

my

Form 504		
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY		
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT		
Type of Survey ShoreLine (Photogrammetric)		
Field No. Ph=6101 Office No. T=12070		
LOCALITY		
State Washington		
General locality Puget Sound		
Locality POINT Ng POINT		
1960-1961		
CHIEF OF PARTY		
FRED NATELLA		
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES		
DATE May 1964		

USCOMM-DC 5087

FORM C&G5-181a (12-61)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

	DESCRIPTIVE REPO	ORT - DATA	A RECORD		
PROJECT NO. (II):					
Pn-6	101				
FIELD OFFICE (II):			CHIEF OF PARTY		
	IN			FRE	NATELLA
	SEND, WASHINGTON		Unit Chief:		F. VAN SCOY
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):			OFFICER-IN-CHAP		
PORTLAND,	OREGON			FRE	D NATELLA
AMENDMENT 1, AMENDMENT 1, AMENDMENT 4,	14 MARCH 1961	, III			
METHOD OF COMPILATION (III):					
	REOSCOPIC INSTRUMEN	_			
	REDSCOPIC INSTRUMEN				. =
NUSCRIPT SCALE (III):		STEREOSCO	EREOSCOPIC PLOTTING INSTRUMENT SCALE (III): 1:6000		
1:10,000		PANTOGRAPH SCALE: 1:10,000			
DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OF	FICE (IV):	DATE REPO	ORTED TO NAUTICA	L CHART BRA	NCH (IV):
APPLIED TO CHART NO.		DATE:		DATE REGIS	TERED (IV):
GEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):		<u> </u>	VERTICAL DATU	м (ні) :	
N.A. 1927			MEAN SEA LEVEL Elevations shown a Elevations shown a i.e., mean low wate	aa (25) refer to aa (5) refer to e	mean high water nounding detum
REFERENCE STATION (III):		i		_ _	
NO POINT,	1991				
LAT.:	LONG.:		M ADJUSTED		
47° 541 44.6749	122° 31' 31.4	68"	M ADJUSTED		
PLANE COORDINATES (IV):			STATE		ZONE
337,379.52	×= 1,585,064.69		Washingto	¥	North
ROMAN NUMERALS INDICATE WHETH OR (IV) WASHINGTON OFFICE.	ER THE ITEM IS TO BE ENTE	RED BY (II) F	IELD PARTY, (III)	PHO TOGRAMMI	ETRIC OFFICE,

WHEN ENTERING NAMES OF PERSONNEL ON THIS RECORD GIVE THE SURNAME AND INITIALS, NOT INITIALS ONLY.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II): DATE: L.-F. VAN SCOY & R. B. MELBY MAY-JUNE 1961 MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE AND METHOD OF LOCATION): LOCATED BY FIELD INSPECTION JUNE, 1961 AND COMPILED BY KELSH INSTRUMENT. DATE PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV): . A. R. 4-12-62 DATE PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV): A. R. 4-16-62 DATE CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III): C. H. BISHOP 5-17-62 DATE CONTROL CHECKED BY (III): J. L. HARRIS 5-18-62 RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III): DATE R. J. PUHL **APRIL 1962** STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III): PLANIMETRY DATE D. N. WILLIAMS 5-8-62 DATE CONTOURS DATE MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III): DATE 9-13-62 SCRIBING BY (III): C. C. HARRIS STICK-UP: C. C. HARRIS 1-9-63 DATE PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III): J. L. HARRIS, ROUGH DRAFT 7-3-62 J. L. HARRIS, ADVANCE 2-10-63 REMARKS:



DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

CAMERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):

	C&GS SINGLE	LENS "W"				
	PH	OTOGRAPHS (III)		_		
NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE .	S1	AGE OF TE	DE .
60 W 2070 THRU 2072	9-21-60	12:30	1:30,000	4.0' A	BOVE M.	.L.W.
RATIO PRINTS OF ABO	VE AT 1:10,000	SCALE.				
					-	
					TED FRO	
		TIDE (III)		<u> </u>		
	•			RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	SPRING RANGE
REFERENCE STATION:	SEATTLE, WA	SH I NGTON			7.6	11.3
ORDINATE STATION:	POINT No Po	INT, WASHINGTO	ON		6.7	10.4
SUBORDINATE STATION:						
NASHINGTON OFFICE REVIEW BY	(IV):			DATE:		
PROOF EDIT BY (IV):			·	DATE:		,
NUMBER OF TRIANGULATION STA	TIONS SEARCHED FOR	s (ii): 2 .	RECOVERED: 2	IDENTIFIE	2	
NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FOR (II): O RECOVERED: 0					0	
NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PHOT	O STATIONS ESTABLE	SHED (III): 0				
IUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOTO	TYDRO STATIONS EST	ABLISHED (III):	1			
REMARKS:						
		•				
• •		•	•			

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-12070

PROJECT PH-6101

REFER TO THE FIELD INSPECTION REPORT FOR T=12069 THRU T=12072 BY L. F. VAN SCOY, MAY = JUNE 1961 AND INCLUDED WITH THE DESCRIPTIVE REPORT FOR T=12069.

