.6 m.

☒ ADJUSTED☐ UNADJUSTED

PLANE COORDINATES (IV):

STATE

ZONE

Y =

X =

ROMAN NUMERALS INDICATE WHETHER THE ITEM IS TO BE ENTERED BY (II) FIELD PARTY, (III) PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE,  
OR (IV) WASHINGTON OFFICE.

WHEN ENTERING NAMES OF PERSONNEL ON THIS RECORD GIVE THE SURNAME AND INITIALS, NOT INITIALS ONLY.

FORM C&GS-181b  
(3-66)U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEYDESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD  
T-10713

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II):		DATE:
MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE AND METHOD OF LOCATION):  September 21, 1955; graphic		
PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV):  P. J. Dempsey		DATE 11-03-59
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV):  P. J. Dempsey		DATE 11-03-59
CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III):  M. Cunningham		DATE 11-10-59
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):  H. R. Rudolph		DATE 11-19-59
RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III):  L. A. Senasack		DATE 6-10-60
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):  Not applicable	PLANIMETRY	DATE
	CONTOURS	DATE
MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III):  R. M. Whitson		DATE 10-07-60
SCRIBING BY (III):		DATE
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):		DATE
REMARKS:		

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD  
T-10713

CAMERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):

Wild RC-8 "W"

## PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE	STAGE OF TIDE
55 W 9443	21 Sept 1955	14:30	1:25,000	
55 W 9477 & 9478	21 Sept 1955	14:50	1:25,000	
55 W 9649	22 Sept 1955	09:37	1:25,000	

## TIDE (III)

	RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	SPRING RANGE
REFERENCE STATION:			
SUBORDINATE STATION:			
SUBORDINATE STATION:			

Atlantic Marine Center

WASHINGTON OFFICE REVIEW BY (IV):

C. H. Bishop

DATE:

Jan. 1972

PROOF EDIT BY (IV):

DATE:

NUMBER OF TRIANGULATION STATIONS SEARCHED FOR (II):

RECOVERED:

IDENTIFIED:

NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FOR (II):

RECOVERED:

IDENTIFIED

NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PHOTO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):

NUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):

REMARKS:

T-10713

## COMPILATION RECORD


## COMPILATION DATE

## REMARKS

Shoreline and alongshore features	Oct. 1960	
Final Review	Jan. 1972	

## SHORELINE MAPPING PROJECT

Ph-5702

CORONATION and  
KUIU ISLANDS,  
ALASKAOfficial Release For Cost AccountsCHATHAM  
STRAIT

Sheet No.	Area Sq. Mi.	Lin. Mi. Shoreline
10676	2	3.4
10677	8	11.1
10678	6	7.7
10679	3	6.0
10680	1	1.5
10681	8	7.7
10682	2	2.6
10703	10	11.5
10704	6	12.8
10705	9	11.9
10706	14	2.6
10707	4	7.7
10708	2	9.4
10709	1	3.0
10710	5	6.8
10711	11	7.7
10712	11	.9
10713	12	8.4
10714	5	8.5
10715	11	6.8
10716	11	5.1
10717	9	11.1
10718	13	6.0
10719	8	11.1
10720	9	8.5
10721	4	8.5
10722	2	1.3
10723	14	3.1
10724	11	10.2
10725	8	7.7
10726	11	1.3
10727	3	1.5
10728	10	11.5
10729	6	11.9
10730	5	1.3
10731	10	6.0
10732	10	6.0
10733	9	5.1
10734	3	3.4
10735	4	1.7
10736	1	1.5
10737	6	8.5
10738	1	6.8
TOTAL	295	298.8

## SUMMARY

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-10713

This shoreline manuscript, scale 1:10,000, is one of 45 maps that were planned for Project PH-5702, which includes shoreline around the South half of Kuiu Island, Spanish Islands, and Coronation Island, in Southeast Alaska. The only shoreline on T-10713 is the extreme north end of Affleck Canal.

Compilation was by radial plot. A 1:20,000 scale plot, using 9-lens photography of 1958, was constructed to verify identified control and establish pass point positions for controlling a 1:10,000 scale plot using 1:10,000 scale ratio prints of photographs taken in September 1955. The 1:10,000, plot was constructed directly on the map manuscripts. In general, control was adequate for laying the plots. See Photogrammetric Plot Report, scale 1:20,000, dated 9 June 1960 and Photogrammetric Plot Report, 1:10,000 scale, dated 10 June 1960.

