U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

FE8 6 1933

Acc No.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Form 504	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY	
State: Maryland	
11—5613	
DESCRIPTIVE DEPORT	
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT.	
4704	
TopographicSheet No. A 4704	
	·
LÖCALITY:	
.	
Chesapeake Bay	
<i>;</i>	
Mouth of Wicomico River	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Project #88	
	1
192 32	
CHIEF OF PARTY:	
E H Pompatain II	
E. H. Bernstein, H. & G. Engr.	
٠,	
	_ ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

(To Accompany Topographic Sheet A.

Wicomico River Proj.88

AUTHORITY

The work on this sheet was executed in accordance with Director's instructions dated August 17, 1931.

AIMITS

The area surveyed lies between Lats. 38° 11 and 38° 17 and Longs. 75° 48' and 75° 56' and extends eastward from Hell Pt. and the mouth of the Nanticoke river to Mt. Vernon wharf on the Wicomico River, including Monie and Ellis Bays and the mouth of the Wicomico River.

CONTROL

The positions given in "Triangulation in Maryland" Special Publication No. 114 were used for triangulation stations, Great Shoals Light House, Wind, Holland, Mt. Vernon, Church, Child, Creek, Jones, and Walnut. Approximate positions from preliminary computations of the current triangulation were used for triangulation stations Hall 2, Dame, Monie 2, Sandy 3, Ellis 2, Clara and Tall. The plotting of these stations should be rechecked and possibly the projection shifted when final results of the 1931-32 triangulation are available. (This difference was only Shometus, and was false case of CHIS).

Standard planetable methods of traverse, intersection, resection and three point fixes in accordance with the topographic manual were used throughout the sheet.

CLOSING ERRORS

Closing errors of all traverses were within the required limits. Adjustments were made proportionally between control points.

JUNCTION of SHEETS

At station Hall 2 the shoreline failed to agree with the former survey by about 80 meters. This section was continued on Sheet "F" to a closer junction with the old survey inside Haines Pt. The sand clay bluff at Hall Pt. is eroding very rapidly. During the storm of March 6, 1932, a survey signal with about 4 meters of bluff were washed out. Local residents report from 5 to 15 feet erosion per year.

The shoreline in the vicinity of station Nanti 2 has also eroded back from that shown on the previous survey. The erosion is very irregular so that the shoreline at several points checks with the old survey. The old station mark at station Nanti was found washed

out and laying on its side about 3 meters outside high water line.

On the Wicomico River the junction of the adjacent sheet was checked to this sheet.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The greater part of the shoreline covered by this sheet with the exception of the section from Hall Pt. to Dames Quarter Creek and the section from 2 mile east of Wingate Point to Mt. vernon beach is marsh. The sections of sand beach are usually backed by low sand clay bluff from 2 to 10 feet high, all of which from Mt. Vernon veach westward show signs of consistant erosion. The small section of fast land southeast of station Nanti 2 has been eroded until stumps now extend 10 meters into the river. Along the section east of Nanticoke Pt. extending into Ellis Bay a ridge of sand has been thrown up along the edge of the marsh.

The edge of the marsh is usually vertical and composed of mud . and matted grass roots. The outer edge along the water is usually higher and quite firm. The interior areas are boggy and traversed by numerous small streams and ponds. Coarse grass and rugged myrtle bushes cover the marshland.

The principal settled and cultivated sections are in the vicinity of Dames Quarter and Mt. Vernon. There are only occassional trappers and fishermen cabins on the marsh.

There is an old steamboat wharf at Mt. Vernon with a 60 ft. face. There are also three small oyster packing house piers a mile west of Mt. Vernon wharf, with 6 foot faces, used only by shallow draft fishing boats, and a similar small pier on the west side of Ellis Bay.

During the fishing season numerous fish trap stakes extend from shore to the edge of the channel. The more permanent fish traps and duck blinds are marked with red circles for hydrographic signals.

NEW NAMES

3

Well established local names.

vTangier Beach ✓

"St. Peters Creek //

√Cedar Creek ✓

VMuddy Hole Creek ✓

🗸 Mt. Vernon Beach 🗸

Shiles Creek. ((SHB.)

F. R. Gossett

Lieut. (j.g.) U.S.C.& G.S

Topographer.

APPROVED.

Lieut. U.S.C.& G.S.

