466 9467

Diag. Cht. No. 9400

Form 504

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey Topographic

T-9466

Field No. Ph-28 (47) Office No. T-9467

LOCALITY

State Alaska

General locality Kotzebue Sound

Locality Baldwin Peninsula

1948-51

CHIEF OF PARTY

A.N.Stewart, Chief of Field Party H .A. Paton, B'more Photo. Office L.J.Rood, Div. of Photo. Wash., D.C. LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

DATE June 25, 1958

B-1870-1 (I)

DATA RECORD

T-9466 and 9467

Project No. (II): Ph-28(47) Quadrangle Name (IV): T-9466 = KOTZEBUE ASTRO AZ T-9467 = NIMIUK POINT

Field Office (II):

Portland, Oregon

Chief of Party: A. Newton Stewart

Photogrammetric Office (III): Baltimore, Md Washington, D.C.

Office-in-Charge of Hubert A. Paton
Compilation Louis J. Reed, Chief,
Stereo-map Section
Copy filed in Division of

Instructions dated (II) (III):

(II) = 21 Apr 48 (III) = 23 Oct 50

Photogrammetry (IV)

Method of Compilation (III): Reading Plotter "B"

Manuscript Scale (III): 1:20,000

Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III): 1:20,000

Scale Factor (III):

1:1

NOV 2 0 1952

Date received in Washington Office (IV):

Date reported to Nautical Chart Branch (IV):

Applied to Chart No.

Date:

Date registered (IV): 23 april 1957

Publication Scale (IV):

Geographic Datum (III): NA 1927 (Unadjusted)

Publication date (IV):

Vertical Datum (III):

Mean sea level except as follows: Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

Reference Station (III):

Lat.:

Long.:

Adjusted Linadjusted

Plane Coordinates (IV):

State:


Zone:

Y=

MILITARY GRID: UTM Zone 3, 2500 meter intervals.

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (II) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office, or (IV) Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.



Areas contoured by various personnel (Show name within area)

(III)

100% compiled on the Reading Plotter model "B" by the team of

Louis Levin and Arthur B. Zimmerli

DATA RECORD

Field Inspection by (II): A. Newton Stewart

Date: 1948

Planetable contouring by (II):

None

Date:

Completion Surveys by (II):

None

Date:

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location):

MHVL on these quads is dated 1948. It was compiled on the Reading Plotter model "B" using the 1948 field inspection shoreline on photographs as a guide during delineation on the instrument.

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV): Jack Allen on the Ruling Mach. Date: 27 S ep 51

Projection and Grids checked by (IV): Howard D. Wolfe

Date: 3 Oct 51

Control plotted by (III):

Ruth Hartley

Date: 1 Nov 51

Control checked by (III):

Gilbert B. Torbert

Date: 10 Dec 51

Radial Plot MOSTAXEGE CHOIC

Gilbert B. Torbert

Date: 14 Dec 51

Control extension by (III):

Verified by Frank J. Tarcza

Planimetry Louis Levin

Date:

Date:

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III): and

and

3 Jun 52.

Contours Arthur B. Zimmerli Date:

Manuscript delineated by (III): A rthur B. Zimmerli and

6 Nov 52

100

John B. McDonald

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): Louis J. Reed

Date: 19 Nov 52

Elevations on Manuscript

Louis J. Reed

Date: 19 Nov 52

checked by (II) (III):

Camera (kind or source) (III):

USC&GS 9-lens camera, Model "B", f = 8.25 inches

Number	Date	PHOTOGRAPHS (III) Time	Scale	Stage of Tide
33923-8		14:46-52		no appreciable
33938-9	27 Jun 51	15:08-09	20,000	no appreciable tide No tide
33944-8	**	15:17-21		

Note: Mr. Disney of Tides and Currents states (7 May 1951) that for all practical purposes no tide exists in this area.

