

ORIGINAL

Form 504 Rev. April 1935

DEPARTMENT, OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

# DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Topographic Hydrogr<del>ophi</del>e

Sheet No. I

W. S. COAST & GEODETTO CONTROL

LIBRARY AND ARCHAE

JUN 11 1941

State Florida

LOCALITY

Indian River

Courtney and vicinity

**-193** - 1941

CHIEF OF PARTY

# TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No
REGISTER NO. T6825
StateFlorida
General locality <u>Indian River</u>
Locality Courtney, Florida and vicinity
Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey Feb. March , 19 4
Vessel Launches MITCHELL & OGDEN
Chief of party F. L. Gallen
Surveyed by
Inked by
Heights in feet above to ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line interval feet
Instructions datedOct. 16 , 19 3
Remarks:

6 P O

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

To Accompany Topographic Sheet No. I

Indian River, Florida

#### INSTRUCTIONS

The work on this sheet was accomplished in accordance with Director's instructions for Project HT-243, dated Oct. 16, 1939.

#### EXTENT

This sheet covers both shores of the Indian River from Lat. 28° 30' southward to Lat. 28° 27.\* The work covers the shoreline and water area only, and is a revision survey of previous air photo surveys. 7-4532 (1928)

\* Southern limits are 28-26'2 on west shoreling and 28-26.7 on east shoreling of Indian River:

#### CONTROL

The control used for this survey was triangulation executed by the party of F. L. Gallen in 1940.

#### GENERAL DESCRIPTION

On the eastern shore (Merritt Island) of the river, the shoreline is generally marshy along the highwater line and breaks into higher land from forty to several hundred meters inshore. A large slough, \$28.29 with one foot of water, lies about in the center of the eastern shore and extends northward for approximately one mile. On the southern end of the same side lies the landing of Courthey, where a small wharf has recently been constructed and a channel dredged westward to the main channel of the Inland Waterway. To the southward of this channel, which is marked by day beacons, lies a chain of sand and shell spoil banks, the dredgings from the channel. These are gradually washing away and in the course of time will probably disappear. At present they are very definite and can be seen for ten miles in either direction when the sun shines on them.

\* Since the elevations are only a few feet obove the waterline visibility from water-craft would be limited to about

The western shoreline is a narrow sand beach, which breaks into sor 6 micsonless. a low bluff line. The tree line extends to the waters edge except on several flat points on the northern end, where a narrow strip of marsh extends offshore from five to twenty meters. The terrain inshore of the bluff is flat and is in a large part under cultivation.

#### SURVEY METHODS

After all signals had been located by three or more cuts from triangulation stations, the planetable was set up at these known points and the shoreline rodded in. No traverses were run.

#### COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS

This sheet was originally intended as a graphic control sheet for locating hydrographic signals but since excessive discrepancies

Max. 60 meters

at \$6 28-29'

vicinity \ 1 80-46:5

were noted in the shoreline it was considered necessary to relocate all shoreline data at the same time the signals were being located. No details back of the high water line were resurveyed. The original\* 7-4532(1928) shoreline is shown on the sheet in black and the new location in blue. It will be noted that in places there was practically no change, while in others the difference amounted to as much as 60 meters. Lean deleted The new location of the entire shoreline should be used henceforth.

Airphotographic Survey. This and the present location inked in black.

#### JUNCTIONS

Satisfactory junction on the northern end of this sheet was affected with sheet field letter H, 1941. The southern end of the sheet is the southern limit of the season's work, therefore no junction was made.

#### GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

See special report on geographic names, F. L. Gallen, Season 1941. 7-682/a+b

T-6822a+6

#### LANDMARKS

Form 567, covering landmarks for the area of this sheet is submitted as a separate report. These landmarks are all aids to navigation with the exception of one building on the west shore at the southern end of the sheet. There are no natural objects sufficiently outstanding to warrant charting.

Chart Letter 342 (1941)

#### PLANETABLE POSITIONS

Recoverable planetable positions have been described on Form 524 and will be submitted separately.

In files of airphoto unit Surveys section

#### MAGNETICS

Declinatoire observations were obtained at four stations as indicated on the sheet.

#### STATISTICS

Approved,

Statute miles of shoreline 16.6

Submitted by.

E. Rittenburg H. & G. Engineer

Chief of Party

John C. Ellerbe Jr. H. & G. Engr. Remarks

. Decisions

	Remarks.	Decisions
1		L84 807
2		1
3		ıı.
4_		271801-802
5		284 807
6_		284 806
7		284 807
8	·	284807
9		ι
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
<u>17</u> ·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18		
19	·	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 м 234		
	•	· •

Survey No. TER	(m. 4.2 	Char.	or No. Or	2. Mada	or locality	Or Idea Made	o Cuido	Med Working	2.5. July	/
Name on Survey	\(\sigma_{A_i}\)	° ₹° / 6	° `&° ∕ oʻ C,	D D	E	or` F	Ç. G	H	S· K	_
Courtenay										
Delespine							<u> </u>			1
Frontenac										
Indian River										
Jones Point										
Merritt Island										
Pine Island					23.00		<u> </u>			
Sharpes										l
Williams Point			-							
·			.25 bace	, in act in	ત્ત્વી શ્રાપ્ત	\			· <del>-</del>	
		by	L - H							Ī
		6 .								
						:				I
										Ī
										T
										Ī
										T
-						-				T
										T
,										Ì
·										İ
					-				···	
			-	-						
										$\mid$
	<del>                                     </del>						<u> </u>			-

# MEMORANDUM IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

SURVEY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT	I PMERKEE	received June 11, 1941 registered July 1, 1941 verified reviewed
AAANAAAAAAAAA	110. 1 0020	approved

This is forwarded in order that your attention may be directed to the matters as indicated below. Please initial in column 3 as an acknowledgement that your attention has been thus directed. The complete original records are available if desired. If you cannot give this your immediate attention, please initial, note, and forward to the next section marked, calling for the records at your convenience.

