NOAA FORM 76-35 (3-76)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

THERE S. I	
Map No.	Edition No.
т-13167	
Job No.	_
РН-6709	
Map Classification	
FINAL FIELD EDITED MAP	
Type of Survey	
SHORELINE	
LOCALIT	Υ
State	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ALASKA	
General Locality	
SHELIKOF STRAIT	
Locality	
HIDDEN HARBOR	-
10 67 TO 16	
19 67 TO 19	75
REGISTRY IN AR	CHIVES
DATE	

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1976-669-248

ı		

RCE TYPE OF SURVEY	SURVEY TP
D ORIGINAL	MAP EDITION NO. (1)
	Final Field
RESURVEY	MAP CLASS Edited Map
REVISED	JOB РН- <u>6709.</u>
LAST PRECEEDI	NG MAP EDITION
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JOB PH
ORIGINAL	MAP CLASS
RESURVEY	SURVEY DATES:
. Revised	19To (9
	TELD
Premarking Feb	10, 1967
ļ	
l l	
OTHER (Consider)	
OTHER (Specity)	
OTHER (Specify)	
ļ	
4. 9	RID(5)
STATE	ZONE 5
	ZONE
SIA IE	ZONE
	
NAME	DATE
	Apr 1968
A. Bethea	Jun 1968
R. Glaser	Jun 1968
L. Neterer, Jr.	Apr 1971
PBY R. White	Apr 1971
S BY NA	
	Apr 1971
	May 1971
s BY NA	Elay 17/1
· IVA	ì
DBY NA	
NA J. Bulfer	Apr 1971
	Apr 1971 May 1971
J. Bulfer	May 1971 May 1971
J. Bulfer DBY R. White	May 1971 May 1971 May 1976
J. Bulfer R. White R. White C. Blood DBY L. Neterer	May 1971 May 1971 May 1976 May 1976
J. Bulfer R. White R. White R. White C. Blood DBY L. Neterer RY C. Blood	May 1971 May 1971 May 1976 May 1976 May 1976
J. Bulfer R. White R. White R. White BY C. Blood DBY L. Neterer BY C. Blood	May 1971 May 1971 May 1976 May 1976 May 1976 Feb 1987
J. Bulfer R. White R. White R. White C. Blood DBY L. Neterer RY C. Blood	May 1971 May 1971 May 1976 May 1976 May 1976
	ORIGINAL RESURVEY REVISED LAST PRECEEDING TYPE OF SURVEY ORIGINAL RESURVEY REVISED OTHER (Specify) OTHER (Specify) OTHER (Specify) A. G. STATE Alaska STATE Alaska STATE NAME BY I. Saperstein None BY A. Bethea R. Glaser L. Neterer, Jr. R. White BY NA

NOAA FORM 76-36B (3-72)	CO	T-13167 MPILATION SOL		C AND ATMOSPHER	MENT OF COMMERCI LIC ADMINISTRATIO NAL OCEAN SURVE
I. COMPILATION PHOTOGRAPHY CAMERA(S) Wild RC 8 "L"			HOTOGRAPHY	TIME RE	FERENCE
Wild RC 9 "M" FL=88,20mm TIDE STAGE REFERENCE TO PREDICTED TIDES REFERENCE STATION RECORDS TIDE CONTROLLED PHOTOGRAPHY		(C) COLOR (P) PANCHRO	LEGEND (C) COLOR (P) PANCHROMATIC (I) INFRARED		X STANDARI
NUMBER AND TYPE	DATE	TIME	SCALE	150th STAGE	OF TIDE
67L(C) 4617 & 4619	7/27/67	13:18	1:30,000	4.2 ft abov	e MLLW
67M(P) 934-936	7/11/67		1:60,000	Not applica	ble
REMARKS					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
lie				•	
2. SOURCE OF MEAN HIGH-WATE The mean high water 1		led from the	above listed	l photographs	
3. SOURCE OF MEAN HOW WATE The mean lower low wa			•		

4. CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS (List only those surveys that are sources for photogrammetric survey information.)

