Porm 504

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey Shoreline(Photogrammetric)				
Field No	Office No. T-11825			
	LOCALITY			
State	Hawaii			
General loca	Molokai			
Locality Kukaiwaa Point				
	1961-/968			
	CHIEF OF PARTY			
Allen L.	Powell, Director, AMC			
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES				
DATE				

USCOMM-DC 5087

ROMAN NUMERALS INDICATE WHETHER THE ITEM IS TO BE ENTERED BY (II) FIELD PARTY, (III) PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE, OR (IV) WASHINGTON OFFICE,

WHEN ENTERING NAMES OF PERSONNEL ON THIS RECORD GIVE THE SURNAME AND INITIALS, NOT INITIALS ONLY.

LONG .:

LEINAOPAIO

LAT

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II):		DATE:	
L. F. Van Scoy		August 1962	
MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE	AND METHOD OF LOCATION):		
D. C. Dilatekana Oataali 1000			
B-8 Plotter, October 1962 Graphic September 1961			
capille sopeoned iso	-		
PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV):		DATE	
A. E. Roundtree		5/24/65	
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV):		DATE	
R. Glaser		5/25/65	
CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III):	DATE		
Portland Photogrammetric Offi	1965		
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):		DATE	
Portland Photogrammetric Offi	ce	1965	
RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III):		DATE	
H. P. Eichert		Dec. 1964	
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):	TEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III): PLANIMETRY		
	A. L. Shands*	9/7/67	
	CONTOURS	DATE	
	Inapplicable		
MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III):		DATE	
C. H. Bishop		10/9/67	
SCRIBING BY (III):		DATE	
B. L. Barge			
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):		DATE	
Compilation: C. H. Bishop Field Edit: R. J. Pate Scribing & Stickup: B. Wilso	-	10/31/67 10/23/69	
REMARKS: Field Edit by: R. L.		12/24/69	
_	ensisted of setting the models	at 1:10,000 scale	
and dropping points common to	the bridging photographs and	the hydrographic	
support photographs on a work pantograph to the 1:5,000 sca	sheet. These points were tr		
methods.	Te manuscript and details con	prized by graphite	

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

MERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):

Wild RC-8 "W"

	PHO	TOGRAPHS (III)		
NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE	STAGE OF TIDE
62 W 1859 and 1860	2 Oct.1962	0847	1:25,000	0.8 Ft. above MLLW
61 W 1007 thru 1009	24 Sept.1961	1205	1:15,000	1.4 Ft. above MLLW

			1	<u> </u>		
		TIDE (III)	PREDICTED			DTURNAI
				RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	- CPRNC RANGE
REFERENCE STATION:	Honolulu				1.2	1.9
BORDINATE STATION:	Waimanalo			0.92	1.1	1.8
SUBORDINATE STATION:						
WASHINGTON OFFICE REV	TIEW BY (IV) es F. Beugnet, Att	Pontic Mar	inc Center	DATE:	bor 14	770
PROOF EDIT BY (IV):				DATE:		
NUMBER OF TRIANGULAT	ION STATIONS SEARCHED FOR (II):	2	RECOVERED:	IDENTIFIE	D:	
NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCE	HED FOR (II): None		RECOVERED:	IDENTIFIE	D	
NUMBER OF RECOVERABL	E PHOTO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):	None			
NUMBER OF TEMPORARY	PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS ESTABLISE	(ED (III):	7			

REMARKS:

Field Edit From:

Field photo #61-W-1009 and field edit ozalid T-11825


COMPILATION RECORD	COMPLETION DATE	REMARKS
Alongshore area for hydro	Oct. 1967	Superseded
Field Edit applied compilation complete	July 1969	
		·

<u>;</u>•

PROJECT PH-6201

SHORELINE MAPPING

1:5,000 AND 1:10,000 SCALES MOLOKAI ISLAND HAWAII



Official Mileage for Cost Accounts

Sheet No.	Shoreline Lin. Mi.	Area Sq. Ml.	Sheet No.	Shoreline Lin. Mi.	Area Sq. Mi.
11818 11819 11820 11821 11822 11823 11824 11325 11826 11827 11828	46643133369	46643133369	11952 11953 11954 11955 11956 11957 11958 11959 11960 11961 11962 11963 11964 11965	ന്നുമ തന്നുക മുന്നുക്ക് നിന്നുക്ക് വരുക്കുന്നു.	ന്ന പ്രധാനകരി പ്രധാനം വിധാനം വ
_			Total	98	98

SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-11825

Shoreline survey T-11825 is one of twenty-five similar surveys in Project PH-6201. These surveys cover the entire coast of Molokai Island. This survey covers that part of the north coast extending from Haupa Bay westward to Leinaopapio Point. See page 5 of the Descriptive Report for the area within the project.