Magnetic Meridians

The declinatoire No. 192 used on

Sheets H, B, C, D, E, Fand & apparantly
had a westerly deviation of about

28 minutes. Still esustain

Remarable Plana Table Positions							
Object and Descriptions	t Lat.	D. M.	Long.	D. P.	Height	Remarks	
¢ Ump ✓	38°13'	1312	75°53'	1182	2 ft.	5" sq. concrete monument with standard hydrographic bronze tablet.	
© Term	38 ⁰ 13'	1296	75052	1048	† †		
⊕ Six ✓	38014	1731	75050	137	† †	'3"sq. concrete 'monument. 'Mass of concrete 'around base of center 'pole. '5" sq. concrete 'monument with 'standard hydrographic 'bronze tablet.	
© Use √	38 ⁰ 14'	754	75 ⁰ 50	1400	•		
© Can	38 ⁰ 13'	934	75 ⁰ 51	170	•		
• Why /	38 ⁰ 13'	1439	75°49	612	* ~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
0 Big ·	. 38 ⁰ 12'	1200	75 ⁰ 50	1188	1	† † ††	
Sue /	38°12'	284	75 ⁰ 51	108%	† † †	† # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	
G Mat	38°12'	389	75 ⁰ 53	330	† †	8" sq. concrete post	
	:	•	* 1	t †	1	† † 	
•	•	•	•	• •	·. •	chy the	

REVIEW OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY No. 4704

Title (Par. 56) Mouth of Micromico River, Chesapeake Bay, Maryland

Chief of Party E.H. Bernstein Surveyed by F.R. Gossett Inked by 7. R. Gossett

Ship Mikawe Instructions dated aug. 17, 1931 Surveyed in May 1932

- 1. The survey and preparation for it conform to the requirements of the Topographic Manual. (Par. 7, 8, 9, 13, 16.)
- 2. The character and scope of the survey satisfy the instructions.
- 3. The control and closures of traverses were adequate. (Par. 12, 29.)
- 4. The amount of vertical control that the Manual specifies for -contours-formlines- was accomplished. (Par. 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23.)
- 5. The delineation of -contours-formlines- is satisfactory. (Par. 49, 50.) all low land.
- 6. There is sufficient control on maps from other sources that were transmitted by the field party to enable their application to the charts. (Par. 28.)
- 7. High water line on marshy and mangrove coast is clear and adequate for chart compilation. (Par. 16a, 43, 44.)
- 8. The representation of low water lines, reefs, coral reefs and rocks, and legends pertaining to them is satisfactory. (Par. 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41.)
- 9. Rocks and other important details shown on previous surveys and on the chart were verified. (Par. 25, 26, 27.)
- 10. The span, draw and clearance of bridges are shown. (Par. 16c.) None mount
- 11. Locations and elevations of summits are given. (Par. 19, 51.)
- 12. The tree line was shown on mountains. (Par. 16g.)

NOTE: Strike out paragraphs, words or phrases not applicable and modify those requiring it. Paragraph numbers refer to those in the Topographic Manual. Use reverse side for extending remarks.

- 13. The descriptive report covers all details listed in the Manual, in so far as they apply to this survey. (Par. 64, 65, 66, 67.)
- 14. The descriptive report also contains additional information required in aero topography relative to type of photographs, method of compilation and type of ground control.
- 15. The descriptions of recoverable stations and references to shore line were accomplished on Form 524. (Par. 29, 30, 57, 67 except scaling of DMs and DPs, 68.)
- 16. A list of landmarks for charts was furnished on Form 567 and plotting checked. (Par. 16d, e, 60.) tiled with letter No 117/1933, No duplicate for Descriptive Report
- 17. The magnetic meridian was shown and declination was checked. (Par. 17, 52.)
- 18. The geographic datum of the sheet is North American and the reference station is correctly noted. (Par. 34.)
- 19. Junctions with contemporary surveys are adequate.
- 20. Geographic names are shown on the sheet and are covered by the Descriptive report. (Par. 64, 66k.)
- 21. The quality of the drafting is good. (Par. 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50.)
- 22. No additional surveying is recommended.

23. The Chief of Party inspected and approved the sheet and the descriptive
or report after review by
g report after review by be cheets the time angulation would have been aufle for aluminum backed sheets the time angulation would have been aufle but the control could have been unforced by adoletimal intersection statums of 3 dorder accuracy on this waterans feefer.
24. Remarks: She could have the improved to additional
intersection statums of sometimens frager
Reviewed in office by R.J. Christman, Ock 2nd 1933
Examined and approved: LO Volunt. Solveden
X - X Defail.

Chief, Section of Field Records

Chief, Section of Field Work

Enter, Division of Charts

Chief, Division of Hyd. and Top.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. A

REGISTER NO. 4704

State Maryland

General locality Chesapeake May

Locality Mouth of Wicomico River

Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey May, 1932 , 192

Vessel

Surveyed by F. R. Gossett

Inked by F. R. G.

Chief of Party E. H. Bernstein

Heights in feet above......to ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line interval......feet

Instructions dated Aug., 17, 1931 , 192

Remarks: