

Diag. Cht. No. 5101-2.
Porm 504
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT
Type of Survey Shoreline (Photogrammetric)
Field No. PH Golf Office No. T-11865
LOCALITY
State CALIFORNIA
General locality Dana Point to Mission Bay
Locality North OF SAN CLEMENTE
1960 - 19.62
CHIEF OF PARTY
FRED NATELLA, PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES SEP 22 1965

JSCOMM-0C 5087

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

	DESCRIPTIVE RI	T- 11865			
PROJECT NO. (II):				<u></u>	
21033					
TELD OFFICE (II):			CHIEF OF PARTY	FRED NATELLA	
Ocean	BIDE, CALIFORNIA		UNIT CHIEF	R. B. MELBY	
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE	HII);	.	OFFICER-IN-CHARGE		
PORTL	and, Oregon			FRED NATELLA	
STRUCTIONS DATED (II) (III)					
AMENDMENT 1:	31 Aug. 1961 1	I, III			
AMENDMENT !: Amendment !!:	25 Apr. 1963 1 Oct. 1963	1 1			
ETHOD OF COMPILATION (III):				
	KELSH INSTRUMENT				
NUSCRIPT SCALE (III):		STEREOS	COPIC PLOTTING INSTRU	MENT SCALE (III): 1:600	
	1:10,000	D	BRAPH SCALE:	1:10,	
ATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGT			PORTED TO NAUTICAL CI	·	
			,		
PPLIED TO CHART NO.		DATE:	DA	TE REGISTERED (IV):	
EOGRAPHIC DATUM ([]1):			VERTICAL DATUM (III):	
			MEAN SEA LEVEL EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS: X		
			Elevations shown as (2	5) refer to mean high water	
	N.A. 1927		Elevations shown as (5)	refer to sounding datum	
	N.A. 1927			refer to sounding datum	
EFERENCE STATION (III):	N.A. 1927			refer to sounding datum	
	N.A. 1927	COVERED IN	i.e., mean low water or	refer to sounding datum	
1		COVERED IN	i.e., mean low water or	refer to sounding datum	
AT.:	No control station rec	COVERED IN	i.e., mean low water or THIS AREA.	refer to sounding datum	
EFERENCE STATION (III): AT.: LANE COORDINATES (IV):	No control station rec	COVERED IN	i.e., mean low water or THIS AREA. ADJUSTED UNADJUSTED) refer to sounding datum mean lower low water	

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II):		DATE:
R. E	SEPT-1961 - MAR-19	
MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III)	(STATE DATE AND METHOD OF LOCATION):	- 1
Осто Соме	• •	
•	_	•
PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED B	Y (IV):	DATE
:	A.R.	4-11-63
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED	BY (IV):	DATE
	L.F.8.	4_11_63
CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III):		DATE
	R. H. MEYER	4-18-63
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):		DATE
)	D. N. WILLIAMS	4_18_63
RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC	CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III):	DATE
	HENRY P. EICHERT	APRIL, 1963
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMP	PLATION (III): PLANIMETRY	DATE
	R. H. MEYER	5_17_63
	CONTOURS	DATE
	None	
MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III)	1	DATE
SMOOTH DRAFT:	C. C. HARRIS	6-5-63
CRIBING BY (III):		DATE
Stick-up:	C. C. HARRIS	11-7-63
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVI	EW BY (III):	DATE
Rough Draft: Advance:	C. C HARRIS	6-5-63

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

	C&GS SINGLE L	ENR "3"				
	PHOT	OGRAPHS (HI)				
NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE	\$1	AGE OF T	IDE
60 S 539A	60 S 539A 9-2-60 11:05 1:30,000					L.W.
60 S 408A	ti	08:55	1:20,000	3.71	11	n
				Сомрите	D FROM	PRF_
•	.			1	TIDE TA	
		TIDE (III)				
				RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	RANGE
REFERENCE STATION:	SAN DIEGO, CAN	LIFORNIA			4.2	5.8
SUBORDINATE STATION:	SAN CLEMENTE,	CALIFORNIA			- 3.7	5.3
SUBORDINATE STATION:						
WASHINGTON OFFICE REVIEW 6	9Y (IV):			DATE:	٠,	
PROOF EDIT BY (IV):				DATE:		
NUMBER OF TRIANGULATION STATIONS SEARCHED FOR (II): 0					D';	
NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FOR (II):					D	
NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PH		ED (III): 0				
NUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOT	O HYDRO STATIONS ESTAB	LISHED (III): 0				
REMARKS:					_	
· · · .						
•	•					

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-11865

PROJECT 21033

REFER TO THE FIELD INSPECTION REPORT BY ROBERT B. MELBY FOR T-11864 THRU T-11877, SEPTEMBER 1961 - MARCH 1962 INCLUDED WITH THE DESCRIPTIVE. REPORT FOR T-11864.

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-11865

PROJECT 21033

REFER TO THE PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT No. 1, BY HENRY P. EICHERT, APRIL 1963 AND INCLUDED WITH THE DESCRIPTIVE REPORT FOR T-11864.

COMPILATION REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-11865

PROJECT 21033

1 TEMS 31 AND 32:

REFER TO THE COMPILATION REPORT FOR T-11864.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

None.

ITEMS 34 AND 35:

REFER TO THE COMPILATION REPORT FOR T-11864.

36. OFFSHORE DETAILSE:

A GROUP OF SUNKEN ROCKS WAS IDENTIFIED BY THE FIELD UNIT AND LOCATED DURING COMPILATION.

