rum	

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey	SHORELINE
Field No.	Office No. T-9151
	LOCALITY
State	ALASKA
General locality	PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND
	ELRINGTON PASSAGE
hashired an arrange of a second	
	1954-55
	CHIEF OF PARTY ranch, Photogrammetry Division ashington, D. C.
LIE	BRARY & ARCHIVES
DATE	

USCONMEDC 5087

1

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

T- 9151

PROJECT NO. (II):		
PH-152		
FIELD OFFICE (II):	CHIEF OF PARTY	
HOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):	OFFICER-IN-CHARG	 GE
Washington, D. C.	L. W. Swa	nson
NSTRUCTIONS DATED (II) (III):		
31 December 1954 - 731-MKL 11 February 1955 - 732-MKL 14 March 1956 - Supplement 2,	Project 6152	
•	•	
METHOD OF COMPILATION (III):		
Graphic		
MANUSCRIPT SCALE (HI):	STEREOSCOPIC PLOTTING INST	RUMENT SCALE (III):
1:10,000		
DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFICE (IV):	DATE REPORTED TO NAUTICAL	CHART BRANCH (IV):
APPLIED TO CHART NO.	DATE:	DATE REGISTERED (IV):
SEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):	VERTICAL DATUM	tun): E. H. W.
SEGGRAFING DATOS AND.		EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS:
N. A. 1927	Elevations shown es	(25) refer to mean high water
	Elevations shown as	(5) refer to sounding datum
	i.e., mean low water	or meen lower low water
REFERENCE STATION (III):		·
THE THE STATE OF T		
AT.: LONG.:	ADJUSTED	
	UNADJUSTED	
LANE COORDINATES (IV):	STATE	ZONE
Y ≈ X =	,	

Date: Field Inspection by (II): See Photogrammetric plot report Planetable contouring by (II): Date: Date: Completion Surveys by (II): Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location): Date of Photography 1-7-55 Projection and Grids ruled by (IV): A. Riley Projection and Grids checked by (IV): H. D. Wolfe Date: 1-12-55 Control plotted by (III): G. Amburn 16-18 Mar. 1955 Date: Control checked by (III): J. Hundley 21-22 Mar. 1955 Date: Radial Plot or Stereoscopic 13 April 1955 Control extension by (III): S. G. Blankerbaker J. E. Hundley Planimetry Date: Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III): Contours Date: 9146 - Charles Baldwin Date: April 1955 Manuscript defineated by (III): 9147 - J. E. Hundley 9148 - S. G. Blankenbaker 9149, 9150, 9151 - J. P. Battley, Jr. Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): R. J. Franch Date: April 1955 Elevations on Manuscript Date:

Form T-Page 3

checked by (II) (III):

Single lens

PHOTOGRAPHS	an)

Number	Date	Time	Scale	Stage of Tide	;
54W-2296-2303	26 July 1954	12:29-12:33	1:10,000 (Ratio)	5.9 above	MLLW
54W-2306-2311	n n n	12:40-12:43	11	5.7 "	13
54VI-2315-2322	at en a	12:56-12:59	U	4.7 11	17
544-2393-2401	TR 11 12	13:42-13:45	tt	4.0 n	n '
91RTS, M324, 91SRW	, 4177-4577-17	July '50 - 11+30	It	5,3 "	11
91RTS, M348, 91SRW	, 59VV-64VV-2 A	ug. 150 - 12.30	η .	-6,0	II
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	Unknow	W	Near HW	,

Tide (III)

Diurnal

Reference Station:

CORDOVA, ALASKA, pp. 122 & 181

Subordinate Station: *CUIRCSS BAY, WELLS PASSAGE

Subordinate Station:

с п в

10.0 12.4 .0 9.7 12.1

Range | Range

Ratio of Mean PSpring!

