6263

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY 410 ARCHIVES

APR 24 1935

Acc. No	

Form 504 Ed. June, 1928 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY D. C. DARRION
R. S. PATTON, Director
State: WASHINGTON
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT
Topographic Sheet No. "E"
LOCALITY
PORT ORCHARD - SOUTH END:
PUGET SOUND
p
19 34
CHIEF OF PARTY
JACK SENIOR

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

	Field Letter *** ******************************
	REGISTER NO. 6263
State	Washington
General locality	Puget Sound
Locality	Port Orchard, South End
Scale 1:10,000	Date of survey Aug. 18 to 31, 19 34
Vessel	U.S.C. & G.S.S. EXPLORER
Chief of Party	Jack Senior
Surveyed by	William F. Malnate
Inked by	William F. Melnate
Heights in feet abo	ove M.H.W. to ground two departments
Condense Approximat	te contour xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx 20 fee
Instructions dated.	March 29 , 19 34
Remarks:	
	·

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

TO ACCOMPANY TOPOGRAPHIC SHEET "E"

PORT ORCHARD - SOUTH END

PUGET SOUND

WASHINGTON

-0-

JACK SENIOR, CHIEF OF PARTY

SEASON OF 1934

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

TO ACCOMPANY TOPOGRAPHIC SHEET "E"

PORT ORCHARD - SOUTH END

PUGET SOUND-WASHINGTON

INSTRUCTIONS:

The survey was made in accordance with the Director's Instruction for Project No. HT - 171, dated March 29, 1934.

LIMITS:

The southern end of Port Orchard between Latitudes 47° 38.3' N. and 47° 34.4' N. and Rich Passage east to Longitude 121° 32.6' W.

Junctions are made with topographic sheet "F - 1934" at triangulation station "VILLE 2 - 1934", Latitude 47° 38.29' N., Longitude 122° 35.45' W. and triangulation station "FLAG - 1934", Latitude 47° 38.29' N., Longitude 122° 34.65' W.; with topographic sheet "L - 1934", at triangulation station "HIGH - 1915", Latitude 47° 34.45' N., Longitude 122° 36.13' W. and triangulation station "DRONE 2 - 1934", Latitude 47° 34.38' N., Longitude 122° 34.80' W.; with topographic sheet "J - 1934" at triangulation station "MIDDLE - 1915", Latitude 47° 34.65' N., Longitude 122° 32.59' W. and with topographic sheet "D - 1934" at triangulation station "DIT - 1915", Latitude 47° 35.84' N., Longitude 122° 32.63' W.

METHODS:

The standard survey methods cutlined in the Topographic Manual, Special Publication No. 144, were used throughout.

All off lying dangers and rocks were located by a rod reading when visible and all hydrographic stations checked by cuts.

CONTROL:

The topography was controlled by a second order scheme of triangulation established in 1915 and 1934. The distance between triangulation stations averaged less than one mile.

TRAVERSE CLOSURES:

There were no closing errors of traverse due to the frequency of triangulation stations permitting checks on planetable positions by either resection or three point fixes throughout.

MACNETIC OBSERVATIONS:

Observations with a magnetic declinatoire were made at triangulation station "EXTRA 2 - 1934".

CONTOURS:

The contours were transferred from Chart No. 6444 and were checked at intervals where possible. The agreement was good so the original contours were retained.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION:

The section of Port Orchard included in this sheet is about 4 miles long in a north and south direction with an average width of about 0.6 miles. The shores are wooded and in general rise abruptly for about twenty feet and then slope gradually to an average elevation of about 100 feet about 0.1 miles inshore.

The western end of Rich Passage is narrow and has a sharp bend and is steep with rocky shores on the south side.

The shore lines are settled and in the thicker settled sections have landing wharves for ferries.

COMPARISON WITH EXISTING CHARTS:

Chart. No. 6444:

This survey agrees very well with the existing chart in deliniation of shore line and shows no changes of any importance except man made changes such as construction of houses, whereas or roads.

Particular care was taken to properly locate any detail along the shore line that might be used for the crientation of aerial photographs in the future. All detail shown is therefore in its exact position with respect to the existing control points.