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-12070

PROJECT PH-6101

REFER to the Photogrammetric P_L ot Report No. 1 by Raymond J. Puhl, April 1962, inlouded with the Descriptive Report for T=12066.

FORM 16# (4-23-54)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

DAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY ROL RECORD

COMM- DC- 57843 FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS 9 (BACK) SCALE FACTOR NONE FORWARD DISTANCE FROM GKID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (BACK) N.A. 1927 - DATUM FORWARD CORRECTION SCALE OF MAP 1:10,000 DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (BACK) 64.69 27.54 FORWARD 2357.43 2379.52 1735.8 9982.4 1735.8 9982.4 LONGITUDE OR *-COORDINATE LATITUDE OR y-COORDINATE 337.379.52 337,357.43 1,585,064,69 1,585,027.54 336,735.8 1,584,982.4 336,735.8 1,584,982.4 PROJECT NO. PH-6101 DATUM N.A. 1927 = = SOURCE OF INFORMATION VASH.N. P-276 OFF ICE P-269 (INDEX) COMP. = MAP T-...12070 LIGHTHOUSE, 1921 POINT NO POINT NO POINT, 1921 1 FT.=.3048006 METER STATION ⋖ œ SUB STA. SUB STA.

5-15-62

DATE.

C.H.B.

CHECKED BY:

5-1-62

DATE.

COMPUTED BY: A.J.P.

COMPILATION REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-12070

PROJECT PH-6101

ITEMS 31 THRU 34:

REFER to the Compilation Report for T-12068.

35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS:

The Mean High water line was spot located by the field unit and refined by the compiler during compilation by Kelsh Instrument. Several small piers and marine railways were identified. The character of the foreshore was field inspected and the offshore limits of these features were delineated from photography taken at a predicted tide of 4.0 ft. above M.L.L.W.

THE APPROXIMATE SHALLOW LINE SHOWN WAS DETERMINED FROM OFFICE INSPECTION OF THE PHOTOGRAPHY.

36. OFFSHORE DETAILS:

OFFSHORE FEATURES CONSIST OF DOLPHINS, PILES AND ROCKS, ALL OF WHICH WERE IDENTIFIED BY THE FIELD UNIT AND THEIR POISITIONS WERE DETERMINED BY THE KELSH INSTRUMENT OPERATOR. THE HEIGHTS OF THE ROCKS OSTAINED AT THE TIME OF FIELD INSPECTION WERE ADJUSTED TO THE MANUSCRIPT VERTICAL DATUM.

37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS:

One intersection triangulation station has been recommended for charting as a nonfloating aid. Form 567 is submitted.

38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:

One photo-hydro station was identified by the field unit and located during the aerotriangulation bridge. This position has been plotted on the manuscript. Refer to side heading 49, Notes for the Hydrographer.

39. JUNCTIONS::

Satisfactory junctions were made with T=12069 to the west and with T=12071 to the south. Puget Sound Lies to the north and east of this manuscript.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

Comparison was made with the U.S.G.S. $7\frac{1}{2}$ minute Hansville, Washington quadrangle, scale 1:24,000, edition 1953.

47. Comparison with Nautical Charts:

COMPARISON WAS MADE WITH THE FOLLOWING NAUTICAL CHARTS:

NAUTICAL CHART 6401, Scale 1:150,000 AT LAT. 47° 40° 18T ED. 8-10-46, REVISED 10-6-58.

NAUTICAL CHART 6450, SCALE 1:80,000 AT LAT. 47° 57° 12TH ED. Nov. 1961, REVISED 8-6-62.

NAUTICAL CHART 6421, SCALE 1:20,000 AT LAT. 47° 53° 1ST ED. NOV. 1945, REVISED 8-8-60.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY:

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD:

NONE.

Theo for all

FRED NATELLA, CAPT, C&GS PORTLAND DISTRICT OFFICER Respectency enemattes

JAMES L. HARRIS Cartographer

48. GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST:

The following geographic names were furnished by the Washington Office on a final name sheet, a copy of the U.S.G.S. Hansville, Washington $7\frac{1}{2}$ minute quadrangle, scale 1:24,000, . edition 1953.

BUCK LAKE
HANSVILLE
NORWEGIAN POINT
PILOT POINT
POINT NO POINT
PUGET SOUND.

Hogsophie nomes Lugar 14 1963

49. Notes for the Hydrographer:

THE DESCRIPTION AND NUMBER OF THE ONE PHOTO-HYDRO STATION SHOWN ON THIS MANUSCRIPT IS LISTED BELOW. IT WAS IDENTIFIED BY THE FIELD UNIT AND LOCATED DURING THE CONTROL EXTENSION BY ANALYTIC AEROTRIANGULATION METHODS.

