### 5722

State

MARYLAND

State

MARYLAND

CHESAPEAKE BAY

Kent Island (Southern Part)

Shotographs taken May 11437 (1/cms)

Sunce 24, 7437, (5,5,5) to March 12, 1946 to lens

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 10022

CHIEF OF PARTY

applied	to	bran	ring	1	Char	¥ 566.	(before)	review	) 1/15/41	JWalker Jow
	C.	-	<u> </u>	Q		550	• •		2/3/41	gow

history slife May 26, 1741 Can now excusioned as being completely applied - are not in neview page 3 1/2/44 g. H. S.

### TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

でいるが

Field No. T-5722

T5722

REGISTER NO.

State MARYLAND .
General locality Chesapeake Bay
Locality Kent Island (Southern Part) Photographs June 24,1937 Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey May 1,1937, from, 192:40 to 2:48 P.M.
Vessel Air Photographic Survey Party No. 2
Chief of party Lieut. L.W.Swanson (j.g.)  Pield InspectionJ.Steinberg  Surveyed by Additional Hydro. SignalsL.W.Swanson  Radial PlotL.W.Swanson and J.Steinberg  Inked by J.Steinberg
Heights in feet aboveto ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions datedMay 13
······································

e 7 e

### Date of Survey

Interior details have been corrected to date of the photographs taken March 1940.

Shoreline is of the date of the photographs from which it was traced:

- Shoreline along Eastern Bay north of lat. 38<sup>0</sup>.
   was taken from photographs of March 12, 1940.
- 2. Bloody Point Creek shoreline was traced from single lens photograph AWH-6-88. June 24, 1937.
- 3. Pond and outlet to Eastern Bay at lat. 38° 50.5' long. 76°22.2' from single lens photograph AWH-6-88, June 24, 1937.
- 4. Shoreline on Eastern Bay south of lat. 38° 51' and around Kent Point as far as a point 100 meters north of & Bar 1932 was taken from single lens photograph AWH-6-88. June 24, 1937.
- 5. Shoreline on Chesepeake Bay for 400 meters on either side of entrance to Bloody Point Creek taken from nine lens photograph 4803, March 12, 1940.
- 6. Chesepeake Bay shoreline from lat. 38°51' north to lat. 38°51.5' taken from single lens photograph AVH-6-88, June 24, 1937.
- 7. Chesepeake Bay shoreline north of lat. 38°51.5' taken from nine lens photographs 4804 and 4805, March 12, 1940.

### DATA RECORD T-5722

### PHOTOGRAPHS

	Number : Date : Time : Scale : Alt. : Stage of Tide*
CLS	1487 May 1,1937 2:40-2:48 p.m. 1:10,000 unknown 0.3 Ft. above M.L.W.  1488 March 12,1940 " 0.3 Ft. above M.L.W.
17	4803 unknown unknown " 0.1 ft " "
	4804 " " apprax / P.M. " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
	Single Lens Photographs
	7-20 June 24,1937 1:55-2:00 p.m. " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
	*Tide from predicted tables for Baltimore, Md., with time correction to Love Point, Chesapeake Bay.
	Cameras: U.S. Coast & Geodetic Survey Nine Lens (Focal length 84 inches).
	All negatives on file in Washington Office.
	U.S. Department of Agriculture Single Lens (Focal length unknown). Photos originally on scale of 1:20,000 and enlarged to 1:10,000.
	Sec of
	SUPPLEMENTAL SURVEYS
	Graphic Control Sheets: None
	Field Inspection J. Steinberg May 6,1940-May 12,1940
	Name Investigation J.Jones March, 1940
	see also opposite page
	GENERAL INFORMATION
	Chief of PartyL.W.SwansonRuling Machine
	Projection checked by " " unknown
	Control plotted byJ.Alec
	Hydrographic radial points by J. Steinberg
	Additional hydrographic points L.W. Swanson July, 1940
	Radial points pricked by C. Supp May, 1940
	Additional radial points by Steinberg June & Dec., 1940
	Radial plot byL.W. Swenson and J. Steinberg May 20-June 1,1940 Shoreline inked byJ. Steinberg June 26-July 16,40
	Detailed inked byJ.Steinberg Dec.2-10,1940
	Preliminary review by
	<u>STATISTICS</u>
	Area (land)
	REFERENCE STATION
	Latitude 38° 55' 52.487" (1618.5 m)  Longitude 76° 21' 46.974" (1131.5 m)  Datum: N.A. 1927  Adjusted
	Maryland State Grid coordinates X= 981, 195.21 ft. Y= 400,467.72 ft.

