# 5968

Diag'd. en Diag. Ch. No. 1204-2

Form 504

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey Air Phetographic (Shereline)

Field No. HT-272-B Office No. T-5968

LOCALITY

State Maine

General locality Casce Bay

Locality New Meadows River - Gurnet and Vicinity

194 3

CHIEF OF PARTY

F.L.Peaceck

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

#### DATA RECORD

T-5968 (Shoreline)

Quadrangle (II):

Project No. (II):

Bath, Maine (U.S.G.S.)

HT-272-B

Field Office:

Chief of Party:

C. D. Meaney

Compilation Office:

Chief of Party:

Air Photo. Survey Party No.2 Baltimore, Maryland

Fred. L. Peacock

Instructions dated (II III):

Copy filed in Descriptive Roport No . T-

January 12, 1942

Div. of Photogram Office Files

April 1, 1942

Completed survey received in office: 21 Jan. 1943

Reported to Nautical Chart Section:

Reviewed: 24 July, 1945 Applied to chart No (Reconst.) Date: 3/10/43 / Tw.

Redrafting Completed: 13. Nov. 1947

Registered: 15 April, 1945

Published: Shoreline (Voult Copy)

Compilation Scale: /:/0000

Published Scale: 1:10000

Scale Factor (III): none

Scale 1:10,000

Geographic Datum (III):

Datum Plane (III): MHW
Mean Sea Level

N. A. 1927

Reference Station (III): Himmelein, 1933, r. 1942

Lat.: 43° 50' 32.303" 997.0m Long.: 69° 56' 16.687" 372.8m

Adjusted Executional Execution

State Plane Coordinates (VI):

Maine, West Zone

x = 560, 339.22

y = 367, 836.04

Military Grid Zone (VI)

#### PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

Number	<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	Scale	Stage of Tide
6715	10/17/41	10:54a.m.	1:10,000	4.7 above M. L. W.
6716	10/17/41	10:5µа.m.	1:10,000	4.7' above M. L. W.
6717	10/17/41	10:54a.m.	1:10,000	4.7' above M. L. W.
6718	10/17/41	10:54a.m.	1:10,000	4.7' above M. L. W.
6755	10/17/41	12:08p.m.	1:10,000	2.2' above M. L. W.
6756	10/17/41	12:08p.m.	1:10,000	2.2' above M. L. W.
6757	10/17/41	12:09p.m.	1:10,000	2.2' above M. L. W.
6758	10/17/41	12:09p.m.	1:10,000	2.2' above M. L. W.
6772	10/17/41	12:19p.m.	1:10,000	1.8' above M. L. W.
6773	10/17/41	12:19p.m.	1:10,000	1.8' above M. L. W.
6802	10/17/41	1:01p.m.	1:10,000	0.8' above M. L. W.
6803	10/17/41	1.01p.m.	1:10,000	0.8' above M. L. W.
6801 mida fran	(0/17/4)	1.01 p.m	1:10,000	0.8 above M. L. W.
Tide from		and, Maine, wit	h time correct	cion for Middle Bay Cove,
Middle Co		O	dan Dana	
Mean Rang	U:	Spr	ing Range:	40

Mean Mange: 9.0ft.

Shiring Mange: 10.3ft.

Camera: (Kind or source)

U. S. Coast & Geodetic Survey Nine lens (Focal length  $8\frac{1}{4}$ ) All negatives are on file in the Washington Office. Field Inspection by: date:

Lieuts. H. O. Fortin & R. C. Bolstad under Summer, 1942 supervision of Lieut. Comdr. C.D.Meaney, Comdr. Officer, S.S.Lydonia Field Edit by: Nose

Date of Mean High-Water Line Location (III):

October 17, 1941

Projection and Grids ruled by (III) Washington Office date: April 25, 1942 (W.C.B.) checked by: Washington Office date: April 25, 1942 Control plotted by: Walter E. Schmidt & James J. Brazil date: May, 12, 1942 Control checked by: James E. Sunderland date: May 21, 1942

Radial Plot by: Walter E. Schmidt date: July 1-3, 1942

Detailed by: Roger P. Lathrop (shoreline) date: July-Aug., 1942

Reviewed in compilation office by: Michael G.Misulia date: Oct. 1942 and January, 1943

Elevations on Field Edit Sheet checked by:

date:

#### STATISTICS (III)

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles): none

Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore):

#### 38.2 Statute Miles

Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore):

#### 18 Statute Miles

Number of Recoverable Topographic Stations established:

15 on map drawing T-5968

l on map drawing T-5969 Number of Temporary Hydrographic Stations located by radial plot:

53

Leveling (to control contours) - miles:

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by, (II) Field Party, (III) Compilation Party, or, (VI) the Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials (not initials only).