Tide (III)

Reference Station:

Loy Cape

Subordinate Station:

Subordinate Station:

Washington Office Review by (IV):

Final Drafting by (IV): 9467 J.H. FRAZIER

9466 P. Lach

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Proof Edit by (IV):

Ratio of Mean

Ranges Range

Date: August 26,55 December 1955

Spring

Range

Date:

Date:

Date:

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): T-9466 = 53 sq mi; T-9467 = 49 sq mi Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 9 mi and 12 mi

Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): none

Control Leveling - Miles (II): None

Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II):

Recovered:

Identified:

Number of BMs searched for (II): None

Recovered:


Identified:

Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III):

Remarks:

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPPING PROJECT PH-28

ALASKA, Chukchi Sea, Kiwalik to C. Beaufort



Summary to Accompany T-9466 and T-9467

Ph-28(47) covers the eastern shore of the Chukchi Sea in Alaska and runs from Candle on the Kiwalik River on the south to Cape Beaufort to the north.

Seventy-three of the quadrangles (T-9402 to T-9374)
of This project are topographic surveys and twenty-two
(T-9407 to T-94342 are planimetries and T-9436 through T-9496).

T-9466 and T-9467 are topographic surveys extending from Kotzebue Sound across the Baldwin Peninsula near its northern portion to Hotham Inlet.

Each map manuscript consists of one sheet, $7\frac{1}{2}$ minutes in latitude and 20 minutes in longitude, at
a scale of 1:20,000, with a contour interval of 50
feet. A cloth-backed lithographic print of each map
at the compilation scale will be registered with the
descriptive report in the Bureau Archives.

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

2-20:

See separate report entitled:

PROJECT REPORT

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH CONTROL AND INSPECTION KOTZEBUE SOUND, ALASKA

Project Ph-28(47) July to Sept 1948

A. Newton Stewart, Chief of Party

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

PROJECT PH-28(47)

Surveys T-9462, T-9463, T-9466, T-9467 T-9470, T-9471, T-9475

21. AREA COVERED

This radial plot covers the areas of Surveys T-9462, T-9463, T-9466, T-9467, T-9470, T-9471 and T-9475. These are topographic surveys situated on the northern half of Baldwin Peninsula, Kotzebue Sound, Alaska.

22. METHOD-RADIAL PLOT

Map Manuscripts

Vinylite sheets with polyconic projections in black and Universal Transverse Mercator grids in red, at a scale of 1:20,000, were furnished by the Washington Office. No base sheets were required.

All control stations and substitute stations were plotted using beam compass and meter bar.

A sketch showing the layout of these surveys and the distribution of photograph centers and control is attached to this report.

Photographs

All photographs used are nine lens metal mounted photographs at a scale of 1:20,000. Twenty-two (22) photographs were used in this radial plot numbering as follows:

33923 thru 33931 incl. 33937 thru 33949 incl.

The symbols used on the photographs were given in special instructions for all radial plots using nine lens photographs which will be used later with a Reading Plotter.

Templets

Vinylite templets were made from all photographs using a master templet furnished by the Washington Office to adjust for error due to chamber displacements. Radial lines were scratched on the templets with a sharp needle point and the scratches filled in with china marking pencils. Red pencil was used for all shoreline (rectification) pass points and black pencil was used for all other radial lines.

Closure and Adjustments to Control

The radial plot was constructed directly on the map manuscripts. A preliminary plot was constructed to determine whether there were any badly tilted photographs. The amount of tilt can be estimated by observing the displacements of the image points, indicated by red dots on the templets, of shoreline points and points of known elevations. Five of the photographs were found to be slightly tilted but not enough to seriously affect the plot.

The final plot was started at the northern edge of Baldwin Peninsula where the only fix was available and extended southeasterly holding all control points.

Transfer of points

The positions of all centers, pass points and control stations were pricked on the top templets and circled with 3 mm blue circles. The positions were established on the remaining templets and map manuscripts by drilling down through them with a small (.01 inch) jeweler's drill. All points were circled on each templet as it was removed and on the map manuscript.

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

There was adequate control for a satisfactory plot. It is believed all points are within the desired accuracy. However, one additional control station in the center of the peninsula, where it was necessary to bridge three flights and tie into a single control station at the end of each flight, would have considerably strengthened the plot. BLOSSOM, 1949, was pricked direct and held. There was a discrepancy between the computed substitute point and CAPE BLOSSOM LIGHT, 1949

24. SUPPLEMENTARY CONTROL

No graphic control surveys were used in this radial plot.