Ranges

Atlantic Marine Center Washington Marine Review by (IV):

Camera (kind or source) (III):

C. H. Bishop

Date: 2-26-71

Final Drafting by (IV):

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Date:

Date:

Proof Edit by (IV):

Date:

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III):

Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 15

Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III):

Control Leveling - Miles (II):

Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II):

Recovered:

ldentified:

Number of BMs searched for (II):

Recovered:

Identified:

Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III):

Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III):

Remarks:

The following data also applies to this project (Ph-152):

* Subordinate Station	Time of Tide	Ratio of Ranges	Mean <u>Range</u>	Diurnal <u>Range</u>
Hogg Bay, Port Bainbridge Latouche, Latouche I. Saumill Bay, Evans I.	-05 t 00 ©	0.8 0.9	8.3 9.1 8.9	10.6 11.5 11.3
Eshamy Bay, Knight I. Passa Chenega I., Dangerous Passa		1.0 0.9	9.5 9.2	11.9

Form T-Page 4

M-2618-12(4)

T-1951

COMPILATION RECORD	COMPLETION DATE	REMARKS
Shoreline compiled	April 1955	
Manuscript revised	May 1956	
Final review	Feb. 1971	

Prince William Sound, Alaska

Orange Infand Glacier 1654 BH Island Spin Island I	9118 9119 9121 9122 9123 9124 9125 9126 9127 9128 9129 9130 9131 9131 9132 9131 9132 9133 9134 9135 9137 9138 9139 9140 9141 9145 9146 9147	LEAGE FOR COST LIN.MI. SHORELINE 39 113777155657448657468013240969845945664913729791109219456649137297911019	ACCOUNTS SQ MILES 11 1077563878650510587582349889978946106950940681 21 10775638786505105847582349889978946106950940681 21 10775638786505105847582349889978946106950940681 21 10775638786505105847582349889978946106950940681
	TOTALS	7 02	726

SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-9151

Several years have elapsed between the compilation and final review of this map. No photographs were available at the time of final review. The compilation record was added by the final reviewer.

This shoreline manuscript, scale 1:10,000, is one of 43 maps that comprise Project PH-152, which is in the western part of Prince William Sound. T-9151 is onethe south edge of the project. Only the extreme northwest corner of the sheet was mapped.

Compilation was by radial plot in the spring of 1955, using ratio prints of 1:30,000 scale photography taken in July 1954. There was no field inspection.

To strengthen the plot, additional control was identified during the 1955 field season. New positions for pass points and photo centers were determined by stereoplanigraph bridging. The manuscript was revised in 1956 to incorporate datum changes caused by the new positions.

The Field Inspection Report by Kenneth A. MacDonald in 1955, which is bound with this report, indicates that little, if any, field edit was accomplished, other than the recovery and identification of previously established control and the establishment and identification of new control. None of these stations were in the area of this map.

Inasmuch as control was inadequate for accurate compilation, the classification of this map is PRELIMINARY.

Final review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in February 1971.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 4 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 11 minutes 15 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.

21. AREA COVERED

Shoreline manuscripts (preliminary) included in this report are the following: T-9146, T-9147, T-9148, T-9149, T-9150 and T-9151.

22. METHOD

Polyconic projection and grid lines were ruled at 1:10,000 scale on the manuscripts. The grid lines were used in joining the manuscripts for the radial plot. Manuscripts T-9144 and T-9145 were included with those previously listed for one laydown. A tab was made to extend to control stations LATOUCHE COM. CLUB, FIAG POLE, 1927, SUMMIT, 1905, and LATOUCHE HIGH PEAK, 1905 on the east.

The calibration templets were used, for all photographs involved, in the preparation of the vinylite hand templets.

The photographs were positype paper prints with enlargement of three and four diameters. All photographs used are listed in the data record of this report.

The results obtained from the radial plot most probably meet the requirements of mapping accuracy in the areas covered by manuscripts T-9146, T-9148, T-9150 and are less accurate in the areas covered by manuscripts T-9147, T-9149 and T-9151. These conditions are the results of a combination of factors, such as:

1. Flight line coverage of single-lens photography, and 2. scarcity of identifiable control, especially on the north end of ELRINGTON ISLAND and west central coast of LATOUCHE ISLAND. Note: Metal templets were prepared and used in an attempted laydown of the plot but the results were questionable and the method abandoned.

Some difficulty was encountered in transferring control from 1:40,000 scale prints to 1:10,000 scale prints. (See paragraph 24 of this report.)