Remarks:

#### ADDITION OF DESCRIPTIVE REPORTS

#### T-5968 and T-5969

Additional hydrographic signals were selected by stereoscopic examination of the photographs in this office June 15, 1943, plotted on the manuscript and ozalid prints forwarded to the hydrographic party.

These additional signals are shown in red circles.

New nine lens paper prints were made for this purpose. The descriptions of the stations were lettered directly on the photographs and the photographs forwarded to the hydrographic party. They will be returned to this office and filed with the regular field inspection photographs for the job. The numbers of the photographs are as follows:

Mg.gores

#### COMPILATION REPORT

26 Control:

control

The control plotted and transferred from adjoining map drawings consists of 44 U.S. Coast & Geodetic Survey triangulation stations and two U.S.G.S. traverse stations. The basic control has been shown on this map drawing with the usual triangulation symbol.

The following list of triangulation stations are within the detailed limits of this map drawing with exceptions noted:

29 U. S. C. & G. S. triangulation stations:

Kellog, 1853, r.1911, 1933, r.1942

· Skolfield, 1933, r.1942

· Cove, 1933, r.1942

· Himmelein, 1933, r.1942

Sebasco, 1933, r.1942

Ward, 1933, r.1942

Bragdon, 1933, r.1942

Harpswell Tom Randell's Barn Cupola, 1933, r.1942 (should be now named"C.S.Wilson's Barn Cupola"--this by field inspection party)

Harpswell Skolfields Barn Cupola, 1933, r.1942

Harpswell Merrucoonegan Barn Cupola, 1933, r.1942

Foster Point pyramidal roof peak, 1933, r.1942

Foster Point house flagpole, 1933

Orr 2, 1933 (not pricked on field print), r.1942

Barnes's white house chy. in center, 1866

\*Anderson's Wm. house chimney in center, 1866

Furrin's J. B. house chimney, 1866, r.1942 GP p-305

Holbrook's house South chimney, 1866, r.1942

Jordan's house, north chimney, 1866, r.1942

Jordan's Barn, south gable, 1866, r.1942

Orr's Chas. House south chimney, 1866, r.1942

Pennell's house, North chimney, 1866

Reed, Arthur, house chimney center, 1866

· Square unpainted house, center chimney, 1866

Wallace's H. barn west gable, 1866 Horsey's house chimney, 1866, r.1942

Rich's Cove east end, 1866, r.1942

Square yellow house chimney, 1866, r.1942

Orr's house center chimney, 1866, r.1942

Doughty, David, house chimney, 1866

\*Three chimneys appear on this house. No definite proof, which chimney was the station, by photo compilation. No center chimney on the main section of the house. However, the most southerly chimney may be the station.

The following list of triangulation stations are without the detailed limits of this map drawing with exceptions noted:

26 Control: (cont'd)

15 U. S. C. & G. S. triangulation stations:

Harris, 1933, r.1942 Walsh, 1933 Stearn, 1933, r.1942 Winnegance, 1933, r.1942 Stevens, 1933, r.1942 \*Litchfields, 1933 Buena, 1933, r.1942 +Harpswell Ch., 1854, r.1911, 1933, r.1942 Bunganuc, Landing Barn Cupola, 1933, r.1942 \* These stations Woodwards house cupola, 1866, r.1942 +Fish House chimney, 1866 are mot shown on \*Mare Point flagpole & mile north, 1933 manuscriptasless than 3 roader invacaries +Buttermilk Point, ch. spire, 1933, r.1942 +Butler 2, 1933, r.1942, -1942 +Butler, red house, chy., near, 1866, r.1942 kisstian.

\*These stations have been located graphically from Graphic Control sheets Nos. R and L from the party of Fred. L. Peacock, season 1941. These have been indicated with green acid ink triangles.

