

Plagicht Nago4 Form 504 Rev. April 1935 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U. s. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY Topographic ` Hydrographic U.S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES APK 2 0 1342 Acc. No. State Puerto Rico LOCALITY Roosevelt Roads Navel Base Project C.S. # 268 193 1941 CHIEF OF PARTY Ray L. Schoppe U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE DECLASSIFICATION BY NOAA PURSUANT TO DOC SYSTEMATIC REVIEW GUIDELINES AS DESCRIBED IN SECTION 3.3 (a), EXECUTIVE ORDER 12356

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. "B"

REGISTER NO. T6873

StateState
General Locality East end, near Ensenada Honda.
Locality Roosevelt Roads Navel Base.
Scale 1 - 4800 Date of survey June - July_ Aug. 1941
Vessel Shore Party
Chief of party Ray L. Schoppe
Surveyed by R. Solivan, R. H. Colon and Lt. F. A. Riddell
Inked by C. Castano and F. A. Riddell
Heights in feet above M. H. W. to ground 1/0/4/01/1/1/01/9/
Contour, Approximate/contour,/Form/line/interval feet
Instructions dated May 20, (Radiogram) , 1941
Remarks: Special survey made for the Navy Department.
GPQ 266853
Alidada No. 110 was used on this short

PROJECT - C. S. # 268

Field Number - Sheet "B" T-6873

This sheet covers a part of Roosevelt Roads Naval Base.

It is one of a series of thirteen sheets. It covers the area at the head of Ensenada Honda, P. R. For notes that apply to all the sheets of the series, reference is made to the DeT-L872

scriptive Report for sheet "A" of this project.

(a) Description of coast.

Ensenada Honda is a well known but undeveloped port on the east end of Puerto Rico, about ten miles south of Fajardo. For many years, almost the only commercial enterprise in this port has been a small boat landing which served as the western terminal of a ferry service to Point Arenas on Vieques Island. The depth at the dock in Ensenada Honda was about two feet at ordinary tide level. Passengers were usually transferred from dock to ferry in a small skiff. The service was rather primitive. The barge repair yard and dry dock is described on Sheet "A."

Practically all of the grass land within the limit of this sheet, has been planted with sugar cane at some previous time. But due to the present law, it is more profitable to let this land lay idle than it is to plant a crop on it. At present, it is only used for pasturing cattle; except that a small patch of sugar cane was found on the hill at

• ROE. The mangrove swamps are owned by the Insular Government and have been maintained as a source of fuel. Charcoal is made from the wood of these scrubby mangrove bushes.

(b) Landmarks.

There are no landmarks on this sheet. The numerous rounded hills differ from each other, only in height.

Otherwise, they are not distinctive. The ferry dock in Lat.

18°14'.1 Long. 65°37'.4 is a flimsy structure and is not prominent.

(c) Control.

All triangulation for this project in 1941, is observed with second order accuracy, but the recovered stations, MURPHY 2, PUERCA, CEIBA and PRIETO are a part of the adjusted third order scheme which covers the whole of Puerto Rico. On this sheet, topography is controlled by stations ROLONCITO, ROLON, KID, CLARA and NORTH. After topography was finished, stations PIER and CAMP were located for control of building operations. A report on triangulation for this project has been submitted. Vertical control is discussed in the de-

(d) Traverse.

A few traverses were run between points located by three point fix. Such traverses were short. If closure was greater than three meters, the traverse was re-run. If less, it was adjusted. No detail was taken from traverse points until final location was selected.

(e) Survey Methods.

Topography on this sheet started with inexperienced personnel at station ROLON. See general notes in the descriptive report of Sheet "A".

(f) Form lines.

No offshore verification of form lines was possible. Various aerial photographs, - some vertical and some oblique, were available and form lines were carefully checked with them.

(g) Revision.

No revision work was done but shore line agreed reasonably well with the copies of old topographic sheets.

(h) Incomplete portions.

The highway passes through several small outs in the hills. The detail of contours in these cuts, is generalized because the scale of the survey is not large enough to permit the inking of detail in these steep sided cuts. The power line is shown as far as construction was completed when the survey was made.

(i) Deviation from Standard Practice.

T-6872 See notes in descriptive report of Sheet "A".

(j) Junctions.

At all junctions between sheets, a small overlap was run and if contours did not make a good fit, the field work

was re-run until the correct elevations were located. No adjustments were then necessary.

(k) Names.

On this sheet, the only named object is Ensenada Honda.

(1) Plane table positions.