ROUTE	Initial	Attention called to
20		
22		
24		
25		· .
26		
30		
40		
62	·	
63		
82		
83		
88		
90		
		,

RETURN TO

82 T. B. Reed

1 JOBOR

Davic.

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

FIELD STATION
TENTH FLOOR, CUSTOMHOUSE
BOSTON, MASS.

NOV 28 PM 2: 34

November 27, 1941.

To:

The Director

U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey

Washington, D. C.

From:

The Inspector

Boston Field Station

Subject:

Review of Topographic Survey Sheet T-6825 (1941)

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter dated November 25, 1941, transmitting the review of Topographic Sheet Register No. T-6825 (1941), Indian River, Florida, vicinity of Courtenay.

With reference to Paragraph 4a, prior to the time the survey was made, correspondence was had with the Office and permission obtained to ink the old shoreline in black so that it would not be obliterated while doing the field work. It was agreed that the black waterproof ink should be used and the present shoreline inked in blue.

H. Hallen,
Inspector.

Caft. adams wrote personal letter regarding color of shareline but did not suggest blue for the new topography HAR.

#### DIVISION OF CHARTS

#### SURVEYS SECTION

### REVIEW OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY REGISTER NO. T-6825 (1941)

FIELD NO. I

Florida - Indian River, Courtenay and Vicinity Surveyed in March 1941, Scale 1:10,000 Instructions dated October 16, 1939 (MIKAWE)

#### Plane Table Survey

Aluminum Mounted

Chief of Party - F. L. Gallen
Surveyed by - J. C. Ellerbe
Inked by - J. C. Ellerbe
Reviewed by - H. F. Stegman
Inspected by - H. R. Edmonston, October 17, 1941

# 1. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys

- a. The junction on the north with T-6824b (1941) is satisfactory.
- b. The adjoining survey on the south was not completed during the 1941 season.

# 2. Comparison with Prior Surveys

## a. T-1435 (1876); scale 1:20,000

This early survey covers the entire area of the present survey. The maximum differences are on the west bank, northward of lat. 28°28', where the present survey shoreline is as much as 75 meters west of that on T-1435. This difference is believed to be due to the inaccuracy of the old survey rather than recession of shoreline.

On the eastern shore differences of 10 to 25 meters were noted. Much of this shoreline is low and marshy and the differences are apparently due to natural changes and difference of interpretation. The present survey supersedes this survey.

# b. <u>T-4532 (1928); scale 1:20,000</u>

This air photographic survey covers the entire area of the present survey. Southward of lat.

28°28' the shorelines agree within 10 to 20 meters. To the northward the differences are greater with a maximum of about 60 meters in lat. 28°29.5', long. 80°46.5'. The descriptive report of T-4532 states that it was controlled in part by the topography of T-1435 and that certain scale adjustments were necessary. It is evident that the shoreline differences are due largely to the lack of adequate ground control on T-4532. For this reason and because of its later date the present survey supersedes the shoreline of this air photographic survey.

# 3. Comparison with Chart 844 (Latest print dated May 1, 1941)

#### a. Topography

Topography on the chart originates with the air photographic survey T-4532 (1928) considered in paragraph 2b.

## b. Aids to Navigation

The aids to navigation were considered in the review of H-6664 (1941).

## c. Magnetic Meridians

The magnetic meridians were observed at four points. The values obtained agree closely with the charted value of 0°45' E.

# 4. Condition of Survey

- a. The inking of topographic details was satisfactory except as to color of shoreline. As received from the field the present survey shoreline was in blue and that of T-4532 (1928) inked in black. In the office the T-4532 shoreline was removed to avoid confusion, and the T-6825 shoreline was inked in black to permit photographic reproduction.
- b. The descriptive report is clear and satisfactorily covers all matters of importance.

# 5. Compliance with Instructions for the Project

Satisfactory.

#### 6. Additional Field Work Recommended

This survey is satisfactory and no additional field work is required. When topography on this project is

resumed, southward of T-6825 (1941), the shoreline of the 1928 air photographic surveys should be left in pencil on the survey sheets. Shoreline revision can then be shown in black without causing confusion. If it is desired to ink the 1928 survey shoreline an overlay tracing should be used.

It is desirable that a list of signals in water areas such as piles, dolphins, temporary staker, etc., with appropriate notes as to their character and permanency, be included in the descriptive report (Paragraph 2(b) Field Memorandum No. 5, 1935)

# 7. Superseded Surveys

T-1435 (1876) in part. T-4532 (1928) in part.

Examined and Approved:

Chief, Surveys Section

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Section of Hydrography

Chief, Division of Coastal Surveys