Field work preceding compilation consisted of identification of horizontal control and shoreline and field inspection. There were no fixed aids to navigation or landmarks within the compilation limits.

Compilation was at 1:5,000 scale, using the photography of 24 September 1961. Cronaflex copies of the manuscript were subsequently furnished for transfer of the shoreline to the boat sheets, location of photohydro signals, and field edit use.

The manuscript was a vinylite sheet 2 minutes 15 seconds in latitude by 2 minutes in longitude. After application of field edit, which was accomplished in December 1968, the manuscript was scribed and reproduced on cronaflex. Final review was in the Atlantic Marine Center in September 1970. One cronaflex positive and a negative of the final reviewed survey are forwarded for record and registry.

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

Map Manuscripts T-11952 thru 11965 T-11818 thru 11828

Project PH-6201

January - October 1962

2. AREAL FIELD INSPECTION

The area covered by this report encompasses the whole of the island of Molokai. This is the fifth largest of the group of islands that form the State of Hawaii. The island was originally formed by the eruption of two volcanos. One was located somewhere near the east end of the island and the other somewhere near the west end. Following these eruptions the numerous deep drainages were created by stream errosion and the ocean created the great cliffs along the north coast. A later eruption formed the Molanalua Peninsula on the north central coast. The Kauhako Crater remains as evidence of this eruption. The highest peak is Kanakou which is 4958 feet above sea level.

The climate of the island varies considerably depending on the elevation and location in relation to the prevailing trade winds. The mean annual temperature at sea level is about 74 degrees. The temperature seldon varies more than 10 degrees except at the higher elevations. The yearly rainfall varies from about 7 inches around Kaunakakai to over 150 inches in the high nountain sections of the northeast.

The only port in use on the island is located at Kaunakakai. A small wharf connected to the shore by a long mole is used to load and unload barges, and serve small commercial and private boats. At one time a rail—road connected the wharf to the area now known as Moolehua Homesteads. It was abandoned soon after completion as the sugar plantation it was constructed to serve was a failure. The economy of the island is almost wholly dependant on the growing of pineapple and cattle ranching.

The wharf located at Kolo was used for a time to load pineapple from the Maunaloa area. It was later abandoned and since that time has been partially destroyed by fire. The wharf located at Kanalo is now in poor condition and seldomed used except by an occasional small fishing or pleasure boat. The wharf located at Pukoo is no longer in evidence. Located at Haleolon is a small harbor protected by a breakwater. This is a private harbor and is used to load sand and cinder barges for shipment to Cahu. A small private airstrip is located along the easterly breakwater.

Located on the Makanalua Peninsula is the small settlement of Kalaupapa. The settlement is maintained by the State of Hauri, Department of Health for the treatment of Hansen's Disease (Lepersey). Special permission must be obtained from the state before visiting this area. No facilities for serving the public are permitted on the peninsula. The U.S. Coast Guard maintains an isolated light station at the northern tip of the peninsula. The area is served by limited airplane service and supplies are brought in by barge at infrequent intervals. A small wharf protected by a short breakwater is located at the settlement. This area is isolated from the remainder of the island except for a foot trail that leads down the steep rocky cliffs from the top of the pali southwest of the settlement.

Shoreline around the island vary from the almost vertical rock cliffs along most of the north and east coast, to the narrow and relatively flat coastal areas along the south coast. Nost of the south coast is protected by an offshore reef. A few sandy beaches are located along the south and west coasts. Nost of the north coast is accessable only by boat and any landings there should be attempted with extreme caution.

Photography was adequate for the identification of horizontal control and shoreline inspection for most of the island. A few sections of the shoreline along the northeast coast of the island were in complete shadow from the most vertical cliffs.

The shoreline for the entire island was visually inspected an the mean high water noted on the field photographs. The shoreline along the north coast except for the Makanalua Peninsula was inspected by cruising offshore in a small boat. The work was difficult due to the small size of the boat, the rough seas, and strong winds. A few landings were made on the more prominent points along the northeast coast. The remainder of the island was inspected by walking the shoreline in the more accessable areas, and by observations from vantage points along bluffs and cliffs where the shoreline could not be otherwise visited. Scattered sections of the shoreline along the south coast were obscured by overhanging Meave trees and dense growths of Hangrove trees.