37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS:

None.

38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:

None.

39. Junctions:

Satisfactory junctions were made with T-11864 to the north and with T-11866 to the East. The Pacific Ocean is to the south and west.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

Comparison was made with the U.S.G.S. $7\frac{1}{2}$ minute Dana Point, CALIFORNIA QUADRANGLE, BCALE 1:24,000, EDITION 1948.

47. Comparison with Nautical Charts:

COMPARISON WAS MADE WITH NAUTICAL CHART 5101, SCALE 1:234,270 AT LAT. 33° 201, 5TH EDITION, JAN. 6, 1947, REVISED 5-18-59.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY:

NONE.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD:

NONE .

APPROVED:

FRED NATELLA, CAPT, C&GS

PORTLAND DISTRICT OFFICER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

CARTOGRAPHER

48. GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST:

The geographic names on this manuscript are listed below and were furnished by the Washington Office on a final name sheet, a copy of the U.S.G.S. Dana Point, California $7\frac{1}{2}$ minute quadrangle, scale 1:24,000, edition 1948.

GULF OF SANTA CATALINA PACIFIC OCEAN SEGUNDA DEGHECHA CANADA SAN CLEMENTE

Names approved
8-3-65

a. J. Wright

49. Notes to the Hydrographer:

None.

.

_ ._. .

C&G\$ FORM 1002 (11-13-61)			U	S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY	
	PHO		RIC OFFICE REVIEW		
1, PROJECTION AND GRIDS	2 TITLE		3. MANUSCRIPT NUMBERS	4. MANUSCRIPT SIZE	
	1				
CONTROL STATIONS					
5. HORIZONTAL CONTROL ST. THIRD-ORDER OR HIGHER A	ATIONS OF	6. RECOVERAL	BLE HORIZONTAL STATIONS	7. PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS	
		[(Topographic	c stations) None	None	
None 8. BENCH MARKS	9. PLOTTING			11. DETAIL POINTS	
	FIXES		10. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT		
None	No	ne		None	
ALONGSHORE AREAS (Nautical	Chart Data)				
12. SHORELINE	13. LOW-WATE	RLINE	14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC.	15. BRIDGES	
	Non	20-	~	None	
16. AIDS TO NAVIGATION	17. LANDMARI		18. OTHER ALONGSHORE	19. OTHER ALONGSHORE	
Nono	Non		PHYSICAL FEATURES	19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES	
100114	1401	e-			
PHYSICAL FEATURES					
20. WATER FEATURES		21. NATURAL	GROUND COVER	22. PLANETABLE CONTOUR	
			V	Not Applicable	
23. STEREOSCOPIC	24. CONTOUR	S IN GENERAL	25. SPOT ELEVATIONS	26. OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES	
Not Applicable	Not Appl	cable	None	-	
CULTURAL FEATURES					
27. ROADS	28. BUILDING	S	29. RAILROADS	30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES	
~	·				
BOUNDARIES	<u> </u>				
31. BOUNDARY LINES			32. PUBLIC LAND LINES	in i	
None			700.		
MISCELLANEOUS 33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES		34. JUNCTION		35. LEGIBILITY OF THE	
·/			·	MANUSCRIPT	
36. DISCREPANCY OVERLAY	37. DESCRIPT	VE REPORT	38. FIELD INSPECTION	39. FORMS	
<i>i</i>	i	2			
40. REVIEWER	<u> </u>		SUPERVISOR, REVIEW SECTION OR UNIT		
learita le Har	÷		 	ON OR UNIT	
			1	·	
41. REMARKS (See attached sheetel) COMPLETION ADDITION		TIONS TO THE "	ANUSCODT		
			ion survey have been applied	to the manuscript. The manu-	
script is now complete ex-	cept as noted un	der item 43.		-o are manascript, The manu-	
COMPILER			SUPERVISOR		
			į		
43. REMARKS	 		<u></u>		
		ſ			
		-			

USCOMM-DC 16252-P61

Review Report Shoreline Maps T-11864 through T-11869 July 1965

61. General Statement

Area - The project encompasses the California Coast from Dana Point to Mexico.

Purpose - The purpose of this project is to provide shoreline and harbor maps for our nautical and aeronautical charting programs.

62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys

T-5413	1:10,000	1934
T-5414	1:10,000	1934
T-5415	1:10,000	1934
T-5416	1:10,000	1934
T-5417	1:10,000	1934

There are cultural and shoreline differences due to the time interval.

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies

Las Pulgas Canyon San Onofre Bluff	1:24,000 1:24,000	1948 1949
San Clemente	1:24,000	1948
Dana Point	1:24,000	1948

Considering the time interval, the maps are in comparitively close agreement.

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys

None

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts

5101 1:234,270 April 1965

Because of the scale differences not true comparison can be made.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys

These surveys were prepared according to project instructions and comply with the National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Reviewed by:

hc Lande

Approved by:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch

Chief. Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Nautical Chart Division

NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE REPORT OF SURVEY NO.

INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.

2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
5101	8/3/67	John P. Weis	Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
	7 7 -	<u>/</u>	Drawing No. Examined no critical correction
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
		<u></u>	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
_ 		<u> </u>	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	<u> </u>		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
]		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
 -	<u> </u>		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
,			Drawing No.
			<u> </u>
	-		
	ļ — —		<u> </u>
	<u> </u>		