+These stations have been transferred from adjoining map drawings and have been indicated with red acid ink triangles. \*

2 U. S. G. S. traverse stations (indicated with the usual triangulation symbol)

Sta. 1932 Sta. 1947+

A dashed triangulation symbol was indicated on the map drawing wherever the field inspection party had recommended a point in the immediate vicinity of ruins where a station had previously existed. These stations so indicated on the map drawing usually held tangent or 100% to their respective radial lines on the photographs. They of course should be considered lost. These stations are not shown as triangulation on map manuscript. They were used as aids or checks on the 27 Radial Plot: radial line plat.

Refer to special report, on radial plots for map drawings No. T-5967 to T-5974 inclusive, which has previously been submitted with map drawing No. T-5970. Filed in Div. of Photogram metry - General Files

Secondary control points, established in running the plot, are shown by small double white ink circles on the office photographs and by small double purple ink circles on this map drawing. These secondary control points indicate that they have been located by the intersection of three or more radial lines and their positions were considered strong. Double red ink circles near the center of this map drawing indicate well-defined points which were located by at least four radial lines and used as additional control for the photographs covering this center area.

Tilt was computed when necessary.

Location of isocenters, magnitude and direction of tilt is obvious.

#### 28 Detailing:

The shoreline and the immediate adjacent culture has been detailed on this map drawing in accordance with the Director's letters of January 12, 1942 and April 1, 1942; pertaining to this Project No. HT-272-B.

The field inspection photographs did not indicate the location of any bluffs. The office photographs were examined under the Stereoscope and bluffs of any importance were observed and indicated on this map drawing with the ordinary dirt bluff symbol regardless of their character. The object of this was to save time. The greater part of the mean high water line was detailed directly from the field inspection photographs. The only deviation from this proceedure was in areas where the field inspection indicated the mean high water line along sections of shoreline having deep shadows or displacement due to relief. These sections were carefully examined, reinterpreted, and detailed accordingly. References as to which photograph was used to detail such sections of shoreline were noted on the field photographs.

The scale of several photographs was in disagreement with the scale of this map drawing. The projector was used in such instances.

Tertiary points have been indicated by small single purple, red and green ink circles. Purple and red ink circles indicate points located by three or more radials. Green ink circles indicate points located by two radials or whose positions were considered weak.

It was impossible in certain areas to establish the positions of a few houses, due to relief displacement and shadow. Proper notation was indicated on the overlay sheet.

#### 29 Supplemental Data:

Graphic control and hydrographic surveys by the party of Fred. L. Peacock, season of 1941, were used as supplemental data for this map drawing. No maps or plans of other organizations were used in the compilation of this map drawing. Information from the hydrographic surveys has been indicated in blue acid ink or regular purple drawing ink on the glossy side of this map drawing.

#### 30 Mean High Water Line:

The M. H. W. line (firm ground) is indicated by a heavy black acid ink line the center of which should be taken as the true position. A light black acid ink line (marsh limit) indicates the limits of navigation and not necessarily the M. H. W. line. A tide curve was drawn in order to approximate the tide for each photograph.

#### 31 Low Water and Shoal Lines:

A light solid black acid ink line has been used to indicate the limits of rock ledge. This line has been labeled "Rock Ledge" on the overlay sheet. Off shore limits of marsh were also indicated with a light solid black acid ink line in conjunction with the usual marsh symbol. All small sections of rock ledge areas were indicated by the usual rock ledge symbol.

31 Low Water and Shoal Lines: (cont'd)

Approximate limits of shoal and foul areas are shown with a light dashed black acid ink line and labeled.

Images of rocks identified by the field inspection party have been properly detailed and their heights above M. H. W. or M. L. W. noted. Additional rock images that could be positively identified by this compiler from stereoscopic examination were also detailed. The most prominent of these (rock awash or sunken rock) with small surface areas were located radially and indicated with a small dotted black acid ink circle in conjunction with the usual rock awash or sunken rock symbol.

Most of the shoreline consists of sand, mud, rock ledge and shell. It was difficult to distinguish rock ledge along certain sections of the shoreline. Such sections were examined under the stereoscope and detailed according to this compiler's interpretation. Previous charts were also consulted in these sections for determination of rock ledge.

32 Details Offshore from the High Water Line:

See paragraph No. 31. All offshore details have been properly labeled either on this map drawing or on the overlay sheet.

All questionable areas have been noted on the overlay sheet for the attention of the hydrographer.

33 Wharves and Shoreline Structures:

All piers, wharves, cribbing, fish traps and other shoreline structures were detailed and labeled. Movable floats and gangways were not shown as these could not be considered a permanent part of the piers.