3. HORIZOUTAL COMTROL

(a) The following described intersection stations were located by traverse or triangulation as nautical aids, aeronautical aids, and landmarks.

Molokai Lighthouse Molokai Airport Beacon Waihuna, Aero Beacon Red Light Kaulapuu, Aero Beacon Red Light Molokai VOR (MKK)
Puu Apalu, Tank
Ilio Pt., Coast Guard Loran Mast
Waiahewahewa, Aero Beacon Red Light
Laau Pt. Light
Kaunakakai Harbor, Entrance Range, Front Light
Kaunakakai Harbor, Entrance Range, Rear Light

- (b) No datum adjustments were made by the field party.
- (c) MATELI 2, 1945 was the only control station identified that was not established by the Coast and Geodetic Survey. This station was established by the Territory of Hawaii and can be considered as third order accuracy. The station was destroyed before it could be tied to the 1962 work. HELEMA, 1962 which is located about a half mile west of this station was later identified. All other control stations identified were established by the Coast and Geodetic Survey or tied to by the geodetic party during the 1962 season. Many of the old stations could not be recovered and new stations had to be established to meet the control requirements.
- (d) Control stations were positively identified in all areas indicated on the control diagram.
- (e) All control stations within the limits of the project except for a few along the inaccessable northeast coast of the issland were searched for. Part of this recovery was performed by the geodetic party located on the island. All station searched for were listed on Form 526 which was submitted to the Honolulu District Officer. A complete list of all stations reported lost on Form 526 would have to be obtained from the Honolulu District Officer or the Division of Geodesy. No stations that were listed as lost were identified for use in the plot.
- (g) The quality of identification of each station or substitute station has been indicated on the control station identification card. Hone of the identification was considered to be sub-standard.

4. VERTICAL CONTROL

The only vertical control requirement was the recovery of all tidal bench marks in the project area and identification of one mark in each of the groups.

All tidal bench marks listed at Pukoo, Kamalo, Kaumakakai, and Kolo were searched for. A total of 18 bench marks were searched for. All marks were listed on Form 685 which was submitted to the Honolulu District Officer.

Atotal of 13 U, S. Geological Survey bench marks were searched for. These marks were used in conjunction with the tellurometer traverse work on the island and for use in determining the elevation of landmarks. All marks were listed on Form 685 which was submitted to the Honolulu District Officer.

5. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE

Contours not applicable

Drainage is self evident on the photographs. All streams except for a few in the larger valleys of the northeast coast and near the east end of the south coast are intermittent. During the wet season there are dozens of waterfalls cascading from the tops of the cliffs and rims of the valleys of the northeast coast. Marsh areas have been indicated on the field photographs.

6. WOODLAND COVER

The mountainous areas of the northeast part of the island is covered with a dense growth of native ferns and hardwoods. A large stand of planted softwoods is located along the top of the pali in the north central part of the island. Keave trees which were introduced to the island about 100 years ago cover most of the remainder of the island except for the cultivated areas. Along the mud flats of the south coast there are scattered stands of introduced Mangrove trees.

7. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE FEATURES

(a) The mean high water line was indicated on the photographs. Along some sections of the northeast coast the shoreline was obscured due to the shadows created on the photographs from the almost vertical cliffs. In some areas of the south coast the shoreline was partially obscured by low overhanging Kiawe trees. In most cases this overhang was less than 10 meters and the approximate correct location was indicated on the photographs. Also along the south coast there are scattered stands of Mangrove trees. In these areas the mean high water line was indicated as apparent shoreline.

The shoreline along the north, east, and small areas of the west and southwest coast contain many areas of alongshore rocks, projecting reefs and ledges, and almost vertical bluffs. These features combined with a normally heavy serf breaking along the shore tend to confuse the location of the mean high water line on the photographs.

Where possible especially along the beach areas and the more accessable sections of the coast the location of the mean high water line was determined by measurements to near by objects.

- (b) The low water line was not indicated on the photographs.
- (c) Where possible the character of the foreshore was indicated on the photographs.
- (d) The north, east, and sections of the west and southwest coast is boardered by rocky cliffs. In some cases these cliffs are over 2000 feet high. Along most of the south coast, sections of the west coast, and the Moomomi area the land has a more gradual slope with a small relatively flat area adjacent to the coast.
- (e) The only unnatural features to be found in the project area were located at Kalaupapa, Kamalo, Kaunakakai, Kolo, and Haleolono. All information regarding these features was indicated on the field photographs.
 - (f) Not applicable
- (g) Along the south shore there are the remains of many fishponds. The stone walls for some of these have been completely leveled and for most of the others large sections of the walls have been leveled. The location of these fishponds is apparent on the photographs.

8. OFFSHORE FEATURES

Offshore rocks are located along many areas of the north, east, and sections of the vest and southwest coast. Most of these rocks that are visible on the photographs are adjacent to the shore. In these areas it is probable that there are many rocks that are not visible on the photographs but are close enough to the surface of the vater to consider the foreshore as being foul with submerged rocks. The height of many of the rocks along the shore were estimated at the time the shoreline was inspected.

A reef about 0.5 to 1.0 mile offshore is located along most of the south coast. Between the reef and the shore there are scattered areas of sand and many coral heads that project at low water.

9. LANDHARKS AND AIDS

- (a) All charted landmarks were investigated by the field party. A total of 13 old landmarks were deleted from the charts and four old landmarks were retained. A total of 11 new landmarks were selected for charting. The old landmarks which were to be deleted were indicated on the sections of the charts on which they appeared. These sections of the charts will be submitted with the field records. All old landmarks that were retained and the new landmarks selected for charting were listed on Form 567, and the elevation for each landmark was determined by the field party.
 - (b) No interior landmarks were seected for charting.

(c) The geographic positions for the following charted acronautical aids was determined by traverse or triangulation during the 1962 field season.

Molokai, Airport Beacon Waiahewahewa, Aero Beacon Red Light Waihuna, Aero Beacon, Red Light Kualapuu, Aero Beacon, Red Light

The geographic position of one new aeronautical aid selected for charting was determined during the 1962 field season.

Molokai VOR (MKK)

All aeronautical aids to be charted were listed on Form 567 and the elevation for each aid was determined by the field party.

(d) The geographic positions of the following list of aids to navigation was determined by the field party during the 1962 season.

Molokai Lighthouse Laau Pt. Light

Dio Pt., Coast Guard Loren Mast

Kaunakakai Harbor, Entrance Range, Front Light Kaunakakai Harbor, Entrance Range, Rear Light

All nautical aids to be charted were listed on Form 567 and the elevation for each aid was determined by the field party.

- (e) Not applicable
- 10. BOUNDARIES, MONUMENTS, AND LINES

Not applicable

11. OTHER CONTROL

No recoverable topographic stations were established.

In all areas where identifiable objects could be found photo hydro sites were selected. In some cases it will be necessary to locate a more suitable location for the hydrographic signals from the selected photo hydro sites.

12. OTHER INTERIOR FRATURES

All roads in the project area were classified on the field photographs in compliance with the project instructions.

All public buildings with their function was indicated on the field photographs.

The main airport serving the island is located south of the Hoolehua Homestead area in the central section of the island. A small airport for use by small aircraft is located on the Makanalua Peninsula. A small private airstrip is located at Haleolon near the southwest end of the island.

No bridges or overhead cable crossings over navigable water are located in the project area. There are no submerged cables connecting the island with other areas.

13. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

Not Applicable

OCT 3 0 1962

Capt., C& GS

Honolulu District Officer

Respectfully submitted:
Leonard F. Van Scoy Supervisory Survey Technican

Unit Chief, C&GS

Aerotriangulation Report PH-6201 Molokai, Hawaii Strip 4

21. Area Covered

This report covers T-sheets 11821 and 11823 through 11828 along the Northeastern shore of Molokai Island.

22. Method

A horizontal bridge was run on the C-8 stereoplanigraph to provide control for compilation using photographs 62-W-1850 through 1865. The adjustment on the IBM 650 utilized four control stations with one station as a check. A supplemental straight line adjustment was made in the area of Strips #6 and #7.