SURVEY NUMBER DATE(S) SURVEY COPY USED SURVEY NUMBER DATE(S) SURVEY COPY USED

5. FINAL JUNCTIONS			
no survey	EAST T-13168	souтн Т-13171	no survey
REMARKS			

none


NOAA FORM 76-36C (3-72)	т-13167	NATIONAL OCEAN	U. S. DEPARTMEN IG AND ATMOSPHERIC NATIONAL	IT OF COMMERCE ADMINISTRATION LOCEAN SURVEY
	HISTORY OF FIELD	OPERATIONS		
I. X FIELD INSPECTIO	NOPERATION premarking FIEL	D EDIT OPERATION		_
	OPERATION	N.	AME	DATE
1. CHIEF OF FIELD PAI	RTY		•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RECOVERED BY	G. Short None		June 1967
2. HORIZONTAL CONTR		None		
	PRE-MARKED OR IDENTIFIED BY	None		_
	RECOVERED BY	NA		
3. VERTICAL CONTROL	ESTABLISHED BY	NA		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PRE-MARKED OR IDENTIFIED BY	NA		
4 LAUDHADUS AND	RECOVERED (Triangulation Stations) BY	None		
4. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION		None		
	TYPE OF INVESTIGATION	None		
5. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES	COMPLETE			
INVESTIGATION	SPECIFIC NAMES ONLY			
***	X NO INVESTIGATION			
6. PHOTO INSPECTION	CLARIFICATION OF DETAILS BY	None		
7. BOUNDARIES AND LI	MITS SURVEYED OR IDENTIFIED BY	NA		
II. SOURCE DATA 1. HORIZONTAL CONTR	OL IDENTIFIED	2. VERTICAL CONT	TROL IDENTIFIED	
None .		NA		
PHOTO NUMBER	STATION NAME	PHOTO NUMBER	STATION DESI-	GNATION
3. PHOTO NUMBERS (CI	atification of details)	J		
None				
4. LANDMARKS AND ALL	S TO NAVIGATION IDENTIFIED			
None				
PHOTO NUMBER	OBJECT NAME	PHOTO NUMBER	OBJECT N	
	APPET HAME	PHOTO NOMBER	083201 10	AME
		}		
5. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES		6. BOUNDARY AND	LIMITS: REPOR	T X NONE
7. SUPPLEMENTAL MAR	S AND PLANS			
	DDS /Shatah hoofs are DD MOT !!	4444 A C		
OF OTHER PIECO RECO	RDS (Sketch books, etc. DO NOT list data submi	ned to the Geodesy Div	vision)	
. None				
			_	
			·	

NUAA FORM /6-36C (3-72)			NATIONAL OCEAN	U. S. DE	PARTMENT SPHERIC A	OF COMMERC
		т-13167				OCEAN SURVE
		HISTORY OF FIELD	OPERATIONS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
I. [] FIELD INSPEC	CTION OPE	RATION X FIEL	DEDIT OPERATION			
	ОР	ERATION	N	AME		DATE
1. CHIEF OF FIELD	PARTY		R. Alderman			May 1975
	<u> </u>	RECOVERED BY	None			
2. HORIZONTAL CO	NTROL	ESTABLISHED BY	None			
		PRE-MARKED OR IDENTIFIED BY	None			
		RECOVERED BY	NA			
3. VERTICAL CONT	ROL	ESTABLISHED BY	NA			,,
		PRE-MARKED OR (DENTIFIED BY	NA		· .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	R	ECOVERED (Triangulation Stations) BY	None			
4. LANDMARKS AND		LOCATED (Field Methods) BY	None			
AIDS TO NAVIGA		IDENTIFIED BY	None			
		TYPE OF INVESTIGATION				
5. GEOGRAPHIC NA	MES	COMPLETE				
INVESTIGATION		SPECIFIC NAMES ONLY	,			
		X NO INVESTIGATION				1075
6. PHOTO INSPECT	ION	CLARIFICATION OF DETAILS BY	Thomas & An	derly		May 1975
7. BOUNDARIES AN	O LIMITS	SURVEYED OR IDENTIFIED BY	NA			
II. SOURCE DATA						
1. HORIZONTAL CO	NTROL IDE	INTIFIED	2. VERTICAL CON	TROL IDENTI	FIED	
None			NA NA			
PHOTO NUMBER		STATION NAME	PHOTO NUMBER	STAT	ION DESIGN	NATION
		•	1			
		•				
2 BUOTO NUMBER		4				
3. PHOTO NUMBER:	> (Clarificat	ion of details)				
(71 //17						
67L-4617	AIDS TO A	AVIGATION IDENTIFIED				
4. CANDMANKS AND	7 KIDS 10 K	TAVIGATION (DENTIFIED				
Nama		•			,	
None	<u></u>		T_:			
PHOTO NUMBER		OBJECT NAME	PHOTO NUMBER		BJECT NA	ME
			1			
5. GEOGRAPHIC NA	MES	REPORT X NONE	6. BOUNDARY AND	D I IMITE:	T RESCO	X NONE
7. SUPPLEMENTAL			13. COUNDART AND	LIMITS:	REPORT	NONE
None						
8. OTHER FIELD R	ECORDS (Sk	etch books, etc. DO NOT list data submi-	ited to the Geodesv Di	vision)		
1 Field e						
I ITEIG 6	rop					
		•				