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT TO ACCOMPANY AIR PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY SHEET NO. T-5722 STATE OF MARYLAND CHESAPEAKE BAY KENT ISLAND (SOUTHERN PART)

DATE OF THIS REPORT ..... December 11,1940.

### INSTRUCTIONS:

This rough draft map drawing is a part of project HT 215, dated May 13, 1938, and supplemental instructions contained in the Director's letter dated August 28, 1939.

### FIELD INSPECTION:

Field Inspection of this sheet was made by J. Steinberg, Photogrammetric Aid (Field), during May 1940. Additional hydrographic signals were obtained by Lieut. L.W. Swanson during August, 1940.

The name investigation was done by Lieut. (j.g.) J.Jones during March, 1940.

### PHOTOGRAPHS:

The photographs were taken with the U.S. Coast & Geodetic Survey Nine Lens camera and by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (AAA) Single Lens camera. The single lens photographs were used in order to secure stronger radial points.? Single lens photos were used to esser the areas not completely covered by the nine lens. CONTROL:

All control used on this sheet were U.S. Coast & Geodetic Survey stations. Also Three M.S.F.S. stations of 1909 which do not fall within the limits of the sheet proper and are listed as follows:

Coffee, 1909 Greek, 1909 Turkey, 1909

### RADIAL PLOT:

It was originally intended to run sheet No. T-5722 with sheet No. T-5721, but due to lack of control and insufficient pictures at the lower end of T-5722, it was deemed advisable to run the radial plot for this sheet separately.

A traverse was run between stations Bar, 1932 and Bell,1933 in order to establish additional control for the southern end of sheet T-5722. This traverse was necessited because station Bell,1933, could not be pricked on the photo and because there was no other control in this vicinity.

A plot was run for this sheet in the usual manner. The common centers of photographs at junctions with sheets No. T-5705 and T-5721 were transferred to sheet T-5722 before the plot was run, and were held to. This plot was run twice, it being noticed the first time that photograph No. 4803 contained tilt. This tilt was computed, new isocenter established, and the plot rerun.

Single lens pictures, which were secured from the Department of Agriculture (A.A.A.), were used on the west side of the sheet in order to secure sufficient cuts for radial points and better delineation of detail. Since these pictures had no collimation marks, it was necessary to establish the mechanical center by the intersection of diagonals from the corners of the photographs. These centers were then plotted on the sheet in the usual manner.

It was necessary to use single lens pictures in detailing the southern end of Kent Island as photograph No. 4803 was greatly out of scale and the area to be detailed too far from the center of the picture. Pictures No. 4804 and 4805 were somewhat out of scale and the detailing from these pictures was obtained with the aid of the projector.

### HYDROGRAPHIC SIGNALS:

A number of natural objects have been located on this sheet for use as hydrographic signals. These objects are shown by black circles 1.5 mm. in diameter.

Some of these stations - those which are recoverable-can be used as topo stations and are shown by circles 2.5 mm in diameter.

### RECOVERABLE TOPOGRAPHIC STATIONS:

A number of topographic stations that were recovered are listed as follows:

Barn Cupola, 1933 Dig, 1933 Mis, 1933 Ule, 1933 Ray, 1933 Can, 1933 Hel, 1933 Erg, 1933 Cud, 1933

### DETAIL:

Roads were detailed according to the field inspector's notes as shown on the field inspection prints.

All the buildings on this sheet have been shown.

### COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS:

T-5323: The shoreline is in fair agreement with this complilation sheetcexcept for the following listed differences.

From Latitude 38-51' 00" to Latitude 38-50' 45" shoreline receded to a Longitude 76-22' 39" Longitude 76-22' 36" max. of 50 m.

At Lat. 38-50; 24" shoreline has receded to Long. 76-22; 35" a max. of 40 m.

From Lat. 38-50' 08" to Lat. 38-50' 16" shore receded to a max. Long. 76-22' 06" Long. 76-22' 26" of 20 m.

At Lat. 38-50' 32" shoreline receded to a max. of 20 m.

### COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS(con'd)

```
38-51' 24" shoreline has receded up to a
             38-51: 14"
From Lat.
                           to Lat.
             76-221 35" Chesa. Bay shore
                               Long. 76-22' 18"
      Long.
                                                    max. of 20 m.
             38-55: 48"
                           to Lat.
From Lat.
                                      38-55' 34"
                                                    shoreline has receded up to a
      Long. 76-19:00
                               Long. 76-19' 07"
                                                    max. of 20 m. -
                                                    shoreline has advanced up to a max. of 25 m. Here due to mis-plotting of A Suip.
From Lat.
             38-53' 40"
                           to Lat.
                                      38-541 03"
      Long. 76-20' 27"
                               Long. 76-20' 14"
             38-531 46"
At
      Lat.
                                                    island has receded up to a max.
                                                    of 25 mg 20 mg on west side. Southern tip
has shifted slightly toward east.
      Long. 76-20: 17"
                                      38-531 09"
             38-52' 51"
                           to Lat.
                                                    shoreline has receded up to a
      Long. 76-20' 04"
                               Long. 76-201 06"
                                                    max. of 20 m.~
```

Approximately 183 meters of the tip of Bloody Point is covered at high tide.

A new ferry landing has been built at Lat. 38-52' 51" Long. 76-20' 03".~

The inland detail is in fairly good agreement except for the following changes.

```
38-52' 44" the road shown on T-5323 is 20 m. to the north:
At Lat:
   Long. 76-21' 01"
                                                46 m. " "
         38-55' 11"
                                                            west.~
At Lat.
                          This is a new location of a farm
   Long. 76-20' 26"
         38-55' 48"
                                                23 m.
At Lat.
   Long. 76-20' 19"
At Lat. 38-54' 32"
                                             " now gone. -
  Long. 76-21' 07"
At Lat. 38-53' 17"
                     a new road now takes off from the old road and runs
Long. 76-21' 02"
                     to the Romancoke Ferry Landing.
```

The old road shown on T-5323 at this position has been destroyed.

The following triangulation stations were found to be plotted in error and are listed as follows:

7 m. northwesterly-5 m. westerly-

Bloody Point Bar Lighthouse, 1898-1933...

" 7 m. northerly.

### JUNCTIONS:

On the East: (T- 5705 and T- 5708) There is no check on these sheets as this side is entirely water area.

On the North: (T- 5721) The junction is in good agreement with this sheet except for the following disorepancies. At lat. 38-56-02 long. 76-19-39 the s.d.l. should be d.d.l. (6m.). At lat. 38-56-06 long. 76-20-51 the d.d.l. should be d.f.l. (6m.). These changes agree with the field inspection prints. It is recommended that the road and fence on sheet T-5721 be made to agree with that as plotted beyond the limits of sheet T-5722 because it is believed that the radial points in this area are stronger.

### GEOGRAPHIC NAMES:

The geographic names shown on this sheet are listed on form M-234 in the appendix.

### LANDMARKS:

The landmarks to be shown on this sheet are listed on form 567 in the appendix.

### RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:

This sheet is believed to be complete in all detail of importance for charting and no additional surveys are required.

The probable error of radial points and of well defined objects along the shoreline is not greater than five meters. The error of inland radial points and detail of importance is not greater than ten meters.

Respectfully submitted,

J.Steinberg,

Photogrammetric Aid (Field)

La Swaren Party.

### TRAVERSE DATA:

As station Bar, 1932 and Bell, 1933 could not be pricked on any photographs, a traverse was run with transit and chain between these stations in order to establish additional control for the southern end of sheet T-5722. This additional station was a fence intersection that could be pricked in this vicinity.

In the course of this traverse a check tie was made on the recoverable topographic station Barn Cupola, 1933. The measurement of this station was taken to the corner of the barn which was the equivalent of the distance to the cupola itself.

The traverse was computed and found to tie in fairly good. With this additional station it was then possible to run the radial plot of sheet T-5722 and obtain a good fix on the southern end of this sheet.