23. Adequacy of Control

The horizontal control provided complied with project instructions in quantity but not in quality. Station Kikipua 2, 1962 was identified by only one sub-station and this point could not be positively identified. At station Mokohola 1962 two sub-stations plus the home station for Mokohola HGS (old station) were identified. Of these three points only Mokohola HGS (old station) was of any quality and it was doubtful. The adjustment of this strip holds all control within the accuracy of National Standards, however, tie points to Strips #6 and #7 plus a mathematical strain in the adjustment indicates a possible bad adjustment. In view of the above facts, it is requested that stations Kikipua 2, 1962 and Mokohola 1962 be re-identified and that T-sheets in this area be treated as preliminary sheets.

24. N.A.

25. Photography

The photography was adequate in coverage and overlay, however, the time of photography (09:45) along with the steep cliffs in the areas caused large and deep shadows. These shadows prevented picking points in many areas and caused considerable trouble in joining models.

26. In attempting to drop pass points for control of flight 62-W-1850 through 1865 it was found that due to shadows and extreme elevations only a few common points could be provided and these were along the shoreline. Since these points are insufficient to allow detailing by machine methods the shoreline must be delineated by graphic methods and additional points must be pricked by the hydro party.

Submitted by

John D. Perrow, Jr.

Cartographer

Approved by

Henry P. Eichert Chief, Aerotriangulation

Section

PH-6201 Molokai, Hawaii Strip 4

NOTES TO COMPILER

This strip was recomputed on the adjusted control which is now available. The points in the northeastern area moved only 2-3 feet and the junction with Strip #1 showed no appreciable change. The new adjusted positions should be used in preference to those provided earlier.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY



DESCRIPTIVE REPORT CONTROL RECORD

M	MAP T- 11825 PROJECT NO.	NO. PH-6201	SCA	SCALE OF MAP	1:5,000	SCAL	SCALE FACTOR
	STATION	SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)	DATUM	L A	LATITUDE OR Y COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR X COORDINATE	RDINATE	N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (1 Ft. = 3048006 meter) FORWARD (BACK)
	LEINAOPAIO	G.P. P-71	Old Hawaiian	21 10 156 55	5 52.744	1 7	
	MOKAPU	ŧ	¥	21 11 156 55	11.736	77	
	5 5 5						
COMPU	COMPUTED BY	DATE		CHECKED BY	λE		DATE

COMPILATION REPORT Map Manuscript T-11825 Project PH-6201

31. DELINEATION:

Two flights of photographs taken at different times and dates were used for compilation.

The bridging photographs were flown between 0830 and 0900 hours on January 19, 1962 at a scale of 1:25,000. These proved to be very inadequate for shoreline compilation. The flight line was considerably south of the shoreline; therefore, part of the shoreline was obscured by overhanging bluffs and much of it was in deep shadow, making identification of the mean high water line extremely difficult, if not impossible.

The photographs used for hydrographic support were flown around noon on September 24, 1961 at 1:15,000 scale. The line of flight was along the shoreline. The mean high water line was viewed from a better vantage point and in much better light than the bridging photographs.

Because the range of elevation in the stereoscopic models exceeded the vertical range of the B-8 Plotter at 1:5000 scale, the models could not be set and scaled to the manuscript. However, they could be set at 1:10,000 scale and this was done. The aerotriangulation control points were plotted at 1:10,000 scale on a clean sheet of mylar and the models scaled to this worksheet. Points common to the bridging photographs and the hydrographic support photographs were dropped and then transferred from the worksheet to the 1:5,000 scale manuscript by pantograph. The centers of the hydrographic support photographs were then located by resection and themean high water line and shoreline details were compiled graphically.

32. CONTROL:

See Photogrammetric Plot Report by H. P. Eichert dated December 1964.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

None.

34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE:

Contours are not applicable.

Two streams were delineated for a short distance back from the mean high water line.

35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS:

Shoreline and alongshore details were compiled graphically from ratio prints of the 1:15,000 scale photographs.

Field inspection was adequate for delineation of the mean high water line.

36. Offshore details:

The only offshore details are Mokapu Island, Okala Island and Huelo (Island). These were compiled graphically using the ratio prints of 1:15,000 scale photographs.

37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS:

None.

38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:

None.

39. JUNCTIONS:

Satisfactory junctions were made with T-11824 to the west and T-11826 to the east. There are no contemporary surveys to the north and south.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:

No statement.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

Comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Quadrangle KAMALO, HAWAII, ISLAND OF MOLOKAI, Scale 1:24,000, dated 1952.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

Comparison was made with Nautical Chart 4116, Scale 1:250,000, 12th edition, dated August 17, 1964.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY:

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD:

None.