No field edit was performed on this map. Classification is INCOMPLETE.

Final review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in January 1972.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 5 minutes in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

T-10713

No field inspection report was available at the time of Final Review and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.



## PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

Project Ph-5702

Scale 1:20,000

Surveys T-10706 thru T-10709

T-10713 " T-10715

T-10718 " T-10721

T-10724 " T-10731

T-10733 " T-10735

T-10737

T-10888 and T-10889

## PURPOSE:

This radial plot was made using 1:20,000 nine-lens photographs. These wide coverage photographs were used to verify identified control and establish positions for pass points for use in controlling photogrammetric plot using 1:10,000 scale single-lens photographs. See item No. 6 (Methods) of instructions dated 11 September 1959.

21. AREA COVERED

This radial plot covers the area of the surveys listed above. They are shoreline surveys along the west shore of Sumner Strait, embracing the areas known as Alvin Bay, Reid Bay, Port Beauclerc, Louise Cove, Bear Harbor, Kell Bay, Affleck Canal and Port McArthur.

22. METHOD - RADIAL PLOT

Base sheets with two thousand (2,000) meter grids in black ink, were furnished by the Washington Office.

The Coordinatograph was used to plot the control stations and substitute stations.

A sketch showing the layout of the surveys, distribution of control and photograph centers is attached to this report.

## Photographs:

Thirty-six (36) nine-lens, unmounted photographs at a scale of 1:20,000 were used in this plot, numbered as follows:

57480	through	57485
57499	"	57506
57517	"	57527
57532	"	57542

## Templets:

Vinylite templets were made using the master templet to correct for film and paper distortion, and chamber displacement.

## Closure and Adjustment to Control:

This plot was laid in two parts, southern half and a northern half with the dividing line the area between surveys T-10724 through T-10727 common with both plots. Construction started with photograph 57532 and extended north to 57538. The plot was then extended eastward to the project limits, incorporating the flights 57522 through 57527 and 57499 through 57502.

The second part was an extension of the first part northward to the project limits.

Transfer of Points:

The pass points and photograph centers were pricked on the top templet and then drilled down through the templets and base sheets. Later the coordinatograph was used to scale the grid position of the pass points for transfer to the 1:10,000 map manuscripts.

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

The density and distribution of control was adequate for all surveys in this radial plot.

See item 23 in the single-lens plot report, dated 10 June 1960, covering the same surveys as this plot.

24. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

None.

25. PHOTOGRAPHY

The definition of the photographs was good. Due to the difference in time, tide and tone quality between the nine-lens and single lens photographs great difficulty was encountered in trying to prick the identified control on the nine-lens photographs. Great care had to be taken in trying to find a common pass point near the shoreline, one that would leave no doubt that it was the same as the point on the single-lens photographs.

Respectfully submitted  
9 June 1960

*Leroy A. Senasack*

Leroy A. Senasack  
(Carto. (Photo.))

- 1 AGO, 1954
- 2 CON, 1927
- 3 HOW, 1954
- 4 GAL, 1954
- 5 DELHI, 1915
- 6 BIB, 1954
- 7 REEF 2, 1915
- 8 FOX, 1929
- 9 NER, 1929
- 10 THAT, 1927
- 11 BAY, 1929
- 12 FAG, 1929
- 13 RUT, 1929
- 14 PAR, 1929
- 15 DAL, 1929
- 16 UP, 1929
- \*17 TWIN, 1926
- \*18 BARE, 1926
- \*19 ARM, 1926
- \*20 MID, 1926
- \*21 ROCK, 1926
- \*22 WON, 1925
- \*23 GO 2, 1958
- \*24 TRI, 1926
- 25 LAST, 1926
- 26 ROSE, 1937
- 27 POM, 1929
- 28 TURN, 1929
- 29 BOULDER, 1915
- 30 TRUS, 1937
- 31 EDNA, 1937
- 32 WEAK, 1937
- 33 FLOR, 1937
- 34 GOOD, 1937
- 35 PEGG, 1937
- 36 GENE, 1937
- 37 CORK, 1937
- 38 WESS, 1937
- 39 MON, 1929
- 40 SUN, 1929
- 41 BEAUCLERG 2 (LIGHT), 1922
- 42 BEAR, 1936
- 43 BITE, 1936
- 44 ALECK, 1936
- 45 BUDD, 1937

\* On nine-lens photographs only.