The computat	tion of this travers	e is listed below		
STATION	AZIMUTH	DIST.	N(-S)	E(-W)
Bar,1932 to static	on #1 224-52-29.5	320.78 m.	227.32	226.33
4		· 44	•	
station#1 static	on#2 238-31-59.5	232.06 m.	$\frac{121.13}{0.02}$ .	197.93
		Çor <b>r</b> .		
station#1 Barn (		195.87 m.	106.96	164.08
195	33			
-1-11	on #3 312-12-29.5	1100 O7 -	-126.32	139.28.
station#2 static	on #9 917-15-79-9	188.03 m.	-170•95	109.20
station#7 atotic	on #4 343-14-29.5	· 272.26 m.	-260.70	78.50
station#3 statio	DIT ## 340-14-23-0	·· ΔΙΔΙΔΟ 1114	-200410	10.00
station#4 Bell.	1933 339-30-29.5	117.04 m.	-109.64	40.97
	to BELL, 1933		-148.21	683.01
			146.4	684.5
	, '	error	-1.8	1.5

Station No.2 is F.I.S. (intersection fences)
" " 347.4 m. north of station Bar, 1932
424.3 m. east " " " "

 Bar, 1932
 38-50
 497.5 m.
 76-22
 902.7 m.

 347.4 m.
 -424.3 m.

 Station No. 2 38-50
 844.9 m.
 76-22
 478.4 m.

	GEOGRAPHIC NAMES	/8	Charling of	2 40 C	2 2 10 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	de rocking	F F	3 9 (2)	A STATE OF THE STA	K K	<u>*</u>
	Name on Survey	A,	B,	/c,	,D	/°E	F	**G	/ н	<u>/ K</u>	
	CHEASAPEAKE BAY	_x_	x	x	x	<u>x</u>		_x_			1_1_
	CRANEY CREEK		x_	_ x	x					<u> </u>	2
	TOLSON CREEK	х	x	x	_ x			-	<u> </u>	-	3
	CARTER CREEK	_x_	<u>x</u>	_x	_X						4
•	BLOODY POINT	х	x		x				_x		5
	BLOODY POINT CREEK	х	x	x	x*_						6
	KENT POINT	x	x	х	x	· .	<u>x</u>	<u>x</u> _	<u> </u>		7
	HOLICANSNOOZE	ļ 			x					<u> </u>	
	EASTERN BAY	_x	x	<u>x</u>	_ <u>x</u> _	x	х	x			9
•	LONG POINT	_x_	<u> </u>		x		х	ļ			10
•	TANNERS CREEK	х	x	x-	x		**			ļ	11
•	SHIPPING CREEK	x	x	<b>x</b>	х			_x_	ļ		12
•	Diamo strict	x	<u> </u> x	<b>x</b> #	x			x*			13
	COX CREEK	x	x_	x	_x	x_		x*	<u> </u>	ļ	14
		x	<u>x</u>	х	х	ļ		х		<del> </del>	15
.	MATTAPEX	х	x	x	x	x	<u></u>		ļ	ļ	16
•	ROMANCOKE	ļ	<u> </u>		x	ж_		ļ	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	17
•	MD. STATE HYW. No. 17					x				<u> </u>	18
						ļ				<u> </u>	19
;								<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	20
ļ		<del> </del>				ļ				<del> </del>	21
									,		22
	·										23
						ļ			ļ	ļ	24
											25
											26
										<u> </u>	27 M 234

	. Remarks	Decisions
1		U.S.G.B
2		389763
3		388 763
4		ч
5_	·	
6	* Also called Bloody Gut	1
7		388 763 U.S.G.B.
	Not approved as origin uncertain as well as nature of	this feature
9		388 763
10		- 13
11	-Called Long Point Creek *spelled Tanner's Creek	388763 U.S.G.B
12		389 763
13	# Spelled Bats Neck * also spelled Bats Neck	389763 U.S.G.B.
14	*spelled Coxes Creek	389763 4:5:6.B
15		384763 U.S.G.B.
16		389763
17		388 763
_1		
19		
20	eros Wilking	
21	1. L. Heck on 2/4/4/	
22		
23		<u>.</u>
24		
25		
26		·
27		
м 234		

Form 567 Rev. March 1935

## U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

# TO BE CHARTED STRIKE OUT ONE

LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

Baltimore, Md. December 11,40

1980

be charted on (distribution) the charts indicated. I recommend that the following objects which have (housewor) been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks,

Lieut.

( ) the Mile We shall the for

Chief of Party.

The positions given have been checked after listing.

considered for the charts of the area and not h I his form shall be prepared in accordance with 1934 Field Memorandum, "LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS." sidered for the charts of the area and not be individual field survey sheets. Information under such column hea U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE ch column heading should be given. The data should be

### DIVISION OF CHARTS

### SURVEYS SECTION

Review of Air Photographic Survey T-5722 September 11, 1941

There are no contemporary graphic control surveys in this area.