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

An attached sketch indicates the density and distribution of control within the area covered by this report. The majority of control stations were office identified, and only seventy-two percent held in the plot. Control is inadequate/void at or near north end of Elrington Island and on west central coast of Latouche Island.

Map position is believed to be least accurate in the eastern half of manuscripts T-9147, T-9149 and T-9151.

24. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

The following planetable sheets were aids in identifying control and in the delineation of shoreline and foreshore features:

2770 - scale 1:40,000, 1906 3093 - scale 1:20,000, 1910 4285 - scale 1:10,000, 1927 4308 - scale 1:20,000, 1927 4316 - scale 1:10,000, 1927

Photo-identification data of horizontal control, on 1:40,000-scale prints by the 30th Engineer Battalien in 1951, was used in conjunction with office identification of control on 1:10,000-scale prints.

25. PHOTOGRAPHY

The photography was adequate as to coverage and overlaps, but inadequate as to placement of flight lines and definition on outer edges.

Although the higher altitude photography minimized relief displacement of trees along the shoreline, it did not alleviate the problem of pricking control and pass points in those areas.

SKETCH AND GEOGRAPHIC POSITIONS

A sketch and list of geographic positions are attached.

Approved:

Respectfully submitted

Roscoe J. French

Supervisory Cartographer

James E. Hundley

Cartographer

PH-152

HORIZONTAL CONTROL STATIONS HELD IN RADIAL PLOT NO. 1 T-9146, T-9147, T-9148, T-9149, T-9150, T-9151

190. BEBE, 1933 (Sub. Sta.) ∺
-------------------	-----------	-----

198. WAT, 1927, r-48

199. GCAT, 1927

218. ROT, 1910

220. HORN, 1910

225. BEAR, 1907, r-09

₽ 235. SHUM, 1927

€ 240. ISLE, 1910, r-27

\$247. SAND, 1910

248. PED, 1910

249. OFF, 1910

252. TOP 2, 1927

254. ROCK (ROCK 2), 1927

£ 256. SWAN, 1927

7 257. PYKE, 1927

257B. HEN, 1927

258. HOGG, 1927

262. HYDRO, 1948

271. PLAIN, 1948

272. CROSS, 1948

273. CLEAR, 1948

274. HALF, 1948

276. AGE, 1948

279. RUTH, 1948

280. NUB, 1948 (Sub. Sta.)*

281. LON, 1948

285. INNER, 1948

286. SIP, 1948

F 296. ISLAND, 1927

299. LONE TREE PT. LT., 1927

306. NOB, 1927

F 308. ELRINGTON LT., 1927

319. KNCB, N. of Fairview, 1905


322. LATOUCHE HIGH PK., 1905

328. SUMMIT, 1905, r-07

331A. IATOUCHE, COMMUNITY CLUB, FLAG POLE, 1927

339. ELRINGTON, HIGHEST PK., 1905

*Field identified.



A Stations held

O Photo centers

PHOTOGRADEETRIC PLOT REFORT Prince William Sound, Alaska Project 5152, T-9143 thru T-9150 Scale 1:10,000 - 5 May 1956

References: 1. Field data - Project 6152 (1277), Prince William Sound, Alaska dated 28 December 1955. 2. Instructions (Office) - Project 6152, Supplement 2, dated 14 March 1956.

21. AREA COVERED

This report applies to shoreline maps T-9148 through T-9150. T-9148 and T-9150 are classified as "Incomplete" maps and T-9149 is classified as a "Preliminary" map.

22. METHOD

Refer to the corresponding paragraph in the attached Photogrammetric Plot Report No. 1 attached to this Descriptive Report.

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

Control was adequate for the area of T-9143 and T-9150 and map positions are within Bureau standards. Control is inadequate for the area of T-9149 and also for T-9151 which latter map is to the east of T-9150 and south of T-9149. Horizontal control recovered or established in 1955 and field identified on photographs was available for this plot.

24. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

None.

25. PHOTOGRAPHY

Refer to corresponding paragraph for Photogrammetric Plot Report No. 1.

