4926

G. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

MAY 21 1935

Acc. No. .

Ed. J	une,	
ENT	OF	· C

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
R.S. PATTON Director

· ·	T OTITOTENES
State	LOUISIANA

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Topographic

Sheet No. H

Hydrographic |

LOCALITY

መይለልካ ፍተተ

TIGER SHOAL AND MARSH ISLAND

Shell Keys and Vicinity

1934

CHIEF OF PARTY

W.E.PARKER

n, s, sovernment printing office: 199

Applied to Chart 1051 14 1937 Chas Of Bush &

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No....H

• -
4
5
í

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

TO ACCOMPANY

TOPOGRAPHIC SHEET H

TIGER SHOAL AND MARSH ISLAND

AUTHORITY

The survey was made under the Director's Instructions dated December 17th, 1932.

LOCALITY AND LIMITS

The topography on this sheet extends from Triangulation

Station LACROIX to a few hundred meters east of Triangulation Station

THE TOWN OF THE STATE OF THE STAT

The control was the Second Order Triangulation executed in 1933. The usual methods were used, traverse and resection. The traverse was accomplished by the use of a 100 m. stranded wire. There were no traverse errors. The shoreline and intermediate points were located by rod readings from plane table set-ups. Apparently Station Shell was located by a three point fix and actraverse run across the Keys from here.

DESCRIPTION OF SHORE LINE

The shoreline is mostly fine shell and sand, in continuation from Sheet G. The dotted line shows the outer limit of the shell beach but no symbols were included as this is not definitely known. Notes on the sheet indicated some strips of shell inland and a margin of grass or swamp bordered by trees. Whether these trees were a fringe or of large extent was impossible to determine so these symbols were also omitted. Some oyster reefs were located off shore but no doubt there were others not located. Some cuts to breakers were not inked in as too indefinite. Station TRY was a group of three trees and LUM and VAT were also trees. The other signals are banners but as none are permanent there are no landmarks on this sheet or permanent points for geographic positions. However Geographic Positions of all the signals on this sheet were recorded in the office of the HYDROGRAPHER for use in plotting hydrographic sheets and for reference.

Respectfully submitted,

Evrett E. Mumaw

Everett E. Mumaw, Surveyor, Coast and Geodetic Survey, for Mr. George R. Shelton, Jr. H. & G. Engineer, C & G S, Topographer.

Examined and Approved:

R. F. Luce, Commander, Coast and Geodetic Survey, Chief of Party.

STATISTICS

TOPOGRAPHIC SHEET H

Statute miles of shoreline	5.0
Area in square statute miles	4.0
Revous and creeks, miles.	0.0

	GEOGRAPHIC	NAMES
Date May 24, 1935	LOUISIANA	

Survev	No.	T	4926	

Chart No. 1116 & 1277

Diagram	Nο	Seme
Luagram	INO.	

Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. X

Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. R

Under	investigation.	Q
-------	----------------	---

Status	Name on Survey	Name on Chart	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
	Marsh Island Gulf of Mexico	√Seme ✓ "			
	Shell Keys	J #			
				1	
<u>, •</u>					
		```			
					<del>-</del>
					<u> </u>

REVIEW OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY No. 4926 Field letter H

Title (Par. 56) Shell Keys and Vicinity, July Coast, Louisiana

Chief of Party H.E. Parker Surveyed by G.P. Shelton Inked by E.E. Mumaur

Ship Hydrographer Instructions dated Dec. 17, 1932 Surveyed in June 1934

- The survey and preparation for it conform to the requirements of the 
   Topographic Manual. (Par. 7, 8, 9, 13, 16.)
- 2. The character and scope of the survey satisfy the instructions.
- 3. The control and closures of traverses were adequate. (Par. 12, 29.) -
- 4. The amount of vertical central that the Manual specifies for contours formlines was accomplished. (Par. 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25.)
- 5. The delineation of -contours-formlines- is satisfactory. (Par. 49, 50.)
- 6. There is sufficient control on maps from other sources that were transmitted by the field party to enable their application to the charts. (Par. 28.)

  **Rone submitted**
- 7. High water line on marshy and mangrove coast is clear and adequate for chart compilation. (Par. 16a, 43, 44.) subsequent to the completion of this survey, an additional islat at the mathem extremity of Shell (Keys has been sketched on the bostsheet of H-5837(1935). This islat is shown in blue on (H-5837(1935)). The representation of low water lines, reefs, coral reefs and rocks, and legends pertaining to them is satisfactory. (Par. 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41.) (No legends shown.
- 9. Rocks and other important details shown on previous surveys and on the chart were verified. (Par. 25, 26, 27.)

See back of this page

- 10. The span, draw and clearance of bridges are shown. (Par. 16c.)
  - 11. Locations and elevations of summits are given. (Par. 19, 51.)
  - 12. The tree line was shown on mountains. (Par. 16g.)

NOTE: Strike out paragraphs, words or phrases not applicable and modify those requiring it. Paragraph numbers refer to those in the Topographic Manual. Use reverse side for extending remarks.

9. There was nothing to show that an attempt was made to verify the syster reefs shown on T-1680 (1886) in the area adjacent to the shoreline. The shoreline of 1886 differs in some places as much as 100 meters from the present shoreline, however, the positions of these reefs appear to be fairly stable. In view of the note appearing on H-5766 (1934), "I to 2 feet of water with numerous bare spots" the reefs shown on T-1680 (1886) should be used for charting.

- 13. The descriptive report covers all details listed in the Manual, in so far as they apply to this survey. (Par. 64, 65, 66, 67.)
- 14. The descriptive report also contains additional information required in acre topography relative to type of photographs, method of compilation and type of ground control.
  - 15. The descriptions of recoverable stations and references to shore line were accomplished on Form 524. (Par. 29, 30, 57, 67 except scaling of DMs and DPs, 68.) None submitted in area of this survey.
  - 16. A list of landmarks for charts was furnished on Form 567 and plotting checked. (Par. 16d, e, 60.) No landmarks in this area.
  - 17. The magnetic meridian was shown and declination was checked. (Par. 17, 52.) Meridian not shown.
  - 18. The geographic datum of the sheet is M.Q. 1927 and the reference station is correctly noted. (Par. 34.)
  - Junctions with contemporary surveys are adequate.

    Jhere is a small discrepancy in the fosition of signals Lum and Vat as shown on this survey and on T-6325 (1935). This discrepancy is fully discussed in par 3 of the review of 14.5837 (1935). The position shown on T-6325 (1935) were accepted.

    20. Geographic names are shown on the sheet and are covered by the Des- L-criptive report. (Par. 64, 66k.)
  - 21. The quality of the drafting is good. (Par. 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50.)
  - 22. No additional surveying is recommended. -
  - 23. The Chief of Party inspected and approved the sheet and the descriptive -report. after review by
  - 24. Remarks:

Reviewed in office by R. L. Johnston March 1936.

Exemined and approved:

Chief. Section of Field Records

Chief, Section of Field Work

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Division of Hyd. and Top.