### Hydrographic Surveys

The 1940 hydrographic survey of Eastern Bay is not yet in the office.

### Previous Topographic Surveys T-5323 (1:10,000) 1935

A detailed comparison of T-5722 with T-5323 is made on pages 2 and 3 of the Descriptive Report accompanying T-5722.

It is apparent that T-5323 was compiled carelessly. Six triangulation stations listed on page 3 of the Descriptive Report were plotted incorrectly, probably due to an error of not applying the datum correction before plotting. As these stations were used for controlling the radial plot of T-5323, it is apparent that errors were introduced in the plot. These errors are particularly noticeable when comparing interior details such as roads, fences, and houses. In fact, some of the differences are so large (20 meters) as to indicate that apart from the error in the main plot of T-5323, insufficient secondary radial points were used, the detail being traced from the photographs between radial points too far apart.

It is believed that T-5722 is accurate within a probable error of 0.5 mm. for radial points and well defined detail and 1.0 mm. for other detail.

T-5722 supersedes T-5323.

### Radial Plot and Horizontal Control

There was an insufficient number of nine-lens photographs for a good plot along the west shore of Kent Island, especially at the southern end. To alleviate this condition single lens photographs were obtained from the Department of Agriculture A.A.A. Unfortunately the fiducial marks did not show up on the prints so that the principal points of the photographs could not be determined with accuracy. On six of the thirteen prints a

Constans have been most obusing the remains

cross marked what is assumed to be the center and on the other prints a center was pricked by drawing diagonals from the corners of the marks. This latter method of pricking centers is not reliable as there is no assurance that the negative was centered on the paper when making the prints.

The control for these single lens pictures was good at the north end of the plot and fairly good at the south end so that a fair plot was possible in conjunction with the nine lens photographs to the east, which were strongly controlled by numerous triangulation and topographic stations.

The plot for T-5722 was made for this sheet only. It was carefully checked in the office by orienting the photographs under the celluloid and drawing cuts to critical radial points, and appears to be very good considering the limitations imposed by the conditions discussed in the foregoing paragraphs.

It was found that topographic station Ray 1933 did not check the position formerly determined for it by plane table survey T-4771 and as shown on T-5323. Examination of both the old five lens photographs and the new nine lens photographs shows that the same point was picked on both sets of photographs and that it is the same building. Topo station Hel 1933 about 100 meters N.E. of "Ray 1933" checks with its old position, so that it would appear that Ray 1933 was incorrectly located originally. However, the radial line intersection at Ray on T-5722 is weak, and it is not shown as a topo station on T-5722. The card description filed under T-4771 has been marked "Void".

Topographic station "Can 1933" was reported recovered by the field party although the Descriptive Report T-5323 states it was destroyed. It is a cupola on a barn. Examination of the records and old five lens photographs now seem to indicate that the cupola recovered is the same one originally located by plane table survey T-4771, and that misinterpretation of the original description when T-5323 was compiled resulted in its reported destruction, although it is possible a new structure similar to the old one was built. The description on form 524 (marked void) filed under T-4771 fits the station as now shown.

Topo station 'Pan 1933' (in the same group of buildings as 'Can 1933') was not shown on T-5722 although the house of which it is the chimney is shown. The chimney could not be identified under the stereoscope on account of trees surrounding the house.

Triangulation stations Matta 1932 and Bank 1933 were pricked on the photographs by using measurements shown on the old five lens photographs and used in checking the plot.

### Comparison with Charts 550, 566, 1225 Chart 550 (revised 6/16/41)

Corrections from T-5722 were applied to chart 550 Feb. 3, 1941. However, the road leading to the Romancoke ferry is shown incorrectly on the chart.

### Chart 566 (revised 8/6/41)

There are no differences between the chart and T-5722. corrections were applied Jan. 15, 1941.

### Chart 1225 (revised 7/22/41)

There are no differences between the chart and T-5722.

### Field Inspection and Detailing

The field inspection and the detailing of T-5722 are complete.

Some buildings which appear on the 1937 photographs are not shown, as field inspection disclosed their destruction.

Redrafting in the Washington Office.

Reviewed in office by D. H. Benson Sept. 12, 1941

Inspected by B. G. Jones Oct. 2, 1941 and Jan. 1943.

Surveys Section

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Division of Coastal Surveys