4771

Graphic Control

ORIGINAL

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SHRVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

NOV 24 .333

Acc. No.

	rm.	
Ed.	June	.1928

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
R.S. PATTON Director

State: MARYLAND,

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Topographic \

Sheet No. c 4771

LOCALITY

WEST SHORE EASTERN BAY

KENT POINT TO SHIPPING POINT

19..33

CHIEF OF PARTY

LT. E.R. McCARTHY.

AUTHORITY

Orders and instructions from The Director dated August 12, 1933.

LIMITS

Western shore of Eastern Bay from Kent Point to Shipping Creek.

CONTROL

1933 Triangulation Stations.

METHODS

Usual plane table traverse.

The work was accomplished by two parties:
an advanced party built the signals, and a second party did the topography.

CLOSURES AND ADJUSTMENTS

From Bar to Bell 0.37 miles; no error.

From Bell to Tan 1.8 miles; 5 meters; adjusted proportionally.

From Tan to Bank 0.87 miles; 2 meters; adjusted proportionally.

From Bank to Jack 1.2 miles; 3 meters; adjusted proportionally.

From Jack to Ship 1.0 miles; 1 meter; adjusted proportionally.

A dead end traverse was run up Tanner's Creek from Bank.

NAMES

Names shown are in local usage. The following names were changed: authority; local usage.

Long Point Creek was changed to Tanner's Creek.

SHORELINE

The western shore of Eastern Bay from Kent Point to Shipping Creek is generally an average three foot clay bank with sand-clay beaches.

There is some marsh on this sheet but it is mostly in the small "guts" and on the banks of Tanner's Creek.

COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS

A comparison with sheet no.2294 of the 1889 survey shows that the shoreline at Kent Point and Long Point has eroded the most. The rest of the shoreline has changed only a small amount.

LANDMARKS

The most prominent landmarks on this sheet are: ⊙ AbEl.Barn Cupola.

Did 2. Red Barn Cupola.
Nis 3. Old House, Center Chimney.
4. Red House (brick), South Chimney.

. ULE 5. Large House, S.W. Chimney.

STATISTICS

Shoreline----- 6.325 miles (statute) Creeks----- 7.935

Area covered by this sheet is 2.7 sq. miles (statute).

MISCELLANEOUS

A comparison was made between sheet "C" and sheet No. 4691.

It shows the shorelines to be eroded considerably, progracy

Out To THE STOCK of Aug 23 1933

The magnetic meridian was obtained by using the declinatoire with alidade No. 227.

Respectfully submitted

Topographer.

Forwarded approved, ER Mc Cartley

E.R. McCarthy, Chief of Party.

REVIEW OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY NO.C

Title (Par. 56)

Chief of Party Surveyed by J.R.Brosnan Inked by J.R.Brosnan

-Ship Shore Party Instructions dated Aug. 12, 1933 Surveyed in Maryland

- 1. The survey and preparation for it conform to the requirements of the Topographic Manual. (Par. 7, 8, 9, 13, 16.)
 Yes
- 2. The character and scope of the survey satisfy the instructions.
 Yes
- The control and closures of traverses were adequate. (Par. 12, 29.)
- 4. The emount of -vertical -central -that -the Manual -specifica -for -central tours -formlines was -accomplished - (Par 18 19 30 31 33 33 -)
- 5. The delineation of --contours formlines -- is -satisfactory -- (Par. 49, 50-)
- 6. There-is-sufficient-control-on-maps from-other sources that were transmitted by the field party to enable their application to the charts -- (Par 28.)
- 7. High water line on marshy and mangrove coast is clear and adequate for chart compilation. (Par. 16a, 43, 44.)
 Yes
- 8. The representation of low-water lines, reefs, corel-reefs and rocks, and legends pertaining to them is satisfactory, -- (Par 36, -37, -38, 39, -40, 41,) -
- 9. Rocks and other important details shown on previous surveys and on the chart were verified. (Par. 25, 26, 27.)
 Yes
 - 10. The span, draw and clearance of bridges are shown. (Par. 16c.)
 - 11. Locations and elevations of summits are given -- (Par 19, -51.)
 - 12. The-tree-line-was-shown-on-mountains---(Par--16g-)

NOTE: Strike out paragraphs, words or phrases not applicable and modify those requiring it. Paragraph numbers refer to those in the Topographic Manual. Use reverse side for extending remarks.

- The descriptive report covers all details listed in the Manual, in so far as they apply to this survey. (Par. 64, 65, 66, 67.) Yes
- 14. The descriptive report also contains additional information required in aero-topography relative to type of photographs, method of compilation and type of ground control.

Yes

The descriptions of recoverable stations and references to shore line were accomplished on Form 524. (Par. 29, 30, 57, 67 except scaling of DMs and DPs, 68.)

Yes

- 16. A list of landmarks for charts was furnished on Form 567 and plotting checked. (Par. 16d, e, 60.) Yes
- 17. The magnetic meridian was shown and declination was checked. (Par. 17, 52.) Yes
- 18. The geographic datum of the sheet is North American and the reference station is correctly noted. (Par. 34.) Yes
- 19. Juno kiona width contemporary xaurveya xana yadaquatex
- 20. Geographic names are shown on the sheet and are covered by the Descriptive report. (Par. 64, 66k.) Yes
- The quality of the drafting is good. (Par. 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 79, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50.)

Yes

22. No additional surveying is recommended.

No

The Chief of Party inspected and approved the sheet and the descriptive report after review by

Remarks: 24.

The review of this sheet is encorporated with Descripture report 72 5323. Lam. 3/12/35.

Reviewed in office by

Examined and approved:

Chief, Section of Field Records

. Treen.

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Section of Field Work

ude Chief, Division of Hyd. and Top.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, & GEODETIC SURVEY filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is 48FARY AND ARCHIVES warded to the Office.

Field No. ____C

NOV. 24 ,933

	REGISTER NO. 4771 Graphic Controls. No
State	MARYLAND.
General locality	CHESAPEAKE BAY MASTER BAY.
Locality Kent Point	to Long Point. 1001K.
Scale 1:10000	Date of survey 10/23 to 10/27 , 19 33
Vessel SHOT	RE PARTY.
Chief of party	LT. E.R. MC CARTHY.
Surveyed by	J.R. BROSNAN.
Inked by	R.A. PHILLEO & J.R. BROSNAN.
Heights in feet above	to ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate	contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions dated	August 12. , 1933.
Remarks:	

6 P 0

DIVISION	٥E	CHARTE	Eu e	Na
DIAIDIA	OF.	CHARIS.	FILE	INO

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LANDMARKS FOR C

R CHARTS &	T477
Tilghman, Maryland,	1 / 1 /

 side / Land	
 November 4,	, 193 3

DIRECTOR, U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY:

The following determined objects are prominent, can be readily distinguished from seaward from the description given below, and should be charted.

						Lt.E	.R. McC	arthy.	Chief of Party.
DESCRIPTION		POSITION							!
	LATITUDE			LONGITUDE				METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED
	0	1	D. M. METERS	٥	<u> </u>	D. P. METERS	DATUM	MINATION	
i-2 Water Tank (large)	38	_50	1297	76	16	1 311	NA.	Trian.	U.S.C. & G.S No. 1225
Water Tank (small)	38	5 1	66 <u>5</u>	76	15	<i>i</i> ! 993	11	Trian.	11
Barn Cupola	3 8	50	39 8 41	76	22	510	11	Topo.	11
Red Barn Cupola	38	51	457	76	21	118	11	Topo.	11
Old House, 2 Cênter Chimney	38	51	885	76	21	441	11	Topo.	11
White House, 2 White Chimney	38	52	72		20	346	11	Topo.	11
Red House, (brick) 1-2 South Chimney	3 8	52	1750	76	20	209	1,	Торо.	ŧt
Large House S.W. Chimney 1-2	38	51	1166	76	20	250	٠,	Topo.	, 11
·	,	,		-					
. 4	ai	n_1	w	1	d	En 7	37-	1933	
	ļ								
	ļ				-				
					İ				

A list of objects carefully selected because of their value as landmarks as determined from seaward together with individual descriptions, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report.

the Chief of Party to his descriptive report.

The selection, determination, and description of these points are an important factor in the value of the chart. Landmarks selected at appropriate intervals can be clearly charted. However, when none is outstanding, a group of two or three objects may by their interrelationship provide positive indentification. A group so selected should be indicated.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will clearly identify it; for example, a standpipe, elevated tank, gas tank, church spire, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Assign numerals to landmarks to indicate: (1) offshore, (2) inshore, (3) harbor, 1, 2, 3 would be a mark useful on all charts. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently permanent to chart.