NOAA FORM 76-36D (3-72) U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE T-13167

RECORD OF SURVEY USE

I. MANUSC	RIPT COPIES					
	COM	APILATION STAGE		DATE MANUSCRI	PT FORWARDED	
	DATA COMPILED	DATE	REI	MARKS	MARINE CHARTS	HYDRO SUPPORT
_	lation complete, ng field edit	Aug 1971		I manuscrip	5/14/71	4/2/75
	edit applied. lation complete.	May 1976	Class I n	nanuscript	1/11/80	8/4/76
Final	Review	Feb 1987	Final Ma	ρ	June 1987	
II. LANDM	ARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGA	rion None				
1. REP	ORTS TO MARINE CHART DI	VISION, NAUTICAL	DATA BRANCH			
NUMBER	CHART LETTER NUMBER ASSIGNED	DATE FORWARDED			REMARKS	
				<u>-</u>		
				,		
	REPORT TO MARINE CHART REPORT TO AERONAUTICAL					
	RAL RECORDS CENTER DAT		,			
2.	BRIDGING PHOTOGRAPHS; CONTROL STATION IDENTI SOURCE DATA (except for GI ACCOUNT FOR EXCEPTION	FICATION CARDS;		s 767 900 mitte		
						ı
4. L	DATA TO FEDERAL RECOR	DS CENTER. DAT	E FORWARDED:			_
IV. SURV	EY EDITIONS (This section si	JOB NUMBE		edition is regis		
SECOND	1	(2) PH	к		TYPE OF SURVEY	SURVEY
EDITION	DATE OF BUG TOOR A	Y DATE OF FI	ELD EDIT		MAP CLASS	FINAL
·	SURVEY NUMBER	JOB NUMBE	R		TYPE OF SURVEY	
THIRD	TP	(3) PH		[REVISED RES	URVEY
EDITION	DATE OF PHOTOGRAPH	Y DATE OF FI	ELD EDIT		MAP CLASS	- FINAL
<u>-</u> -	SURVEY NUMBER	JOB NUMBE	R		TYPE OF SURVEY	
FOURTH	TP			į]REVISED RES	ÜRVEY
EDITION	DATE OF PHOTOGRAPH	DATE OF PI	ELD EDIT		MAP CLASS]iii. □iv. □v.	□ _{FINAL}



SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

T-13167

This 1:10,000 scale Final shoreline map is one of twenty-three maps designated as project PH-6709, Shelikof Strait, Cook Inlet, Alaska. Six maps are 1:20,000 scale and seventeen maps are 1:10,000 scale.

The purpose of this map was to provide contemporary shoreline in support of hydrographic operations and to aid in chart revision.

Field work prior to compilation during the 1967 field season consisted of recovery and premarking of horizontal control for aerotriangulation.

This map area was photographed in July 1967 with the RC-9 "M" camera at 1:60,000 scale using panchromatic film. The map area was also photographed in July 1967 with the RC-8 "L" camera at 1:30,000 scale using color film.

Aerotriangulation was completed at the Washington Office in April 1968.

This map was compiled at the Norfolk Office in May 1971.

Field edit was acquired for T-13167 during the 1975 field season. Field edit was applied at AMC in May 1976.

Final review was accomplished at the Atlantic Marine Center in February 1987. A Chart Maintenance Print was prepared and forwarded to the Marine Charts Branch.

This Descriptive Report contains all pertinent information used to compile this Final Field Edited Map. The original base manuscript and all related data were forwarded to the Washington Science Center for final registration.

FIELD INSPECTION

T-13167

There was no field inspection prior to compilation. Field work accomplished was limited to the recovery and premarking of the horizontal control necessary for the aerotriangulation of the project.

Photogrammetric Plot Report Job PH-6709 Shelikof Strait, Alaska

April 1968

21. Area_Covered

The area of this report covers the western shore of Shelikof Strait, Alaska, and consists of seven (7) 1:20,000 scale T-sheets, T-13154 thru T-13160 and seventeen (17) 1:10,000 scale T-sheets T-13161 thru T-13177.

22. Method

Strips 1, 2, 3 and 4 were bridged by analytic aerotriangulation methods. Strips 211, 212, 222, 223, 232, 233, 241 and 281 were bridged by stereoplanigraph using tie points located by the analytic bridge. Strips 224, 231, 242 and 243 were not bridged, but sufficient points have been located to set the models. Photographs 4576 and 4578 on sheet T-13174 are to be compiled graphically using points to be transferred from the color plates to the ratio prints. This is a water model and may be difficult to set.

The attached sketch of the strips bridged shows the placement of triangulation used in the final strip adjustments. Closures to control are shown for each strip on the IBM readout, along with all bridge points on Alaska Zone 5 plane coordinates.

23. Adequacy of Control

Horizontal control is adequate to control strips 1, 2, 3 and 4. All color photographs that were bridged used tie points and horizontal control. This was adequate. All horizontal control was premarked with the exception of DAKAVAK, 1967 and KINAK, 1967. RC-9 photography on strip 2 was flown before the above stations were panelled. KINAK, 1967 was transferred on the PUG from strip 4 to strip 2. DAKAVAK, 1967 was outside the limits of strip 1 and 4 and it was impossible to transfer the point from the color photography due to a poor area. DAKAVAK, 1967 was therefore omitted from the adjustment of strip 2.

DOUGLAS, 1964 could not be held in the adjustment of strip 3. The station is at the extreme edge of the photograph where film distortion is greatest.

24. Supplemental Data

Vertical control needed for the adjustment was taken from USGS quadrangles.

25. Photography

The definition and quality of the RC-9 $^{\prime\prime}M^{\prime\prime}$ and RC-8 $^{\prime\prime}L^{\prime\prime}$ color photography were fair and good respectively. Coverage was adequate to compile all sheets.

Ratio prints have been ordered from the 1:40,000 scale color photographs on black and white base that cover the 1:20,000 scale sheets. Ratio prints have also been ordered from the 1:30,000 scale color photographs on black and white base that cover the 1:10,000 scale sheets.


Respectively submitted,

I. I. Saperstein

Approved and forwarded

Ç

Chief, Aerotriangulation Section



Control used in adjustment
- strips bridged analytically
- strips bridged by stereo planigraph
- strips not bridged; models to be
sealed using points from
analytic bridge.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NAMONAL DEPARTMENT
NAMONAL DEPARTMENT
NAMONAL DEPARTMENT
NATIONAL OCEAN SERVICES
CHARTING AND GEODETIC SERVICES
Rockville. Md. 20852

March 10, 1983

N/CG2321:GF

T0:

N/CG232 - George M. Ball

N/MOA22 - A. Y. Bryson

FROM:

N/CG23 - Lawrence W. Fritz

SUBJECT: Geodetic Datum, Jobs PH-6709 and CM-7607 Part H

A horizontal datum conflict occurs between these jobs. This conflict was detected during an evaluation of 1980 field data developed for PH-6709. A complete review of project data for both jobs has been conducted to seek the proper course of action required to resolve this matter.

- 1. Review. The examination revealed the following:
 - a. Maps comprising each job are Class I and unreviewed.
 - b. Copies of unreviewed maps have been furnished in support of hydrography by N/MOA221.
 - c. N/CG232 has not released any data to N/CG22.
 - d. Aerotriangulation of each job checked well within the specified standards.
 - e. The National Geodetic Survey, in 1976, readjusted segments of the control network within the region of Alaska covered by these photogrammetric jobs. This action affected all geodetic stations used in these projects and resulted in an adjustment of approximately -.02 second in latitude and +.84 second in longitude to the stations.
 - f. The datum conflict occurs because base compilation of PH-6709 is based on aerotriangulated positions determined using geodetic station positions prior to the 1976 adjustment and CM-7607 compilation is controlled using post-1976 adjusted geodetic positions.
 - g. Conflict between jobs went unnoticed during aerotriangulation and compilation. Two reasons probably caused this; aerotriangulation operations were accomplished independently and meet standards, and the shoreline at the junction between jobs is oriented in an east-west direction and the major datum shift occurs in longitude.



- h. Map T-13176(PH-6709) represents conflicting data. This map depicts detail compiled from photographs controlled using pre-1976 geodetic data and 1980 field information based on adjusted geodetic data.
- Users of PH-6709 data must be alerted about the geodetic adjustment.
 Users will be required to effect a datum adjustment before this data is used in the production of charts, other maps or surveys, etc.
- 2. <u>Actions Required</u>. Because of the 1976 geodetic adjustment, the following actions are required and to be taken immediately:
 - a. Make appropriate report documentation for each map of PH-6709 indicating that map detail is based on geodetic control positions prior to the 1976 adjustment and add this statement to each map: "The National Geodetic Survey readjusted the geodetic network in 1976. This map is based on geodetic control positions prior to the adjustment." Because CM-7607 is based on adjusted control, a map notation is not required. However, for the one map junctioning with PH-6709, report documentation addressing the datum conflict is required.
 - b. Field data developed in 1980 was applied to T-13176(PH-6709). Data applied based on 1980 field geodetic positions are to be removed. This will generally include geodetic stations and rocks. Data applied based on map detail/photo image points are adequate and will remain in the photogrammetric records, e.g.; area limits, items graphically applied, items intersected using radial plot principals.
 - c. Field data and records acquired that are based on 1980 geodetic field control and affecting T-13176 are to be transferred to the hydrographic record for H-9887 and H-9896 through N/CG2321. It will be necessary to prepare duplicate field records to remain with photogrammetric data.
 - d. A map copy of T-13176, after it is updated, will be required to complete H-9887/H-9896 and is to be routed through N/CG2321 to N/CG24.
 - 3. Miscellaneous. A request has been made by N/CG24 for an updated copy of T-13176 before 4/20/83. If compliance with this request cannot be met, please inform this office immediately. Completion schedule for final review is pending and will be addressed by subsequent instructions.

cc: N/CG2342 N/CG24 N/MOA221 ✓

COMPILATION REPORT

T-13167

31. DELINEATION:

Delineation was by Wild B-8 methods using the color photography of July 27, 1967. The photography was adequate.

32. CONTROL:

See Photogrammetric Plot Report dated April 1968.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

None.

34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE:

Contours are inapplicable. Drainage was compiled from office interpretation of the photographs.

35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS:

All details were compiled from office interpretation of the photographs.

36. OFFSHORE DETAILS:

None.

37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS:

There were no charted nonfloating aids or landmarks and none were noted during stereoscopic instrument compilation.

38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEY:

None.

T-13167

39. JUNCTIONS:

Junctions are in agreement with sheet T-13168 to the east and sheet T-13170 to the south. There are no contemporary surveys to the north or west.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:

No Statement.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

A comparison has been made with USGS Quadrangle MT. KATMAI (A-2) ALASKA, scale 1:63,360 dated 1951.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A comparison has been made with Chart 8556, scale 1:350,000, 3rd edition, dated October 23, 1967.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY:

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD:

None.

Submitted by:

for

J. Bulfer (C. Parker) Cartographic Technician

Charles E. Blood

April 14, 1971

Approved:

Albert C. Rauck, Jr.

Charles E. Blood

Chief, Coastal Mapping Division, AMC

ADDENDUM TO THE COMPILATION REPORT

T-13167

FIELD EDIT

Field edit was adequate. All additions, deletions, and corrections have been made from office interpretations.

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-6709 (Shelikof Strait, Alaska)

T-13167

Alaska Peninsula Hidden Harbor Kinak Bay

Approved:

Charles E. Harrington Chief Geographer Nautical Charting Division Charting and Geodetic Services

FIELD EDIT REPORT

Map T-13167

Hidden Harbor, Alaska

May, 1975

Field edit of map T-13167 was done by Lt. Thomas, Lt(jg) Anderly, and Lt(jg) Gulley during May, 1975. Field inspection of the area was done at various stages of the tide by skiff and on foot.

METHOD

Photographs and a copy of the field edit ozalid were examined in the field. The mean high water line was corrected on the field edit ozalid and inked in purple on the photographs. All field edit data and corrections are noted on the photographs and film ozalids. All times are based on GMT.

ADEQUACY OF COMPILATION

Compilation of this map is generally good. The mean high water line was corrected where required. Incorrectly identified features were corrected on the photographs. Field inspection of this map is complete.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that this map be revised in accordance with the notes on the ozalid and photographs, and that the map be accepted as an advance manuscript.

FIELD EDIT REPORT

Cape liktugitak to Douglas Reef, Alaska

OPR - 478

Summer 1975

Introduction

Field edit reports are attached for the following Job PH-6709 maps:

T-13155 through T-13175, and T-13177

Manuscript T-13176 was not field edited since the survey area did not include Dakavak Bay.

Copies of the field edit ozalids were taken into the field. All notes were made on these field ozalids. The matte ratio prints were used as a last resort in the field when the field ozalid did not provide enough information. The matte ratio prints were found to be of poor quality, very grainy and lacking clarity. These photographs were also hard to handle in the field because of paper curl and stiffness. The cronapaques were of slightly better quality (in clarity and definition) than the matte ratio prints, but they still left a lot to be desired because of their graininess.

Another problem encountered with these photographs was the stage of the tide at the time of photography. Many of the rocks shown on the manuscripts could not be found on the photographs because the tide was too high in these photographs. It would be of great help to have photographs taken at a lower tidal stage.

Apparently color photographs of the area are available. However, none were furnished. Color photographs are far superior to black and white photographs in clarity and definition, and with the added feature of color, are of greater value to the field editor. It is highly recomended that color photographs be furnished in the future.

Compilation of the maps is generally good. All notes were made in violet ink on the ozalids and cronapaques, with deletions in green ink and references to hydrography in red ink. All heights of rocks were estimated by the field editor. Where required, the MMWL was located by measuring distances from photoidentifiable points, as noted on the photographs. All times are based on 6.M.T.

Turbid water (due to glacial runoff) in several bays of the project area made it difficult to locate some of the rocks and shoal areas. Due to

the vast amount of area and shoreline involved, and to the fact that all hydrography was electronically controlled, it was impractical to establish visual signals to be used for field edit. Therefore, the hydrographic launches, and their electronic positioning equipment, were utilized to locate detached positions.

The dashed line symbol on the field edit ozalid was found rather confusing, since it depicts three different features: the approximate MLWL, foul limits, and ledge limits.

It is recommended that these maps be revised in accordance with the notes on the ozalids and cronapaques and on the attached sheets before acceptance as advanced manuscripts. Field inspection of these maps is complete, except as noted on the individual reports.

Respectfully Submitted:

Gicgory P. Kolinski Foanne Gulley Lt (jg), NOAA

Approved and Forwarded:

Richard E. Alderman

CDR, NOAA

Commanding Officer,

NOAA Ship FAIRWEATHER (MSS-20)

REVIEW REPORT SHORELINE

T-13167

61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See the summary included with this Descriptive Report. The National Geodetic Survey readjusted the geodetic network in 1976. This map is based on a geodetic datum that existed prior to that adjustment.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

Not applicable.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

Not applicable.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with Hydrographic Survey H-9521, 1:10,000 scale, date of survey July 1975. There were no conflicts.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A comparison was made with NOS chart 16576, 1:80,000 scale, dated November 16, 1985, 1st edition.

The chart compared well with this manuscript.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS:

This map complies with the Project Instructions, and meets the requirements for National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Submitted by:

James L. Byrd, Jr.

Final Reviewer

Approved for forwarding:

Billy H. Barnes

Chief, Quality Assurance Group, AMC

Approved:

Chief, Photogrammetric Productions Sec.

U.Y. Grynn Chief, Photogrammetry Branch

NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE	WITH	DESCRI	PTIVE	REPORT	OF	SURVEY NO.	

INSTRUCTIONS

- A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

 1. Letter all information.

 2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

 3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
		-	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
-			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
-			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	 		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.