SKETCH AND FORM M-2388-12, CONTROL STATION DATA

A sketch and list of geographic positions are attached.

Submitted:

K. N. Maki

PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND CONTROL SUMMARY FOR PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT T-9148, T-9149, T-9150

The following stations, field identified on photographs, were used in this radial plot:

T-9148

	Off, 1910			0.3 mm
	Ped, 1910			0.4 mm
	*Rock (Rock	2) 19	27	Held
	Isle, 1910			0.4 mm
	Top 2, 192'	7 Sub.	pt.	Held
	Swan, 1927	17	B	Held
				2 rays of 3 held
	Pyke, 1927	11	11	Held
	Bald, 1955	Ħ	11	Held
•	Pass, 1955	11	11	·Held

*Station Po, 1927 was field identified as the top of the highest rock in a group of rocky Islets but this is the description of station Rock (Rock 2) 1927 and station Po, 1927, according to description, is 10 feet above MiM. Thus, the station identified as Po, 1927 is actually station Rock (Rock 2) 1927 which latter station was held in the radial plot. The subject stations are approximately 70 meters distant from each other.

T-9149

Evans Bay Lt, 1955	1.0 mm
Elrington Passage Lt, 1955	Held
Evans, 1905 Sub. Pt.	2 rays of 3 held
Shun, 1927 Sub. Pt.	Held

T-9150

Nod, 1955	Held
Add, 1955	0.8 mm
Evans Island Lt, 1955	Held
Elrington Lt, 1927	0.2 mm
Weles 2, 1927	Held
Lone Tree Pt Lt, 1927	0.2 mm
Island, 1927	Held
Blank, 1927 Sub. Pt.	Held
Foot, 1906 " "	${\tt Held}$
Rington, 1955 " "	Held
Donald, 1955 " "	Held


T-9145 (north of plot)

Hard, 1955, Sub. Pt.

Held

T-9147 North of plot)

Moon, 1955 Rain, 1955 Held Held



3.0FF 1910

4.15LE 1910

5. NOD 1955

6. DONALD 1955

7. ADD 1955

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT SKETCH
PROJ-6152 PRINCE WILLIAM SD
SCALE 1:10,000
MAY 1956

 Δ STATION HELD Δ STATION NOT HELD

STATION SOUNCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX) Knob, North of Fairview, 1905 VI 295 1927	LATITUDE OR y-COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR x-COORDINATE 59-58-12.88 147-56-24.95			N.A. 1927 - DATUM	
North of VI 295 Law, 1905 VI 295		DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)	CORRECTION	DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)	FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)
VI 295					
				387.1 (543.8)	
	_				
	•				
	•				
:					
	•				

10 M	•	-			
16					1
					5
1 FT.=.3048006 METER	3301 4	4		3301 40mg/ 31	M-2388-12

COMPILATION REPORT (FRELIMINARY) T-9146, T-9147, T-9148 T-9149, T-9150, T-9151

31. DELINEATION:

Shoreline and foreshore features were delineated on the manuscripts from office stereoscopic interpretation only.

Features shown were first drawn on a piece of vinylite superimposed on the photograph with the most nearly true scale. Graphic methods were then used to compile and delineate the MHWL and to adjust the planimetry to manuscript scale by holding to compilation points of near-sea-level elevation.

The wooded nature of these islands and the three-and four-time enlargement of the photographs are factors which prevent a complete symbolization of the MHWL and offshore features. The displacement of the trees causes overhang, and shadows are also a deterrent in properly identifying horizontal control alongshore. Consequently, more use is made of the dashed approximate shoreline symbol than is desired. Due to the fact that the photography was flown at nearly half-tide with the W-camera coverage, much of the shallow areas alongshore show as being close to the approximate Low Water line and have been so symbolized in preference to the dashed shallow line symbol. It should be verified before charting.

32. CONTROL:

Only two field-identified control stations were held. All other control was office identified (see radial plot report). The two field identified stations fall outside (north of) the manuscripts covered by this report.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

See radial plot report for planetable topographic surveys which were used as an aid in office identifying control and delineating the shoreline and foreshore features.

34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE:

Not applicable.