Respectfully submitted,

William F. Malnate,

Jr. H. & G. E., C. & G. S., U.S.C. & G.S.S. EXPLORER.

Approved and forwarded:

Jack Senior,

Commanding Officer,

U.S.C. & G.S.S. EXPLORER.

Sheet E" (1934) examined

of affirmed

STATISTICS

Statute miles of shoreline	14.4
Statute miles of highway	3.3
Area, in square statute miles	1.4
Number of hydrographic stations located	100

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

•					Se	attle, W	ashingt	on,				
,	•						Marc	h 30		, 19 <u>35</u>	_	
DIRECTOR, U. S. COAST AND GEO	ODETI	c Sv	RVEY:									
The following determined description given below, and sh	objec ould	ts ar be cl	e prominer harted.	ıt, can	be.	readily dis	stinguish	ed from s	eaward	from the	÷	
		_	•	-	J	ack Seni	or, Con	dg. Str.	EXPLO Chief	RER of Party.	-	
		_	1	POSITI	ON	<u> </u>					-	
DESCRIPTION		LATI	TUDE	LONGITUDE			DATUM	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED			
	0	,	D.M.METERS	0	ı	D, P. METERS	DATUM				_	
*WATERMAN PT. LIGHT (Sod	47	35	142.5	122	34	185,5	N.A. 1927	Plane- table	6444,	6450,	_6460	
*BEACON (Front Range)	47	36	48.0	122	33	209.5	17	#	6444,	6450,	- .6 4 60	
(⊙Bef)			ļ	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_	-	
BEACON (Rear Ranga)	47	36	291.0	122	33	412.0	#	#	6444,	6450,	_6 4 60	
(O Hind)			<u>k</u>	ļ				 	<u> </u>		_	
											_	
•												
											-	
					-						-	
						·			ļ		-	
)	·						! 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		=	
									ļ		_	
		_										
				<u> </u>					<u> </u>			
											-	
		_	-							<u> </u>	-	
										_	-	
			,	3		, .		,	,			

A list of objects which are of sufficient prominence for use on the charts, together with a description of the same, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report. The selection, determination, and description of these points are of primary importance.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will identify it; for example, standpipe, water tower, church spire, tank, tall stack, red chimney, radio must, etc. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently permanent to chart.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

					Se	attle, W	ashingt	on,		
							March 3	50	, 19 <u>_35</u>	
DIRECTOR, U. S. COAST AND										
The following determing description given below, and	ned objec id should	ets ar be c	re prominer harted.	it, can	. be	readily di	stinguish	ed from se	eaward from the	
-				-	J	ack Seni	or, Con	idg. S t r.	EXPLORER. Chief of Party.	
				POSITI	ON					
DESCRIPTION		LAT	ITUDE	L	ONG	ITUDE	DATUM	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED	
	P	'	D.M.METERS	0	1	D. P. METERS				
STACK, Black, iron	47	36	1016.0	122	34	476.0	N.A. 1927	Plane- table	6444, 6450	
STACK, Black, Iron	47	36	385.0	122	33	367.5	pp pp	17	6444, 6450	
							-			
				<u>'</u>	•					
										
								1		
	-									
			 	<u> </u>						
				1				1	İ	

A list of objects which are of sufficient prominence for use on the charts, together with a description of the same, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report. The selection, determination, and description of these points are of primary importance.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will identify it; for example, standpipe, water tower, church spire, tank, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently permanent to chart.

chart. U. B. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1930

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

Date. April 27,1935

WASHINGTON

Survey No. 6263

Chart No. 6450; 6460

Diagram No. 6450-2;6460-2

Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. *\footnote{\text{Referred}} \text{ Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. R

Under investigation. Q

Status	Name on Survey	Name on Chart	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
	Westwood				
	Illahee				
	Crystal Springs V	Same			
	Gibson				
	Port Orchard	Same			
	Point White	White Point (Pt. white	n Chart 6444	0	
	Waterman Point	Point Glover on Chart 64	44		
	Point Glover	Same Same			
	Rich Passage Middle Point	n n			
	Waterman	n			
	Enetai .				
. 8				l l	
					7
7					
	Was Kantaka				
	Alderoved	9/6/35			
	Approved K.T. Ad	ams	THE PERSON		(A