46 HOME, 1937  
47 PEN, 1936  
48 ENTER, 1936  
49 HIND, 1936  
50 ADEN, 1937  
  
51 SOW, 1929  
52 PIN, 1915  
53 RUTH, 1937  
54 VICK, 1937  
55 HOPE, 1936  
  
56 BUSH, 1936  
57 DUB, 1936  
58 MILT, 1937  
59 MACK, 1937  
60 HOLM, 1937  
  
61 CLEVE, 1886-1922  
62 ARTHUR, 1936  
63 LEMON, 1936  
64 NORTH, 1936  
65 LEMON POINT ROCK LIGHT, 1958  
  
66 STAR, 1936  
67 AFFLECK, 1936  
68 JUNE, 1937  
69 BETS, 1937  
70 ALBANS, 1886  
  
71 MAC, 1899  
72 MAC, 1936  
73 SHORE, 1923  
74 MIDDY, 1936  
75 ZAG, 1923  
  
76 CAPEDECISION LIGHT, 1936  
77 SPANISH ISLAND LIGHT, 1936  
78 WAY, 1936

## PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

Project Ph-5702

Scale 1:10,000

Surveys Nos. T-10706 thru T-10709

T-10713 " T-10715

T-10718 " T-10721

T-10724 " T-10731

T-10733 " T-10735

T-10737

T-10888 and T-10889

21. AREA COVERED

This radial plot covers the area of the surveys listed above. They are shoreline surveys along the west shore of Sumner Strait, embracing the areas known as Alvin Bay, Reid Bay, Port Beauclerc, Louise Cove, Bear Harbor, Kell Bay, Affleck Canal and Port McArthur.

22. METHOD-RADIAL PLOT

## Map Manuscripts:

Vinylite sheets with polyconic projections in black, U. T. M. Zone 8 grid in red, at a scale of 1:10,000 were furnished by the Washington Office.

All triangulation stations, substitute stations, and common pass point positions taken from the 1:20,000 radial plot were plotted using the Coordinatograph.

A sketch showing the layout of the surveys, distribution of control and photograph centers is attached to this report.

## Photographs:

One hundred twenty-six (126) single-lens photographs, ratioed to a scale of 1:10,000 were used in this plot and are numbered as follows:

55-W-9377 thru 9386

55-W-9612 and 9613

9400A " 9412

9667 thru 9674

9443 " 9457

9678 " 9680

9463 " 9478

9687 " 9690

9550 " 9570

9696 " 9701

9576 " 9593

9704

9641 " 9649

## Templets:

Kodapak or vinylite templets were made of each of the single-lens photographs but no adjustment was made for paper distortion.

## Closure and Adjustment to Control:

The common pass points were transferred from the 1:20,000 scale base sheets by scaling their grid position with the Coordinatograph and then plotting them on the 1:10,000 scale map manuscripts with the same instrument.

The radial plot was constructed directly on the map manuscripts.

This plot was laid in two parts, with the surveys Nos. T-10724 through T-10727 common in both plots. The first part was started at Cape Decision (Survey T-10738) and extended northward up Affleck Canal. After this was done the plot was extended eastward to the project limits. Due to some trouble with the field identification for control station BUSH, 1936 it may be advisable to reidentify this station. In the area between DUB, 1936 and ENTER, 1936 there is a flight of photographs the centers of which fall in the water. Since there is no field identified control, and only one control station office identified, this part of the plot is also considered fair.

The second part of the plot was extended northward but would not tie into control station ROSE, 1937. The two flights which go parallel with Port Beauclerc, taken in the morning with most of the pass points away from the tree shadows, are considered better. These two flights were extended from the mouth of Port Beauclerc to the head and tied into Sub Point A for ROSE, 1937. (See item 23) After this was done, the plot was extended northward to the project limits with no difficulty.