35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS:

The shoreline and alongshore features were delineated from office interpretation of the photographs. In regard to the interpretation of the MHWL, it should be noted that the photographs were taken at approximately half tide, the range of tide being 12 feet. Several fairly definite lines alongshore are visible on the photographs. The line judged most likely to be the MHWL was chosen and the compilers made a consistent effort to delineate this line on the manuscripts.

Wider use was made of the low-water line symbol than is generally the case on preliminary manuscripts. The horizontal position of the low-water line is questionable due to the range of tide and time of photography. For the same reason, many of the small offshore rocks may be incorrectly symbolized for lack of reference data.

There is probably ambiguity in the use of the ledge and boulder beach symbol. However, an attempt was made to reserve the ledge symbol for the sheet rock ledge-type formation.

The MHWL shown with the approximate MHWL symbol is thought to be fairly accurate in relation to the other details on the manuscripts as regard to horizontal position and general configuration. Because of the tree overhang and heavy shadow, field inspection is particularly needed in these areas.

36. OFFSHORE FEATURES:

T-9146

Office interpretation of offshore details is subject to field verification by the hydrographic party. All visible rocks have been shown, and reference to old topographic surveys and to the nautical charts were an aid in the attempt to identify and locate isolated rocks. Not all of them could be seen on this photography, and the compiler has tried to locate only those with a definite image.

37. LANDWARKS AND AIDS:

T-9149

Two lights shown on Nautical Chart 8523 were searched for. Evans Bay Light on the north end of the peninsula, south side of Sawmill Bay, could not be identified. Elrington Passage Light on the island west of Bettles Island was identified and pricked on two photos. As the two cuts were strong and scale excellent the position of the light is believed to be good. G.P.: 60° 02' (1492m) 148° 00' (500m).

In the area of San Juan and Port Ashton tanks of possible landmark value were delineated. The tank delineated at San Juan agrees favorably with landmark position shown on Chart 8523. At Port Ashton the tanks, as shown on the manuscript, do not agree with the position on the chart.

T-9150

Evans Island Light on the southeast shore of Evans Island was searched for but could not be identified on the photos.

38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:

A set of office prints were prepared for the use of the hydrographic party in establishing photo-hydro stations in accordance with Photogrammetry Instructions No. 45.

39. JUNCTIONS:

Junctions were effected on all sides of these manuscripts, except on the north of T-9146 and T-9147 where the junction may not agree with the Advance Manuscripts which are in progress on T-9144 and T-9145.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:

See Paragraph 22, Mathod, of the radial plot report.

Note: Control stations Slide, 1927 (T-8148) and Con, 1927 (T-9150) were not used in controlling the radial plot. During compilation it was noted that their plotted positions fall on the delineated positions of small offshore rocks, affording a good field horizontal accuracy check in the event the stations are recoverable.

Inasmuch as the time and date of the Air Force photography was unknown, a comparison was made with the adjoining photography and it was concluded that the stage of tide was near high water. It is, therefore, possible that the shoreline is of less accuracy where these photographs were used for delineation.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

A comparison was made with USGS Quadrangles Blying Sound D-3, Blying Sound D-4, Seward A-3, and Seward A-4, during compilation. Due to scale, these manuscripts are of better detail and will supersede the quadrangles when the horizontal accuracy is verified by forthcoming field inspection in 1955.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

All manuscripts were compared with Nautical Chart No. 8523, scale 1:40,000, published January 1935, corrected to July 151.

T-9146

Chart 8523 shows a rock awash at 60° 04'/148° 15.5' which could not be found on the photographs. All other charted rocks within the limits of this manuscript were located.

T-9147

Not all of the offshore rocks could be located between the small islands just south of Guquak Bay. The foul ground symbol is shown to indicate the danger area.

Several rocks offshore from the peninsula on Evans Island, northeast of Iktua Rocks, were not visible on these photographs and are not located.

T-9249

Numerous offshore rocks awash shown on Chart 8523 around Bettles Island, in Sawmill Bay, were searched for and could not be identified. The bridge and road shown on the chart at Horseshoe Bay, on the west side of Latouche Island, is non-existent.