25. PHOTOGRAPHY

Photographic coverage, definition, and overlap between flights were adequate. Photographs 33923, 33937, 33943 were tilted. These photographs are at the beginning of the three flights and do not affect the plot.

26. VERTICAL CONTROL

There were several discrepancies noted during the computations of elevations following the establishment of their position in the radial plot. The horizontal angles, observed for identification purposes in the field were set with a steel protractor on the manuscripts to verify the identification. The following discrepancies were noted:

V 1128-V1128 A, V1146-V1146A, (Survey T-9471); V1147-V1147A (Survey T-9467)it was noted that the angle to the nearest point to the observing stations had
the smaller vertical angle as recorded. It is believed these angles were reversed and the elevations were computed with the angles reversed. A good
check was obtained at each lake.

V 1116-V 1116A (Survey T-9466). These water surface points, although they agree within .10 meter were computed to be 31 meters below sea level. It is believed a wrong angle was observed by the field party. This elevation should be rejected.

V1121-V1121A (Survey T-9467). The elevations of these two points on the same lake did not agree by 2.3 meters. A check of the horizontal angles revealed a similar point of land in the lake could have been the point observed on at V1121A. It is believed this is a case of misidentification. The new point was pricked and computed and checked within .60 meter.

V1123-V1123A (Survey T-9462). The elevations of the two points on the same lake did not agree by 1.2 meters. It is believed to be a case of misidentification. A check of the horizontal angle revealed a similar point of land in the lake could have been the point observed on at V-1123A. The new point was pricked and computed and checked within .02 meter.

27. CAPE BLOSSOM LIGHT

The light was used as a substitute point for station BLOSSOM, 1949. The computed position of the Sub. Pt. did not agree with the geographic position by about 4 meters.

Further investigation revealed an apparent error in the field position furnished on Pg. 12 G-8695. The distance to BLOSSOM is given as 5.1 meters. The distance computed from the two geographic positions furnished is 1.1 meters.

Respectfully submitted

Carto. Photo. Aid

Approved and forwarded

Hubert A. Paton

Comdr., C&GS

Q. Palon Officer in Charge

т-9450	T- 9457	7-9458 Page	10. ∔67:0
	© 33943		
т-9461	T-9462	т- 9463	T-94
	© 33923	(Fa<1) 1949	
KOTZEBUE ASTRO	BEACONIMA A KOTZEBUE RADIO TOWER 1949 A A S © 33942	APIPE SPIT, 1949	
Śub P j	_ \\ 33742		52!
	AKOTZEBUE ASTRO AZ 1949	Q33945	
	©33940 ©33925		
	T-9466	T-9461	T-94
	Q		
	\mathbf{A}		
		Ning A	AIUK 19
		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
	Sub. Pr. CAPE BLOSSOM 14T, 1949	Arest.	P • () •
	T-9470	▲Insibe 1949 Saib-Pf	
	\$3931©	O T 947k *	
		(0)	
			4° 39
	27.40	V. Carrier Control	
)62°40 ¹	\$39930 € NLE	-t\1949
LAYOUT	SKETCH.	Sub	'ት
·		T-947/5	33 9 3
Т-9462; Т- таист т	9463, T-9466, 9470, T-9471,		Ī
	OWNER STATE		
O, Nine E	NO OFFICE PHOTOGRAPHS		
	STATIONS (NOT LOENTIFIED)		
Confect	STATIONS (IDENTIFIED)	162.20	162
		7.06 Facility 2.20	

COMPILATION REPORT

31. Delineation:

The complete area of the two quads of this report was delineated on the Reading Plotter, model "B", contours and cultural features being delineated simultaneously.

32. Control:

Horizontal control was adequate; refer to side-heading

23 on page 8 of this report.