The definition on the photographs is very poor around BEAUCLERC LIGHT, 1915. It is a white object on what appeared to be white ledge and for this reason it is recommended that this Light, or ISLE, 1929 be reidentified by sub point method. The point on the office photographs is the same as the field identified point.

#### Transfer of Points:

The positions of all photograph centers and pass points were pricked on the top templates and then drilled through the templates and map manuscripts.

### 23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

In general, the density and distribution of control was adequate for this project. However, there are several gaps, some being where the field man was verifying the existence of the stations but did not identify them.

The following control stations could not be held in the plot:

BUSH, 1936 - Nothing seems to agree at this station. The distance and direction of the plotted position does not agree with field identification on the contact print or the Form 152. The location of this station makes it a critical one for the construction of a good rigid radial plot. A note was attached to a field photograph and the hydrographer was requested to reidentify this station.

HOME, 1937 - The radially plotted position for this direct identification for this station falls approximately 1.1 mm to the NW of the plotted position. This point was reidentified in the office to agree with the description.

RUT, 1929 - The radially plotted position for the substitute station falls approximately 0.4 mm to the NE of the plotted position. Since there are numerous other field or office identified control stations in the vicinity, it is not essential for a rigid radial plot.

FAG, 1929 - The radially plotted position for the direct identification for this station falls approximately 4.4 mm to the SE of the plotted position. The nine-lens photographs verified the fact that the field man pricked some floating debris instead of the rock. This station was office identified and held in plot.

THAT, 1927 - The radially plotted position for this substitute station falls approximately 0.8 mm to the WSW of the plotted position. Both the station and substitute station was misidentified. The station was office identified and held in the plot.

FOX, 1929 - The radially plotted position for this substitute station falls approximately 1.0 mm to the east of the plotted position. There is another detached rock west of the identified point approximately the same place as the plotted position. It is believed that this station is another case of misidentification.

BIB, 1954 - The radially plotted position for substitute station "A" falls approximately 3.9 mm to the east of the plotted position. This is a case of misidentification.

The radially plotted position for substitute station "B" falls approximately 1.2 mm to the east of the plotted position. The field distance to this station is in error.

DELHI, 1915 - The radially plotted position for substitute station "A" falls approximately 2.1 mm to the NW of the plotted position. The field distance for this station is in error.

The radially plotted position for substitute station "B" falls approximately 2.5 mm SSW of the plotted position. This station was misidentified.

With the aid of the description, the triangulation station was office identified and held in the plot.

GAL, 1954 - The radially plotted position for substitute station "A" falls approximately 7.3 mm to the south of the plotted position. The field distance to this station is in error.

The radially plotted position for substitute station "B" falls approximately 8.0 mm SSE of the plotted position. This is another case of error made in the distance to this station.

The triangulation station was office identified and held in the plot.

CON, 1927 - The radially plotted position for this substitute station falls approximately 0.9 mm to the NNE of the plotted position. This station appears to be misidentified.

The triangulation was office identified and held in the plot.

#### 24. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

None.

## 25. PHOTOGRAPHY

The majority of these photographs were taken late in the afternoon of one day while the rest were taken early in the morning of the following day. Due to the time the photographs were taken, large sections of the shoreline appears in deep shadow. These shadow areas created problems in trying to find common pass points. In many cases, due to deep shadow, good points on the nine-lens photographs were obscured on the single lens photographs.

In the area around Boulder Point, one photograph, 55-W-9700, had a very light washed-out area right in the vicinity of identified control station BOULDER, 1915. This created several problems in trying to use photographs 55-W-9612 and 9613. These photographs were taken the previous day; and time, tide, and shadow were different.

## 26. CONTROL IDENTIFICATION

Considerable difficulty was encountered while pricking field identified control throughout this project. It was noted that the distances between field identified image points of substitute stations disagreed with distances between computed positions. To aid in selecting the correct image points, a piece of clear vinylite to which the positions of stations and substitute stations were transferred was placed over one photograph when a pair was studied stereoscopically. Then, with the aid of sketch and description on identification card and with the original station description, the correct images of the substitute points were determined. The identification of many stations was changed from field identification where discrepancies were found.