T-9150

The rock awash just offshore on the south side of North Twin Bay cannot be seen on this photography.

48. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

T-91.46

PT. WATERS
BAINBRIDGE PASSAGE
HOGG PT.
HOGG BAY
BAINBRIDGE ISLAND
PRINCE OF WALES PASSAGE

<u> 1-9147</u>

BAINBRIDGE ISLAND
PRINCE OF WALES PASSAGE
GUGUAK BAY
IKTUA ROCKS
SHELTER BAY
EVANS ISLAND
CRAB BAY
JOHNSON COVE
LATOUCHE PASSAGE
CRAB BAY (SETTLEMENT)
PORT BENNY "
PIKUEWILUK PT.
GUGUAK PT.

T-9148

BAINBRIDGE ISLAND
PRINCE OF WALES PASSAGE
EVANS ISLAND
ALUKLIK BAY
SQUIRREL BAY
SWANSON BAY
SWANSON PT.) TAB
PT. PYKE) (T-9148
PORT BAINBRIDGE) EXTENDED)
PROCESSION ROCKS
HOGG BAY
AMERK PT.

T-9149

EVANS ISLAND
ELRINGTON PASSAGE
SAWMILL BAY
PRINCE OF WALES PASSAGE
LATOUCHE PASSAGE
LATOUCHE ISLAND
HORSESHOE BAY
BETTLES ISLAND
SAN JUAN
PORT ASHTON
ELRINGTON ISLAND

T-9150

ELRINGTON ISLAND
ELRINGTON PASSAGE
EVANS ISLAND
PORT BAINBRIDGE
NORTH TWIN BAY
SOUTH TWIN BAY
PT. ELRINGTON
LOMETREE PT.
SQUIRREL BAY

T-9153

ELRINGTON ISLAND ELRINGTON PASSAGE EVANS ISLAND

Approved by:

Submitted by:

Roscos J. French

Supervisory Cartographer

Samuel G. Blankenbaker

Cartographer

SUPPLEMENT TO COMPILATION REPORT

Surveys T-9148 through T-9151

Field work in 1955 included the identification of existing triangulation stations and the establishment of some new ones. These stations are listed in the Photogrammetric Plot Report for these surveys which is part of this descriptive report.

New bases at a scale of 1:10000 were prepared for use in the relocation of photo-centers and pass points by radial plot methods. The general shift in datum between this plot and the preliminary plot was small. Areas which were recompiled differed from the preliminary positions as great as approximately 20 meters.

Because most areas were in agreement in position with the preliminary manuscripts, no new manuscripts were prepared. The preliminary manuscripts were corrected where position shifts or errors were indicated. All changes are shown in red. Also segments of shoreline on T-9148 and T-9150 which were not compiled on the preliminary manuscripts are shown on these surveys. Surveys T-9148 and T-9150 are classed as "Incomplete" until complete shoreline inspection is accomplished; T-9149 and T-9151 are classed as "Preliminary".

Submitted:

Everett H. Ramey

13 July 1956

October 19, 1970

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-152 (Alaska)

T-9151

Elrington Island

Elrington Passage

Evans Island

Approved by:

A. Joseph Wraight/ Chief Geographer

Prepared-by:

Cartographic Technician

FORM 1002(T-2) PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

MAP T-9151

PROJECT PH-152

No Form 1002(T-2) was available at the time of final review and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND, WESTERN PART

PROJECT 1277 Ship BOWIE H.C. Applequist Chief of Party

2. A' REAL FIELD INSPECTION:

The area is mountainous and is heavily wooded on the lower slopes. Quality of the photographs was good.

2. HORIZONTAL CONTROL:

The following supplemental control stations were established by triangulation:

BALD 1955 PASS 1955 CRAB 1955	IKTVA ROCK 1955 STUMP 1955 SHIP I. TREE 1955	BETTE 1955 MILL 1955 ADD 1955
HARD 1955	DONALD 1955	NOD 1955
SIMPLE 1955	RINGTON 1955	
MOON 1955	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ELRINGTON IS. DAY
MAYER 1955	FLRING 1955	BEACON 1955
RAIN 1955	SCRUB 1955	ELRINGTON PASSAGE
NED 1955		LT. 1955
		EVANS BAY LT. 1955

The following stations are reported lost on form 526.