REVIEW OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY No. 6263 (1934) Field Letter E

Title (Par. 56) Port Orchard-South End, Puget Sound, Washington

Chief of Party Jack Senior Surveyed by W. H. Malnate Inked by Gr. H. Malnate

Ship Explorer Instructions dated March 29, 1994 Surveyed in August, 1934

- 1. The survey and preparation for it conform to the requirements of the
 Topographic Manual. (Par. 7, 8, 9, 13, 16.)
- 2. The character and scope of the survey satisfy the instructions.
- 3. The control and closures of traverses were adequate. (Par. 12, 29.)
- 4. The amount of vertical control that the Manual specifies for -contours-formlines- was accomplished. (Par. 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23.)
- 5. The delineation of -contours-formlines- is satisfactory. (Par. 49, L. 50.)
- 6. There is sufficient control on maps from other sources that were transmitted by the field party to enable their application to the charts. (Par. 28.)
- 7. High water line on marshy and mangrove coast is clear and adequate for chart compilation. (Par. 16a, 43, 44.)
- 8. The representation of low water lines, reefs, eoral reefs and rocks, and legends pertaining to them is satisfactory. (Par. 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41.)
- 9. Rocks and other important details shown on previous surveys and on the chart were verified. (Par. 25, 26, 27.)

 See Reverse side
- 10. The span, draw and electance of bridges are shown. (Par. 16c.)
- 11. Locations and elevations of summits are given. (Par. 19, 51.)
- 12. The tree line was shown on mountains. (Par. 16g.)

 No mountains, generally wooded area.

 NOTE: Strike out paragraphs, words or phrases not applicable and modify those requiring it. Paragraph numbers refer to those in the Topographic Manual. Use reverse side for extending remarks.

Paragraph 9

This sheet was reviewed in connection with H5652, H5576 and H5711.

Chart 6450 and 6460

No discrepancies were noted between the charts 6450 and 6460 and the present survey.

T1637 (1881)

The only changes noted in the present survey as regards T1637 is that of a dilapidated dock on T1637 which no doubt does not now exist as it is not shown on the present survey.

T3530 (1915)

The present survey is in good agreement except for the Westwood Wharf shown on T3530 but not shown on T6263.

T3529

The present survey is in good agreement with T3529. The present survey (T6263) should supersede in part the following sheets:-

T1637 (1881)

T3530 (1915)

T3529 (1915)

- 13. The descriptive report covers all details listed in the Manual, in so far as they apply to this survey. (Par. 64, 65, 66, 67.)
- 14. The descriptive report also contains additional information required in sero-topography relative to type of photographs, method of compilation and type of ground control.
- 15. The descriptions of recoverable stations and references to shore line were accomplished on Form 524. (Par. 29, 30, 57, 67 except scaling of DMs and DPs, 68.)

 Many have been recoved. Attached.
- 16. A list of landmarks for charts was furnished on Form 567 and plotting checked. (Par. 16d, e, 60.) Name have been releived.
- 17. The magnetic meridian was shown and declination was checked. (Par. 17, 52.) Meridian shown but no evidence declination was checked.
- 18. The geographic datum of the sheet is North American 1927 and the reference station is correctly noted. (Par. 34.)
- 19. Junctions with contemporary surveys are adequate.
- 20. Geographic names are shown on the sheet and are covered by the Descriptive report. (Par. 64, 66k.)
- 21. The quality of the drafting is good. (Par. 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 29, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50.)
- 22. No additional surveying is recommended.
- 23. The Chief of Party inspected and approved the sheet and the descriptive L report after review by W. H. (Malnate)

24. Remarks:

Reviewed in office by R. L. Johnston Sheet inspected by A. L. Shalowitz

Examined and approved:

Chief, Section of Field Records

Chief, Division of Charts

Fred. R. Ceasek Chief, Section of Field Work

Chief, Division of Hyd. and Top.