Numerous stations in this project had distances to substitute points given in meters (by stadia). Most of these distances appeared to be in error, but the reason could not be determined. There was no factor that could be applied to correct the errors. On Strait Island, only two of the six identified stations could be held. The others appeared to be in error due to trouble with stadia distances.

One good example of identification difficulties was at BOULDER, 1915. Substitute Point "A" was used because it was the only point which seemed to agree with distances, sketch, and photograph. At Sub. Pt. "B", the position seems to check the easterly point of large rock - instead of the westerly point, as described. At Sub. Pt. "C" the position falls in the water indicating a distance error, probably due to stadia error. The approximate location of the station could be determined from the description for use in selecting the correctly identified sub. pt. Due to centers of several photographs falling water areas, a rigid plot to eliminate the errors in identification could not be obtained and Sub. Pt. "A" was used to control the plot.

Another example of control misidentification was at POM, 1929. The rock selected was actually in deep shadow and not visible so a wrong rock was identified on photograph 55-W-9589 which was taken in late afternoon. Photograph 55-W-9700 taken in morning of next day also covers the area and, if used, no error in identification would have been made.



- 5 -

Another example is at ROSE, 1937. The distance between two substitute points is short. Sub. Pt. "A" is a boulder or beach at edge of shadow. Sub. Pt. "B" is a prominent, high outcrop. Both appear to be good positive points. The error may be in either Sub. Pt. "A", which could be in shadow or in position for Sub. Pt. "B" which is a long distance from the station and a small error in azimuth could account for the error. Sub. Pt. "A" was held in the radial plot, but the identification should be verified since it is the last station in the plot at the head of Port Beauclerc.

## 27. POSITION ERROR

The published position for triangulation station SEC, 1929 places it in the water, in Port Beauclerc, off Edwards Island. The description of the station on page 17 of cahier Alaska No. 41, places the station "about 2 miles south of Boulder Point on the west shore of Sumner Strait. The approximate position for this station should be  $56^{\circ} 17.4$  N and  $133^{\circ} 51.1$  W. Also see Recovery Note, Form 526.

The published position for triangulation station FFAK 16, 1922 places it in forty-five (45) fathoms of water, in Chatham Strait, east of Cape Decision.

Respectfully submitted  
10 June 1960


*Leroy A. Senasack*

Leroy A. Senasack  
Carto. (Photo.)

LIST OF NUMBERED CONTROL STATIONS  
PH - 5702

1. AGO, 1954	27. POM, 1929	53. RUTH, 1937
2. CON, 1927	28. TURN, 1929	54. VICK, 1937
3. HOW, 1954	29. BOULDER, 1915	55. HOPE, 1936
4. GAL, 1954	30. TRUS, 1937	56. BUSH, 1936
5. DELHI, 1915	31. EDNA, 1937	57. DUB, 1936
6. BIB, 1954	32. WEAK, 1937	58. MILT, 1937
7. REEF 2, 1915	33. FLOR, 1937	59. MACK, 1937
8. FOX, 1929	34. GOOD, 1937	60. HOLM, 1937
9. NER, 1929	35. PEGG, 1937	61. CLEVE, 1886-1922
10. THAT, 1927	36. GENE, 1937	62. ARTHUR, 1936
11. BAY, 1929	37. CORK, 1937	63. LEMON, 1936
12. FAG, 1929	38. WESS, 1937	64. NORTH, 1936
13. RUT, 1929	39. MON, 1929	65. LEMON POINT ROCK LIGHT, 1958
14. PAR, 1929	40. SUN, 1929	66. STAR, 1936
15. DAL, 1929	41. BEAUCLERC 2 (LIGHT), 1922	67. AFFLECK, 1936
16. UP, 1929	42. BEAR, 1936	68. JUNE, 1937
* 17. TWIN, 1926	43. BITE, 1936	69. BETS, 1937
* 18. BARE, 1926	44. ALECK, 1936	70. ALBANS, 1886
* 19. ARM, 1926	45. BUDD, 1937	71. MAC, 1899
* 20. MID, 1926	46. HOME, 1937	72. MAC, 1936
* 21. ROCK, 1926	47. PEN, 1936	73. SHORE, 1923
* 22. WON, 1925	48. ENTER, 1936	74. MIDDY, 1936
* 23. GO 2, 1958	49. HIND, 1936	75. ZAG, 1923
* 24. TRI, 1926	50. ADEN, 1937	76. CAPE DECISION LIGHT, 1936
25. LAST, 1926	51. SOW, 1929	77. SPANISH ISLAND LIGHT, 1936
26. ROSE, 1937	52. PIN, 1915	78. WAY, 1936