No •	DESCRIPTION	FIELD PHOTO. No.
7001	LONE FIR TREE	60 W 2070

C&G\$ FORM 1002 (11-13-61)			L	J.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC COAST AND GEODETIC SURVE
	PHO		RIC OFFICE REVIEW	
		∓ -	10363 T-12070	
1. PROJECTION AND GRIDS	2. TITLE	·	3. MANUSCRIPT NUMBERS	4. MANUSCRIPT SIZE
×	×	•	×	· ×
CONTROL STATIONS	<u> </u>			
5. HORIZONTAL CONTROL STA THIRD-ORDER OR HIGHER A	ATIONS OF	6. RECOVERA	BLE HORIZONTAL STATIONS IAN THIRD-ORDER ACCURACY	7. PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS
X	COURACT	(Topographi	c stations)	×
8. BENCH MARKS	9. PLOTTING	F SEXTANT	10. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC	-11. DETAIL POINTS
None	Not	re	X	None
ALONGSHORE AREAS (Nautical	Chart Data)			<u></u>
12. SHORELINE	13. LOW-WATER	RLINE	14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC.	15. BRIDGES
×	X		×	None
16. AIDS TO NAVIGATION	17. LANDMARK	(S	18. OTHER ALONGSHORE PHYSICAL FEATURES	19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES
×	×	1	×	×
PHYSICAL FEATURES	1		<u> </u>	
20. WATER FEATURES		21. NATURAL	GROUND COVER	22. PLANETABLE CONTOUR
×			×	None
23. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT CONTOURS	24. CONTOURS	I GENERAL	25. SPOT ELEVATIONS	26. OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES
None	Non	7e	None	×
CULTURAL FEATURES	<u>.</u>			<u>. ' </u>
27. ROADS	28. BUILDINGS	;	29. RAILROADS	30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES
×	>	<	None	×
BOUNDARIES 31. BOUNDARY LINES			120	
None			32. PUBLIC LAND LINES.	
MISCELLANEOUS				
33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES		34, JUNCTION		35. LEGIBILITY OF THE
×			×	×
36. DISCREPANCY OVERLAY	37. DESCRIPTI	VE REPORT	38. FIELD INSPECTION	39. FORMS
None	>	(×	× .
40. REVIEWED	2 , /		SUPERVISOR, REVIEW SECTI	ON OF WHIT
James L'Harris			W. Suran	d Deal
II. REMARKS (See attached shee	10		1 James	<u>c</u> week
FIELD COMPLETION ADDITION		TIONS TO THE A	MANUSCRIPT	
42. Additions and corrections script is now complete exc	furnished by th	e field complet der item 43,	ion survey have been applied	to the manuscript. The manu-
COMPILER	-		SUPERVISOR	
			!	
43. REMARKS			<u> </u>	

Review Report Shoreline Surveys T-12068 thru T-12073 November 1963

61. Semeral Statement

These are six (6) shoreline maps of project PH-6101, Admiralty Inlet, Washington. These maps were prepared primerily for the location of non-floating sids and landmarks for the revision of our natuical charts and for control of proposed hydrographic surveys.

62: Comparison with Registered Tepegraphic Surveys

T-1303b	1:10,000	1872
T-1389b	1:10,000	1872
T-4287	1:10,000	1927
T-4307	1:10,000	1927
17-6259	1:20,000	1934

Seltural and shoreline changes have been continuous. These map manuscripts are to supersade the above listed surveys for common area for namitical charting.

63. Comparison with Mans of Other Agencies

Port Ludion	1:24,000	1953
Hansville	1:24,000	1953
Port Gamble	1:24,000	1953
Edmonds (East)	1:24,000	1953
Edmonds (West)	1:24.000	1053

There are cultural and shoreline changes due to the difference in survey dates.

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys - Mone

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts

6401 1:150,000	Oct. 1962	
6521 1:20,000	revised to Aug 1960	
6450 1:80,000	revised to June 1063	
There are no differences the subject manageripts.	or importance between	the Charts and

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys

These surveys were prepared according to project instructions and are within the required accuracy for nautical charting.

Revie red 31/64 111

NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE REPORT OF SURVEY NO. T-12070

INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.

2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
18461	3-20-80	R.a. Lillis	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
		5-13-80 RCS	Drawing No. 17
<u> 1844 </u>	5/2/80	R.a. Lillis	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
•		5-30-80-RCS	Drawing No. 49
18423	1/8/81	D. C. Lawon	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
<u>10 Pa J</u>	1,0707	32-2/20P	Drawing No. off cht limits. No corr
11.00	- /2- 6	001	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
18445	2/25/81	A.C. Larsan	Drawing No. /7
	<u>.</u>	1 100	
18440	3/10/81	D. C. Larson	Full Pare Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
	7. /3.	37081 HB	Drawing No. 33
<u></u>			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
 			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	<u> </u>		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	<u> </u>		
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
		<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>		