There are enough triangulation stations on this sheet to furnish good control for field work. Plane table positions were not marked and will not be recoverable when the poles are gone or when flags in trees become dislodged. They were used mainly to survey mangrove: areas and all the mangrove on this sheet will be filled by hydraulic methods.

(m) Photographs.

See notes in the descriptive report of Sheet

(n) Changes in shoreline.

None.

(o) Marshes.

Marsh or mangrove swamp, covers 40% of this sheet. These swamps are mostly owned by the Insular Government and are maintained as a source of fuel. The scrubby mangrove bushes are used for making charcoal. Practically all of the mangrove swamp on this sheet is to be filled by dydraulic methods.

(p) Statistics.

Shoreline

6.9 miles

Roads

2.4 miles

Creeks

1.5 miles

(q) Declination.

On this project, an average of eight declinatoire observations gives a mean value of 6020 west. None shown on this sheet

Respectfully, submitted,

Ray L. Schoppe, LT.Comdr. U.S. Officer in Charge San Juan Magnetic Observatory

Remarks

M 234 Decisions

MEMORANDUM IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

•		
	SURVEY	
~~	DESCRIPTIVE	REPORT
	EXECUTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	OF .



received April 20, 1942 registered April 22, 1942 verified reviewed approved

This is forwarded in order that your attention may be directed to the matters as indicated below. Please initial in column 3 as an acknowledgement that your attention has been thus directed. The complete original records are available if desired. If you cannot give this your immediate attention, please initial, note, and forward to the next section marked, calling for the records at your convenience.

ROUTE	Initial	Attention called to
20		
22		
24		
25		
26		
30		
40		
62		
63		
82		
83		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
88		
90		
RETURN TO		·

82

DIVISION OF CHARTS

REVIEW SECTION - SURVEYS BRANCH

REVIEW OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY

HEGISTRY No. 6873

Field No. B

Puerto Rico, Roosevelt Roads, Ensenada Honda Surveyed in June - August, 1941; Scale 1:4,800 Instructions dated May 20, 1941 (Radiogram), Project 268

Plane Table Survey

Aluminum Mounted

Chief of Party - Ray L. Schoppe Surveyed by - F. A. Riddell, R. Solivan and R. H. Colon Inked by - F. A. Riddell and C. Castano Reviewed by - Harold W. Murray Inspected by H. R. Edmonston, August 28, 1944

1. Junctions with Adjacent Surveys

The junctions on the west, north and east with 1941 surveys: T-6878, T-6874, T-6876a, T-6875 and T-6872, respectively are excellent.

2. Comparison with Prior Surveys

T-2538 (1901) and T-2539 (1901), scales 1:20,000 and 1:10,000.

These surveys taken together cover most of the area of the present survey. Agreement of mangrove areas is generally good. Excessive differences, however, are noted in shapes and locations of contoured hills and valleys. In particular may be mentioned the two hills (charted) in lat. 18° 14.5!, long. 65° 37.6' which, as shown on the old surveys, have an axis almost at right angles to that of the present delineations.

Three sand spits or sanded areas (charted) at the head of the bay here from T-2539 may be retained on the chart.

The two generalized rocky areas charted on the northeast side of the bay from T-2539 and H-2533 of 1901 should be retained.

Except for the off-lying details noted above, the larger scale present survey is adequate to supersede the above surveys.

3. Comparison with Chart 922 (Latest print date 3-6-44) Chart 917 (Latest print date 2-25-44)

Most of the charted information originates with surveys discussed previously in this review except as follows:

- A. A portion of the shoreline and contour detail on the east side of the bay originates with corrections noted in Chart Letter 121 (1938). These should be superseded by the present survey.
- B. The range marking the entrance to the bay, as well as the docks and shoreline changes on the east side of the bay, are subsequent improvements by the U. S. Naval Authorities. (See Bps. 37153 and 37924 of 1943).
- 4. Condition of Survey

Satisfactory.

5. Compliance with Project Instructions

Satisfactory.

6. Additional Field Work Recommended

This is an excellent basic survey. However, changes already accomplished or which may be made in the future by the U. S. Naval Authorities will supersede portions of the present survey.

Superseded Surveys

T-2538 (1901) In part T-2539 (1901) In part

Examined and approved:

Chief | Surveys Branch

Chief, Section of Hydrography

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Division of Coastal Surveys

NAUTICAL CHARTS BRANCH

SURVEY NO. 6873

Record of Application to Charts

DATE	CHART	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
Jan 48 940	940	Hruae Ewan	Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
-		·	Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
*			

M-2168-1

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.