The vertical control was also adequate. Note in sideheading 26, page 3, that several discrepancies were found; during elevation computation following the laying of the radial plot. During instrument delineation V-1117 and V-1147 were found to be in agreement and were held to, both on T-9467. However, both V-stations on T-9466 were found to not agree and the computed elevations have been discarded for instrument elevations; V-1116 was found to be off as discovered and reported in side-heading 26, and V-1122 was found to be so low as to cause a nearby sealevel stream to flow uphill. A flight of photos falling down along the backbone of the Baldwin Peninsula, and reaching both shores, made rectification and contouring possible by using a combination of sea-level shoreline points, sea-level stream points, and field established elevation points. Another field elevation point on T-9467 was found in error during instrument work, and discarded. It was V-162 which was described as being on the top of a ridge, but which fell off on the side instead. If properly identified and positioned, the correct distance would no doubt have produced a correct elevation value for it.

33. Supplemental Data:

- a. Elevation Computations: One bound volume covering the area of Plot "F" entitled: "TABULATION OF ELEVATIONS AND COMPUTATIONS OF ELEVATIONS BY MAP MANUSCRIPTS FOR VERTICAL CONTROL STATIONS IN THE AREA OF MANUSCRIPTS T-9462, T-9463, T-9466, T-9467, T-9470, T-9471, T-9475"
- b. Field Inspection Photographs: 20568, 815, 816, 817,898,899.

34. Contours and Drainage:

Photographic quality was good and no areas of questionable contours exist.

35. Shoreline and Alongshore Details:

There inspection. It was shore in the shore was necessary because the shore was very similar thruout. No low-water or shoal lines were indicated, field or office.

- 36. Offshore Details: Not applicable.
- 37. Landmarks and Aids: None recommended None exist.
- 38. Control For Future Surveys:

Five hydro and five topo stations were located by the radial plot, either directly or by the sub-point method, as follows:

T-9466 = GORE, 1948; DEEP, 1948; 757, 757A, 758, 759, 760.

T-9467 = BALE, 1948; BARK, 1948; ADAR, 1948; no hydro.

- 39. Junctions: Refer to page 10; all are in agreement.
- 40. Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy:

Horizontal accuracy is considered to meet the requirements for a 20,000 scale compilation, and the vertical accuracy meets the standards for 50ft contouring. The area of these quads is so low that supplemental (25ft) contours have been delineated thruout, but the accuracy of the map is still based on the 50ft interval even though All the contours are thought to meet 25ft accuracy.

- 46. Comparison with Existing Maps: The following map is so much smaller in scale that no reasonable comparison can be made: "KOTZEBUE, Alaska, Alaska Reconnaissance Topographic Series, Second Judicial Division, USGS, 1:250,000, 1951 ed."
- 47. Comparison with Nautical Charts: The chart listed below is the only one covering the area of this compilation but it is so much smaller in scale that no comparison made:

 "ARCTIC COAST, Alaska, No 9400m 1:1,587,870, May 1946, 6th edition, last correction date of 27 Nov 1950.
- 48. Geographic Name List: See separate numbered page.
- 49. Notes for the Hydrographer: See separate unnumbered page.
- 50. Compilation Office Review: See page 14, following.

Submitted by:

Orvis N. Dalbey, Cartographer-Photogrammetric

Approved by:

Bur

Louis J. Reed Chief

Stereoscopic Mapping Section Photogrammetric Engineer

49. Notes for the Hydrographer:

T-9466

a. Topo Stations:

DEEP, 1948 -- identified on photo 20899 -- see 524 card GORE, 1948 -- identified on photo 20900 -- see 524 card

b. Hydro Stations:

- No 757 -- identified on photo 20900 and described there as: "NW gable small red house, with another house in poor repair just NE of it."
- No 757A identified and described on photo 20900 as:
 "W gable of small house in ruins with E end sunk in ground up to eaves and W gable 9ft above surface of ground."
- No 758 -- identified and described on photo 20900 as:

 "S tip of the top of a sharp bluff on the N side of a large gully that runs at an angle to the shoreline."
- No 759 -- identified and described on photo 20900 as:
 "Center of the top of three bare bluffs on
 the E side of the mouth of a stream."
- No 760 -- identified and described on photo 20898 as:
 "NW tip of small pond in the mouth of gully that runs in NE'ly direction."