\* On nine lens photo's only



# LAYOUT SKETCH PROJECT PH-5702 SURVEYS

T-10706 THRU T-10709  
T-10713 THRU T-10715  
T-10718 THRU T-10721  
T-10724 THRU T-10731  
T-10733 THRU T-10735  
T-10737  
T-10888 AND T-10889

- Single lens office photographs
- △ Control station identified
- ⊗ Control station not held in plot
- △ Control station office identified
- Recoverable topo with field position

MAP T- 10713

PROJECT NO. PH-5702

SCALE OF MAP

1:10,000

SCALE FACTOR

[illegible]

**DESCRIPTIVE REPORT CONTROL RECORD**

MAP T- 10713

PROJECT NO. PH-5702

SCALE OF MAP 1:10,000

SCALE FACTOR

STATION	SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)	DATUM	LATITUDE OR Y COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR X COORDINATE	N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (1 ft. = 3048006 meter)	
				FORWARD	(BACK)
TRI, 1926	PAGE 6	NA 1927	6,247,171.27	1171.27	(828.73)
			557,832.18	1832.18	(167.82)
LAST, 1926	PAGE 6	"	6,246,352.27	352.27	(1647.73)
			558,014.71	14.71	(1958.29)
SUB PT LAST, 1926	COMP	"	6,246,338.90	338.90	(1661.10)
			558,012.23	12.23	(1987.77)
SCOT, 1937	PAGE 8	"	6,241,532.38	1532.38	(467.62)
			557,360.33	1360.33	(639.67)
COMPUTED BY M. Cunningham	DATE 10/9/59	CHECKED BY B. Wilson	DATE 10/26/59	20	

## COMPILATION REPORT

T-10713

No Compilation Report was available at the time of Final Review and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

October 26, 1971

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-5702 (Alaska)

T-10713

Affleck Canal

Kuiu Island

Approved by:

*A. Joseph Wright*

A. Joseph Wright  
Chief Geographer

Prepared by:

*Frank W. Pickett*

Cartographic Technician  
Frank W. Pickett

## FIELD EDIT REPORT

T-10713

No Field Edit Report was available at the time of  
Final Review and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.



## REVIEW REPORT T-10713

## SHORELINE

January 7, 1972

61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

An ozalid comparison print, page 26, showing differences noted in Items 62 and 64, is bound with the original of this report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with Survey No. 6586, scale 1:20,000, dated August 1937. Differences between this survey and T-10713 are shown in blue on the comparison print. Only small shoreline differences were noted.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

A visual comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Quadrangle PORT ALEXANDER (B-1), ALASKA, dated 1953. No significant differences were noted.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with a copy of Survey No. 6285, 1:20,000 scale, dated July-August 1937 and August 1938. Only small differences were noted; they are shown in purple on the comparison print.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A visual comparison was made with Chart 8201, scale 1:217,828, 16th edition, dated Nov. 7, 1970. No significant differences were noted.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS:

It is believed that this survey is sufficiently accurate for photo-hydro support and nautical chart construction purposes.

Please see Photogrammetric Plot Report, Scale 1:20,000, dated June 9, 1960 and Photogrammetric Plot Report, Scale 1:10,000, dated June 10, 1960, neither of which state whether the accuracy of these radial plots meets the National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Reviewed by:

*Charles H. Bishop*

Charles H. Bishop  
Cartographer  
January 7, 1972

Approved for forwarding:

*Melvin J. Umbach*

Melvin J. Umbach, CDR, NOAA  
Chief, Photogrammetry Division, AMC

Approved:

*Alfred C. Holmes*

Alfred C. Holmes, RADM, NOAA  
Director, Atlantic Marine Center









Approved:

*Charles T. Henn*

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch,

*Jack E. Luth*

Chief, Coastal Mapping Division



COMPARISON PRINT

Blue = T-6586  
Purple = H-6285

Purple = H-6285

9444