Submitted:

Charles H.Bishop

Charles H. Bishop Cartographer October 31, 1967

Approved and Forwarded:

aler of Poeull Allen L. Powell

Director, AMC

Job PH-6201 Molokai Island, Hawaii Supplement to Compilation Report

Because of the extreme elevations encountered in models along the northeast shore of Molokai, it was impossible to compile the shoreline by normal methods on the B-8 plotters. The methods used are described in the Compilation Reports for PH-6201, T-11825, T-11826, and T-11827.

In order to verify this work, three models (62-W-1853-1854), (62-W-1855-1856), and (62-W-1856-1857) were set on the C-8 Stereoplanigraph, and scaled to the original bridge points. Shoreline detail, offshore rocks, etc. were checked and found to be of National Map Accuracy Standards. Only in model 62-W-1853-1854 was it necessary to hold only the four points nearer the shoreline. The two interior points were an extreme elevation, and were disregarded as probably in error, because the aerotriangulation adjustment used at that time did not include a simultaneous vertical adjustment.

Submitted by:

John D. Perrow, Jr.

Approved by:

Henry P. Eichert

Chief, Aerotriangulation Section

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-6201

T-11825

ALAPAI

ALAPUHI

HAUPU BAY

HAWAII (title)

HUELO

KAALOA

KAPAILOA

KUI

KUKAIWAA POINT

LEINAOPAPIO POINT

MOKAPU ISLAND

MOLOKAI

OKALA ISLAND

PACIFIC OCEAN

PAPAPAIKI

WAIKOLU STREAM

WAINENE

WAIOHOOKALO STREAM

Prepared by: F.W. Pickett (Carto. Technician)

49. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER:

- 1. See FIELD EDIT OZALID.
- 2. Two flights of photographs taken at different#times and dates were used for compilation.

The bridging photographs were flown at 1:25,000 scale on January 19,1962. This flight was flown considerably southoof the shoreline and the exposures were made around 0830 hours. Therefore, part of the shoreline is obscured by overhang and much of it is in deep shadow, making identification of the mean high water line extremely difficult, if not impossible.

The photographs used for hydro support were flown at 1:15,000 scale on September 24,1961. This flight line was flown along the shoreline around noon. The mean high water line was viewed from a much better vantage point and in much better light than the bridging photographs.

Stereoscopic models of the bridging photographs could not be scaled to the 1:5,000 scale manuscripts. However, they could scaled at 1:10,000 scale. This was done and points common to the 1:25,000 scale photographs and the 1:15,000 scale photographs were located. These common points were transferred to the 1:5,000 scale manuscripts, Centers of the ratio prints of the 1:15,000 scale photographs were located by resection, and the mean high water line and other details were compiled graphically.

- 3. There are no photo-hydro points on T-11825.
- 4. The following is a list of photo-hydro points shown on T-11826 and the cronapaque ratio prints for your use if they are still in existence:

Point	Description
2601	Offshore end of walkway.
2602	Lone 20 ft. lahalla tree.
2603	Lone lahalla tree.