Bridges were detailed and their horizontal and vertical clearances with respect to M. H. W. were indicated. There is a bridge in the vicinity of Lat. 43° 50' 25" and Long. 69° 55' 15". Information submitted to this office by the field inspection party called this a dirt and stone bridge but no clearances were given. It evidently is of no navigational importance.

34 Landmarks and Aids to Navigation:

No landmarks were recommended by the field inspection party within the detailed limits of this map drawing. However, the position of a landmark, which has been indicated without the detail limits of this map drawing, is being submitted on Form No. 567. Proper notation has been indicated on this map drawing for a correction to be applied to map drawing No. T-5969 pertaining to this landmark. The information for this landmark was received by this office after map drawing No. T-5969 had been completed and sent to the Washington Office.

Other Candmarks for charts indicated without the detailed limits of this map drawing have been previously submitted.

Chart Letter # 568 (1942)

Correction of

## 35 Hydrographic Control:

53 temporary and 15 recoverable hydrographic stations have been indicated within the detailed limits of this map drawing with a lamm and 2 mm black acid ink circle respectively. One recoverable Hydrographic station listed below is without the detailed limits of this map drawing.

The descriptions of both classes of hydrographic stations have been noted on either the map drawing or the overlay sheet.

The recoverable stations are as follows:

Nos. 13 117, 118 133, 134, 137 144 162, 163

East Gable, large ice house (without detailed limits of this map drawing)

Positions and descriptions of the recoverable stations are being submitted on Form No. 524.

The field inspection party recommended the recoverable hydrographic station No. 135, apex of Steel windmill tower. This station could not be established by air photo compilation. It was not possible to identify the image of this tower on the required number of photographs.

37 Junctions: See Review Report

Map drawing No. T-5967, north side, junction in agreement.

Map drawing No. T-5964, West side, junction in agreement.

Map drawing No. T-5969, south side, junction in agreement.

Map drawing No. T-5963, west side, disagreements along sections of this junction have been outlined in red ink on the glossy side of map drawing No. T-5968 and proper notations shown so that map drawing No. T-5963 can be corrected in the Washington Office. These disagreements were to be expected because no field inspection was on hand by this office when the eastern limits of map drawing No. T-5963 was detailed.

Map drawing No. T-5973, East side, junction in agreement.

39 Recommendations for Future Surveys:

This map drawing believed to be complete in all details of importance for charting and no additional surveys are required.

The probable error of radial points and well-defined objects along the shoreline is not greater than 0.5mm. The error of inland radial points and details of importance is not greater than 1.0mm.

Liu Comparison with Existing Topographic Quadrangles:

Bath, Maine Quadrangle (U.S.G.S.) Edition of Dec. 1894, reprinted 1940. Scale 1:62,500. Due to the difference in scale between this map drawing and the above mentioned quadrangle, small planimetric details could not be ascertained, otherwise, they are generally in good agreement. However, in the vicinity of Lat. 43° 51' 30" and Long. 69° 52' 40" the above mentioned quadrangle indicates Williams Island detached at the above mentioned position. The field inspection party does not recommend a break at this position. It was decided by this office, to detail the island as recommended by the field inspection party. The area in question has been properly noted for investigation by the hydrographic party.

In the vicinity of Lat. 43° 48' 45" and Long. 69° 53', a pond on Dingley Island is not shown on above mentioned quadrangle.

In the vicinity of Lat. 43° 49' and Long. 69° 53' 10", a fixed wooden bridge is not shown on the above mentioned quadrangle.

45 Comparison with Nautical Charts:

Chart No. 315, published July, 1941. Scale 1:40,000.

Due to the difference in scale between this map drawing and the above mentioned chart, small planimetric details could not be ascertained, otherwise they are generally in good agreement.

The exceptions noted under paragraph 44 also pertain to Chart No.315.

Small streams as shown on the above mentioned chart and which could not be identified by this office are approximately situated as described below:

At Lat. 43° 50' 30", Long. 69° 56' 10".

At Lat. 43° 50' 05", Long. 69° 56' 15".

At Lat. 43° 49' 20", Long. 69° 56' 50".

At Lat. 43° 51' 10", Long. 69° 55' 30", only a small stream could be observed in contrast with the large one as shown on the chart.

