Form 504

# U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

<del></del>
Type of Survey Shoreline
Field No. Office No. T-9817.
LOCALITY
State Alaska
General locality Prince Wm. Sound
Locality Claremont Glacier
1957-59
CHIEF OF PARTY
Field - H. J. Seaborg Office - L. W. Swanson
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES
DATE

B-1870-1 (1)

### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

1



T- 9817

Project No. (II):

PH-152

Quadrangle Name (IV):

Field Office (II): Ship BOWIE

Chief of Party:

H. J. Seaborg

Photogrammetric Office (III): Washington, D. C.

Officer-in-Charge:

L. W. Swanson

instructions dated (ii) (iii):

Copy filed in Division of Photogrammetry (IV)

Office Files

Compilation Instructions - Supp. 5 - Prince Wm. Sd. dated 15 Dec. Supp. 6 - " " " 22 July

Method of Compilation (III):

Graphic

Manuscript Scale (III):

1:10,000

Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III):

Scale Factor (III):

1.0

Date received in Washington Office (IV):

Date reported to Nautical Chart Branch (IV):

Applied to Chart No.

Date:

Date registered (IV):

Publication Scale (IV):

Publication date (IV):

Geographic Datum (III):

NA, 1927

Vertical Datum (III): Mean sea level except as follows:

MHW

Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

Reference Station (III):

Lat.:

Long.:

Adjusted Unadjusted

Plane Coordinates (IV):

UTM

State:

Zone:

X=



Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (II) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office, or (iV) Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

Field Inspection by (II): W. P. James Date: June 1959

Planetable contouring by (II):

None

Date:

Completion Surveys by (II):

None

Date:

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location):

Field and office identification of shoreline on photograph taken May 1957 and Aug. 1958

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV): Dempsey Date: Dec. 17, '58

Projection and Grids checked by (IV): Shoup Date: Jan. 159

Control plotted by (III): H. Lucas

Date: Jan. 159

J. Battley

Aug. 159

Control checked by (III): W. Helluin R. Sugden

Date: Sept. 159

Radial Plot or Stereoscopic R. Sugden

Control extension by (III):

Date:

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III):

Contours

Planimetry

Date:

Date:

Manuscript delineated by (III): J. Battley T-9817

Date: 9 - 59

R. Sugden T-9818

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): E. Ramey

Date: 22 Oct. 59

**Elevations on Manuscript** 

checked by (II) (III):

Date:

. Camera (kind or source) (III): C&GS Nine-lens and infrared single-lens PHOTOGRAPHS (III) Stage of Tide Date Time Scale Number 9.5 above MLW 9-Lens 17 May 57 1350 1:20,000 ~561'39 56145 1705 Single-lens 7 Aug. 58 1:30,000 4.1 above MLW 1209 58-L-5358 - 5361 Tide (III) Ratio of Mean | Spring Ranges Range Cordova Reference Station: 0.0 Wells Passage Subordinate Station: Subordinate Station: Atlantic Marine Center C. H. Bishop Date: WWW.RingtonXOfff@AReview by (IV): Date: Final Drafting by (IV): Date: Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV): Date: Proof Edit by (IV): Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 9 miles Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III):

Recovered:

Recovered:

Remarks:

Control Leveling - Miles (II):

Number of BMs searched for (II):

Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II):

Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): Identified:

T-9817

COMPILATION RECORD	COMPLETION DATE	REMARKS
PRELIMINARY shoreline for hydro support	1957	Superseded
New radial plot, manuscript revised to ADVANCE	Sept 1959	
Final Review	August 1970	,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	