FORM C&GS-1002 (9-66)	•	·	·	J.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI ESS.	
	PHO		RIC OFFICE REVIEW	COAST AND GEODETIC SURVE	
		T-	11825		
1. PROJECTION AND GRIDS	2 TITLE		3. MANUSCRIPT NUMBERS	4. MANUSCRIPT SIZE	
СНВ	CHB		СНВ	CHB	
CONTROL STATIONS	, 		·· !		
5. HORIZONTAL CONTROL ST THIRD-ORDER OR HIGHER	ATIONS OF	6. RECOVERA	BLE HORIZONTAL STATIONS IAN THIRD-ORDER ACCURACY	7. PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS	
СНВ	NOO ON AC 1	(Topographi	c stations)	NONE	
8, BENCH MARKS	9. PLOTTING	F SEXTANT	10. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC	11. DETAIL POINTS	
χ	X		Bridge - W. O.	l x	
ALONGSHORE AREAS (Nautice			1 DIII GO I		
12. SHORELINE	13. LOW-WATER	RLINE	14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC.	15. BRIDGES	
СНВ	CH	IΒ	СНВ	χ	
16. AIDS TO NAVIGATION	17. LANDMARK	(S	18. OTHER ALONGSHORE PHYSICAL FEATURES	19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES	
χ	χ		СНВ	СНВ	
PHYSICAL FEATURES	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
20. WATER FEATURES		21. NATURAL	GROUND COVER	22. PLANETABLE CONTOUR	
СНВ		<u> </u>	χ	χ	
23. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT CONTOURS	24. CONTOURS	IN GENERAL	25. SPOT ELEVATIONS	26. OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES	
χ	х		χ	χ	
CULTURAL FEATURES					
27. ROADS	28. BUILDINGS	i	29. RAILROADS	30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES	
Χ	CH	IB	X	X	
BOUNDARIES 31. BOUNDARY LINES 32. PUBLIC LAND LINES					
X 32. PUBLIC LAND LINES X					
MISCELLANEOUS					
33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES		34. JUNCTION	S	35. LEGIBILITY OF THE MANUSCRIPT	
CHB		}	СНВ	CHB	
36. DISCREPANCY OVERLAY	37. DESCRIPTI	VE REPORT	38. FIELD INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS	39. FORMS	
. X	CH	IB	СНВ	СНВ	
40. REVIEWER			SUPERVISOR, REVIEW SECTION	ON UNIT	
Charles H. Bishop	/	14-	Albert C. Rauch. J.		
C.H. Bishop	10/31/	/67	Albert C. Rauck,	Jr.	
11. REMARKS (See attached she FIELD COMPLETION ADDITION		TIONS TO THE	AANITECRIBT		
	s furnished by th	e field complet	tion survey have been applied	to the manuscript. The manu-	
			SUPERVISOR , /		
A. L. Shands P. C. Reviewer: R.J.Pa	-	, 1969 1969	albut C.	Rauck. J.	
G. REMARKS			Albert C. Rauck,	OT.	
Field edit appli	ed from:				
fin]d nd:+ -	walia af m	1_1100E			
field edit o field prin t					
TIGIO PILITI	110. O.L-W	-003			

.

Field Edit Report To Accompany T 11825

USC&GSS McARTHUR

Ronald L. Newsom CDR, USESSA Commanding Officer

51 METHODS

Field Edit on manuscript T 11825 was done in conjunction with hydrography on AR 5-3-68, H 8983 and AR 20-1-68, H 8981. Shoreline was inspected from launches and skiffs. MLLW line was impossible to determine due to heavy swells. Field edit information was shown on the field edit ozalid of T 11825 in violet ink, and on photo #61W1009 in violet ink. The photo was indexed on the field edit ozalid in violet.

52 A DEQUACY

Manuscript T 11825 was completely adequate for a hydrographic survey.

54 RECOMMENDATIONS

None

REVIEW REPORT T-11825

SHORELINE

SEPTEMBER 2, 1970

61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See Summary, which is page 6 of the Descriptive Report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

There was no registered topographic survey available for comparison purposes at the time of final review.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

Comparison was made with USGS KAMALO, HAWAII, 7.5 \times 8.5 minute, 1:24,000-scale quadrangle, edition of 1952. Because of the difference in scale only a visual comparison was feasible.

No discrepancies were noted other than the shoreline of the USGS is necessarily generalized because of its scale.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Comparison was made with copies of boat sheets H-8983 (AR-5-3-68) and H-8981 (AR-20-1-68). The shoreline of H-8983 is in good agreement with that of T-11825. The shoreline for H-8981 was evidently obtained from a reduction of T-11825, and is not in perfect agreement. The difference has been noted on the comparison print in purple.

Many of the rocksdelineated on T-11825 are not on H-8981. All of these have also been noted on the comparison print in purple.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

Comparison was made with Charts 4120, 3rd edition, revised October 14, 1968, and 4130, 6th edition, revised February 10, 1969. The charts and T-11825 are in good general agreement.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

Please refer to the Compilation Report, pages /8 and /9 of the Descriptive Report.

Reviewed by:

Leo F. Beughet Cartographer

Approved by:


Allen I Powell DADM HERE

Allen L. Powell, RADM, USESSA Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved by:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch 500 Chief,

Photogrammetry Division



x=410,000 FT. 55'45" x=412,000 FT. 21° 1.15"
Shoreline - Boat Sheet H-8981 P 21° 11' 00"
T-11825

21° 10' 30" on Boats	heef H-8981 foul pi3
Huelo	Puea So Kukaiw
foul with submerged rocks Not on Boat sheet (3)	** foul
Shoreline from H-8981	Not on H-8981
	+
156°55'30"	
	21° 10′ 00"
	T-11825