At Lat. 43° 51' 20", Long. 69° 55' 20".

At Lat. 43° 51' 10", Long. 69° 55'.

At Lat. 43° 51' 10", Long. 69° 54'.

At Lat. 43° 51' 10", Long. 69° 53' 55".

At Lat. 43° 51' 10", Long. 69° 53' 30".

At Lat. 43° 50' 20", Long. 69° 53' 30".

At Lat. 43° 50' 20", Long. 69° 53' 30".

At Lat. 43° 52' 20", Long. 69° 53'

At Lat. 43° 52' 30", Long. 69° 53'

At Lat. 43° 52' 30", Long. 69° 57' 35".

At Lat. 43° 51' 30", Long. 69° 57' 35".

At Lat. 43° 51' 30", Long. 69° 57' 35".

At Lat. 43° 48' 50", a road is shown at the edge of marsh, crossing the northern part of Mill Cove. This could not be identified by this office on any of the photographs.

#### GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

It is respectfully recommended that "A Report on Geographic Names" by Lieut. Henry O. Fortin, covering the area of this map drawing be referred to when considering the correct geographic names. Listed below OK 3 1941 Ed. are the names that have been shown on this map drawing unless otherwise noted: · Barnes Point Bombazine Island (called Bombarine Island on Chart 315) . Ben Island (called Bombazine Island on Bath, Maine Quadrangle and by field inspection party) · Bragdon Island · Back core (vecent u.s. B. G.N. decision) ·Brickyard Cove Broad, Gove · Buttermilk Cove · Upper Coumbs I. · Buttermilk Point · Center Island · Lower Coombs I. Coombufstand = on Chart No. Zin Changed on Id Inspect · Crow Island · Dingley Island · Doughty Cove · Doughty Point . East Harpswell . Ewin Narrows . Foster Point Gurnet (called Simons Gurnet on Chart No. 315) (called Gurnet by Field Inspection Party) · Harpswell Cove · Harpswell Neck . Indian Point -Merepoint (USBHA) . Jordan Point · Little Iron Island . Long Island · Long Reach (called Mare Point Bay on Chart No. 315) . Mere Point Bay (called Mare Point Bay on Bath, Maine Quadrangle) (called Mere Point Bay by Field Inspection Party) . Mare Point Neck (called Mare Point Neck on Chart No. 315) (called Mare Point Neck on Bath, Maine Quadrangle) (called Mere Point Neck by Field Inspection Party) . Middle Bay · Middle Bay Cove . Middle Ground . . Mill Cove (+wd) · Miller Creek . Miller Point New Meadows River . North Harpswell · Orrs Cove anahog Bay (USBGN) · Prince Point · Quohog Bay e Rich Cove

· Sebascodegan Island Skotfield Cove ( VSB 6 N)

· Schofield Cove · Scrag Island

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES (cont'd) · Prince Gurnet

Simons Gurnet (called Prince Gurnet on Chart No. 315) (called Simons Gurnet by Field Inspection Party)

- . Snow Island
- · Strawberry Creek
- . Three Islands
- · White Island
- . Williams Island
- . Wilson Ledges (This area was not detailed or named on T-5963 and was therefore named and detailed on this map drawing No. T-5968)
- . Woodward Cove
- · Woodward Point

· State No. 123

Dyer Core (E-side Long Reach)

Names preceded by .

Are approved. L. Hect

9/25/47

This map drawing for T-5968 was originally compiled by Roger P. Lath. rop Photogrammetric Aid. Extensive subsequent revision was necessary. Review, revision and preparation of Descriptive Report are by Michael G. Misulia, Jr. Topographic Engineer.

Respectfully submitted,

January 20, 1943

Michael G. Misulia

Junior Topographic Engineer

Compilation and Descriptive Report Supervised throughout by:

Asst. Photogrammetric Engineer

Approved & Forwarded: January 21, 1943

Air Photographic Party No. 2

# 26 & 27 Radial Plot and Control

Approximately one-half the office photos for this survey were not available to the reviewer. Those that were available were used partly to check the plot with satisfactory result.

Control was adequate.

# 28 Detailing

A large number of intermittant streams and a few perennials indicated on the Field Inspection photographs were omitted by the compiler. These were added during the review.

Numerous buildings along the shoreline were added.