CUBE 1910	HORN 1910	BEN 1927
DED 1910	HEK 1910	PRIEST 1906
JUT 1910	CUT 1910	TANG 1906
PIK 1910	PAS 1910	TEN 1927
VI 1910	ROT 1910	GOOD 1906
SHIP 1910	BIG 1910	GREEN 1910
SIR 1910	SPOT 19 27	LAP 1910
EAT 1910	SLIDE 1927	BEAR 1907
WOOD 1910	SAM 1927	PORT 1917
BAD 1910	PEN 1927	SAID 1948
x 1112		

Stations BEAR, 1907 and PORT, 1917 are reported lost but were identified for photo control. BEAR, 1907 is a tree which has fallen, the station mark at PORT, 1917 was found but the rock it was set in had been moved, however the station was pricked with sufficient accuracy for photo control.

The triangulation in the northern part of Prince of Wales Passage could not be recovered, supplemental control was established and identified as substitutes. Supplemental control was also established and identified in place of RED. 1927 and CLEARING. 1906.

4. 5. & 6 Inapplicable.

7. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE FEATURES:

Time did not permit a detailed inspection of the shoreline, however notes on the field photos were made wherever possible.

8, 9 & 10 Inapplicable.

11. OTHER CONTROL:

Photo Hydro control was established using the preliminary manuscript. These stations are shown as red circles on the office photos.

Two topo disks, HANK, 1955 and PLUE 1955 were set in the vicinity of Mc Clure Bay, these are to be located by the photogrammetric office.

12 & 13 Inapplicable.

14. SPECIAL REPORTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

Control station identification cards are submitted for all control identified on the photos.

Recovery notes for triangulation will be submitted $\dot{\sim}$ direct to the Washington Office.

Triangulation data for Supplemental Control established will be submitted to the Washington Office.

Descriptions of Recoverable topo. Stations, HANK, 1955 - and BLUE, 1955 are submitted with this report.

Respectfully submitted

Kenneth a. Was Donald.

Ensign, C&GS

APPROVED:

allen J. Poull

Allen L. Powell, LCDR., C&GS

for H.C. Applequist, Commander, C&GS Chief of Party

REVIEW REPORT T-9151

SHORELINE

FEBRUARY 26, 1971

61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

An ozalid comparison print, (page 27), with differences noted in Items 63 and 65 is bound with the original of this report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

No registered topographic surveys were available at the time of final review; no comparison was made.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

A visual comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Quadrangle BLYING SOUND (D-3), scale 1:63,360, dated 1953. One difference, a rock, between the two maps is noted in brown on the comparison print.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

No hydrographic survey was available at the time of final review; no comparison was made.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A visual comparison was made with Chart 8523, scale 1:40,000, 4th edition, dated October 10, 1966. One difference between the chart and T-9151 is noted in red on the comparison print. This is probably the same rock indicated on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle and noted in Item 63.

ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS: 66.

Due to the lack of triangulation to control this map and the lack of field inspection of shoreline, this map is classified as PRELIMINARY and does not comply with the National Standards for Map Accuracy.

Reviewed by:

Charles HBishop

Charles H. Bishop Cartographer February 26, 1971

Approved for forwarding:

Melvin J. Mmbach, CDR, NOAA

Chief, Photogrammetry Division, AMC

Approved:

Allen L. Powell, RADM, NOAA

Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branchy Chief, Photogrammetry Division



Previous Report form wissing, 8/13/84 B. Fernanders

-

NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE R	EPORT OF SURVEY NO.
-------------------------	---------------------

INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.

2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Revi

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
6702	8/13/84	B. Fernanders	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
To the			Drawing No. 8 Applied
			to tray #7
6701	2/11/99	SKALS	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
	4/6/9	Desore	Drawing No. Adequately Poplied
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	01	The said was
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
		2.00	Drawing No.
			Full Part Refore After Verification Barrier I
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.
			Full Part Reform Afren Varification De la constant
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
		700	
	The second		