<u> 1-9467</u>

a. Topo Stations:

ADAR, 1948 -- identified on photo 20815 -- see 524 card BALE, 1948 -- identified on photo 20816 -- see 524 card BARK, 1948 -- identified on photo 20816 -- see 524 card

b. Hydro Stations: None (Hotham Inlet side of Peninsula).

	GEOGRAPHIC NAMES Survey No. T-9466 & T-9467		NO O	A Serior Or	S. Model	Se la	Or de Mad	o Cuide of	MOO MENOUS	Page	13
	Name on Survey	\sqrt{S^5}	₹ ⁰ / d	, 4 0. \ 0.		E E	or`/ F	° G	H	S. K	
• [T -9466								f		1
	BALDWIN PENINSULA										2
	KOTZEBUE SOUND		,								3
					,						4
											5
				\ \			 				6
			·			 	<u> </u>				7
-	!	· .	ļ] 		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		8
ļ	<u>r-9467</u>				 						9
_	BALDWIN PENINSULA					<u> </u>		<u> </u>			10
	HOTHAM INLET				<u> </u>	 	ļ	<u> </u>			11_
-	NIMIUK POINT					ļ 		<u> </u>			12
-						Nau 10-6	(45)	app.	uveg		13
						10-6	-2 3	٢.٢	real,		14
-			 					 	<u>.</u>		15
-					 		 		<u> </u>		16
-								ļ			17
											18
-		·		}				1			19
-					<u> </u>						50
-											21
\mid							,	<u> </u>			22
-			-						<u> </u>		23
_											24
-											25
\mathcal{F}					-	ļ	Ì				26
í			l	l	L			<u> </u>	1		27

/

M-2623-12

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

T. 9466 467

1. Projection and grids2. Title3. Manuscri	pt numbers4. Manuscript size
CONTROL STATE	ons
5. Horizontal control stations of third-order or higher accuracy_	6. Recoverable horizontal stations of less
then third ander converse (terremontic stations)	to hudro stations 20 Banch marks 21
9. Plotting of sextant fixes10. Photogrammetric plot r	eport 11. Detail points
	- cha-had-
ALONGSHORE A	REAS - Am Chickey
9. Plotting of sextant fixes	Data)
12. Shoreline13. Low-water line14. Rocks, to navigation17. Landmarks18. Other along shore cultural features	shoals, etc15. Bridges16. Aids
to navigation17. Landmarks18. Other along	shore physical features19. Other along -
shore cultural features	
7-	
PHYSICAL FEATU	IRE\$
20. Water features 21. Natural ground cover 21 instrument contours 24. Contours in general	25. Spot elevations26. Other physical
features	
CULTURAL FEATU	PRES
27. Roads	2 30. Other cultural features
BOUNDARIES	
<u>.</u> .	
31. Boundary lines32. Public land lines	
MISCELLANEOL	ıs ·
33. Geographic names 34. Junctions 35. Leg	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
overlay 37. Description Report 38. Field inst	pection photographs 597 forms/12/
40	Tours Heel
Fredhover	Supervisor, Review Section or Unit
41. Remarks (see attached sheet)	Louis J. Reed, Chief
·	Stereoscopic Mapping Section Photogrammetric Engineer
FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORR	, — <u>-</u>
42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.	
Compiler	Supervisor

43. Remarks:

Review Report T-9466 and T-9467 Topographic Maps 6 October 1953

- 62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys .- None
- 63. Comparison with Maps of other Agencies.
 USGS Alaska Map, Kotzebue 1:250,000 1951 edition

 Comparison not feasible due to great difference in scale.
- 64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys .- None
- 65. Comparison with Nautical Charts .-

9400 1:1,587,870

June 1952

9402 1:750,000 May 1950

Photogrammetry

Scale difference precludes a satisfactory comparison.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys.-These maps comply with project instructions and are adequate as bases for hydrographic surveys and the construction of nautical charts.

Reviewed by:

B. J. Colner

APPROVED

Chief, Review Branch

Div. of Photogrammetry

Chief, Nautical Chart Branch

Division of Charts

Chief. Div. of Coastal Surveys