Shoal lines, low water lines and approximate channel lines were shown on the manuscript during the compilation strictly as an aid to the Hydrographic Party. All these lines have been deleted from the manuscript by the reviewer since a check of the completed Hydrographic Surveys indicates that they have served their purpose and are of no further material use on the map.

### 30 Mean High Water Line

The greater part of the mean high water line was detailed directly from the Field Inspection photographs. Sections of shoreline having deep shadows or displacement due to relief were reinterpreted and detailed accordingly.

# 37 Junctions

Satisfactory junctions were made with adjoining surveys. However, notes had been made on the manuscript indicating ad itional detail which had been drafted on T-5968 and which was to be transferred to Surveys T-5963 and T-5964. This detail was transferred during the review of T-5968 and all notes deleted.

# 43 Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys

H-6806 1:10,000 1942 H-6809 1:10,000 1942 H-6731 1:10,000 1941,43 - This comparison resulted in the following disagreements:

(a) PIER - located at approximately Latitude 43° 51' 05", Longitude 69° 58' 25", considerable difference in position, manuscript agrees with photographs and previous surveys.

- (b) ROCK located at approximately Latitude 43°50'20", Longitude 69°58'50" shown on Hydrographic sheet, not located by Field Inspection.
- (c) ROCK located at approximately Latitude 43°49'35", Longitude 69° 58' 35" shown on Hydrographic sheet - rocky ledge shown in same area on manuscript.

H-6807 1:10,000 1942 - The following disagreements were noted in comparing this survey with T-5968:

- (a) ROCK located at approxmiately Latitude 43° 48' 50" Longitude 69° 54' 10" shown on Hydrographic sheet, indicated as rock ledge on T-6915(a) and shows as such on photographs.
- (b) ROCK Located at approximately Long. 43°48'58" Lat. 69° 54' 45"
- (c) ROCK located at approximately Long. 43° 50' 05" Lat. 69° 54' 50"
- (A) ROCK located at approximately Long. 43° 52' 05"
  Lat. 69° 54' 25"
- (e) 2 ROCKS located at approximately Long. 43° 50' 55° Lat. 69° 52' 50°

b, c, d and e above are all shown on the Hydrographic sheet, but were not located on the Field Inspection Photographs.

# 44 Comparison with Previous Topographic Surveys

T-665	1:10,000	1857
847	1:10,000	1860,61
923	1:10,600	1863
1012	1:10,000	1865
1021	1:10,000	1866
1129	1:10,000	1867,69

## Graphic Control Surveys

T-6843b. 6868b	1:10,000	1941
	1:10,000	1941 1942
6912e&b	1:10,000	1942
6915a	1:10,000	1942

In the comparison between T-5968 and the Graphic Control Surveys, several rocks and rocky ledges were found to be missing from the manuscript in Guokog Bay area. These were trans-

ferred directly from the Graphic Control sheets to the manuscript.

# 45 Comparison with Nautical Charts

Manuscript was applied to Chart No. 315 Reconstructed before review. No change was necessary to be made during review.

1:40,000 1:80,000 May 1943 March 1942

# 46 Comparison with Maps of Other Organizations

U.S.E.	Quad	151	Bath,	Maine	1:62,500	1920	
U.S.G.S.	71	11,	<b>11</b>	Ħ	11	1894 Rep.	1940
11	H	T	77	TI ·	iti	1945	, .

# 47 General

Although a good bit of interior detail is shown on T-5968 in red ink, this map in considered a shoreline sheet and has been reviewed as such. All corrections and additions made during review have been shown in green acetate ink. Material shown in red is not to appear on final copy of map.

Reviewed by:

Under the direction of:

Approved by:

Tech. Asst. to the Chief, Chief, Neutical Chart Branch Division of Photogrammetry Division of Charts

K.T. Adams WM. Jester.
ef, Div. of Photogrammetry Chief, Div. of Coastal Surveys

# NAUTICAL CHARTS BRANCH

SURVEY NO. 75968

# Record of Application to Charts

DATE	CHART	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
-/ 1	Recoast		
9/10/46	314	Evertt-Walley	Before After Verification and Review
	Reconst		applied them Ch. 315
May 1943	315	I walky	Before After Verification and Review
		0	No correction after review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
		•	Before After Verification and Review
	•		
		•	Before After Verification and Review
		·	
			Before After Verification and Review
			D. A
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
		•	before Alker Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Defore Miles Verification and Neview
			·

M-2168-1

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.