# SHORELINE MAPPING PROJECT PH - 152 Prince William Sound, Alaska

						•				•
. Hel	neren Mining Camprille		վումակականուն Մումականուն	់ ក្រុមប្រជាពលរដ្ឋបាន	2631 1 61 • Попината	計画p OPFIC	IAL MIL	EAGE FOR CO	ST.	ACCOTTE
.,	\$5.00	<b>.</b>	8% 1 4%;	ra Came	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	SHEET	NO.	LIN.MI. SHORELINE		AREA TO MILES
	20.00			85230023		9118		3		13
	ortage		turand 1	√2. <sub>Γ</sub>	Glacier 165			9		īí
ير	9131	913	9133	60* 45'00*	 	9121		11		10
4	6100±	4 <b>- 3</b>		Lone Island	Naked Island	9122	•	23 17		7 ?
ا دوه	9135	1 7/913	9)37   Lland	1514110 16°37'55''		9124 9125		7 15		5 6
	6532	189119 X	9121 9126 70 9127		N, SHORELINE & HORIZON OMPLETE WITHIN DISHED	MEAN 9126		5		3
	9817 981	1 22	19123 19128 19129 1	0 500	Smith (s' 60	1 9120		5	ŧ -	ر 2
220	38.98820382	9124	)/9125[~∏9130  ~ [>/	مرام	Seal Island	9129 9130		7 11 <u>.</u>		. 8 6
•		ſ	913498279823 1739825 9534		Montague Point	913 <b>1</b> 7 9132		12 48		95 50
10	* 5900±	9138	913965	ANIGHT		9133	•	- 36		45
	ikunda :	9140	91417 39142		Green I	9134	•	24		90
197	S ens	9143	9144/9145	Point Helen	13/	9136 si 9137		26 68		90 85 48
	. 52	5	9147	Evans Island	MONTA			10		7
باسطن	- 5044	7 4	9148 9149	Lateuche .2065 	்   முக்கும் கூடி <b>க் 60</b>	9140		12		58,
-4	Tui	Cape Puget		touche		9141		. 2 <u>1,</u> 10		3
surrecti	Cape Ju		t Elvington	59-55-15"	7	9143		9 <b>2</b> 6		4 9
1	S 43 41 41 4		+ (		11578Woodled Islands	9145 9146		19 18		8 8
N.G	$\begin{bmatrix} S & O & U & N & D \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$			e Cleare		9147	,	24		9
149*	00		148,00	•	,	47'00' 9148 9149		25 19	•	7
<u>}</u> .	-			•		9150 9151		24 <b>1</b> 5		- Ø
		l	•		,	9534 9536		. 6		9. 14.
!	,		· . •			9534 9536 9538 9817		4		10
•	_	+						11.		5
	1 1					98 <b>1</b> 9 9820		11 3 7		5
			•			9821 9822		2 9, 7		10 9
			,			9823		· 7 9		ı Ó
i	-		,		1	9825		11	-	6
			•		, ,	9819 9820 9821 9822 9823 9824 9825 9826 11 <b>578</b>		11 10 <b>19</b>		1 10 6 9 5 10 9 4 10 6 8 2
-			:		•	•		Α.		
,						TO	TALS	702		726
							••	•		

#### SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-9817

Records for this map were not complete at the time of final review, which was several years after compilation. The Compilation Record and notes concerning the absence of reports were inserted by the final reviewer.

This shoreline manuscript, scale 1:10,000, is one of 43 sheets that comprise Project PH-152, which is located in the western part of Prince William Sound. T-9817 is on the northwest side of Kings Bay.

The original manuscript was preliminary in advance of hydrography. A radial plot was run on a 1:20,000 scale base sheet, using nine-lens photography of 1957. Points thus obtained were transferred to the 1:10,000 scale manuscript and alongshore features were compiled.

Copies of the preliminary manuscript were sent to the field for photo-hydro support. Additional horizontal control was identified on the photographs furnished and field edit was accomplished. A final plot was laid, the manuscript revised, and then classified "Advance."

Final review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in August 1970.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude and 5 minutes 37.5 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.

# FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

# MAP T-9817

# PROJECT PH-152

There was no field inspection prior to compilation of this map and no Field Inspection Report is bound with this Descriptive Report.

#### PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

KINGS BAY, ALASKA

Project Ph-152

Aug - 1959

A preliminary plot of this area using mostly officeidentified control was done in Feb. 1959. Ten additional control stations with CSl cards and accompanying field photo identification (June 1959) were furnished to control a new plot. The original templets were utilized in laying this latter plot.

- 21. Area Covered: This report discusses the final radial plot for shoreline surveys T-9118, T-9817 thru T-9821 and a portion of T-9122. These surveys cover the area of Kings Bay from the entrance to the head.
- 22. Methods Vinylite sheets, ruled with base grids at 1:20,000 scale to correspond with the UTM grid lines were used to lay the plot.

Photo coverage of the area included two nine-lens flights on either side of the bay furnished on positype paper prints at 1:20,000 scale, and single-lens 58 L series infra-red photographs at 1:10,000 scale.

The additional field-identified control was transferred to the nine-lens office prints and added to the original templets. Positions of templets generally remained the same in the junction area of the north part of the plot which had former field-identified control. Due to the additional control some positions on the west side of the bay and in the delta at the head of the bay shifted about 0.3 mm. Otherwise positions did not change. The new positions were recircled on the base sheet and replotted on the 1:10,000 scale manuscripts where the resultant error would be doubled.

The single lens photographs were resected on the manuscripts into common pass points with the nine-lens photographs used in the plot. The positions of their centers were added for compilation purposes.

23. Adequacy of Control. The additional control resulted in a tight plot throughout. All control held within 0.3 mm. except EDNA 1948 (sub pt) which was missed 0.5 mm to the scutheast

due to an error in identification on the field photo. During photo preparation mother similar point fitting the description was noted which would have held in the photo. (see plot sketch for distribution of control).

- 24. Supplemental Data None
- 25 Photography

The infra-red photographs were lacking in etail especially in shadow areas, making it difficult to find common pass points with the nine-lens photographs. The nine-lens photographs although lacking in definition were adequate for the plot.

Photogrammetric Plot Sketch and list of control submitted with report.

Submitted by

Robert L. Sugden Cartographer

Approved by:

Everett H. Ramey Chief, Graphic Unit

0	-				0			Photogrammetry
MAP T. 98	7186	PROJEC	PROJECT NO. PR-152	PH-152	SCALE OF MAP 1:10,000	10,000	SCALE FACTOR	TOR
STATION	SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)	DATUM	LATITUDE OR y-COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR x-COORDINATE	COORDINATE -COORDINATE	DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET.  OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)	DATUM	N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)	FACTOR DISTANCE INE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS K) FORWARD (BACK)
XOFR 1948	77 d	NA 1927	148 36	35.821		1857	.2 233.8 681.4	4.
L 194	MI p 38	· ·	148 38	22.669		1857.1	701.6 1	.5
1948 PEAK. NO. 103	VI p 82	и				1857.1	585.3	8
1948 PEAK NO. 102	VI p 82	u .	77 877	2 30.51		914.	8 465.2 449.6	1.6 W. of T- 3817
YORE, 1948 Sub Pt.		a .	60 31			1857.1	200,6 714.6	8.
		21 44						
		-4						
							1	
			*					
				M.				
								11
COMPUTED BY:	Sugden	DA DA	1/9/ DATE 2/9/	159	CHECKED BY:	R. Kelly	DATE	1/114/59 M·2388-12

### COMPILATION REPORT T-9817 & T-9818 (Advence) September 1959

Preliminary manuscripts based on an office-controlled plot of the Kings Bay Area were completed in February 1959. These were furnished to the field party for the purpose of establishing photo-hydro control positions.

The plot was re-laid in August 1959 and the manuscripts re-compiled incorporating the 1959 field inspected control and shoreline.

The preliminary manuscripts were utilized for compilation, holding to the positions established by the new plot. Only minor changes in position resulted from the new plot.

## 31. Delineation

The 1:10,000 scale manuscripts were compiled by graphic methods, shoreline being delineated stereoscopically both from 1:10,000 raticed infrared photographs and from 1:20,000 scale nine-lens photographs. The reflecting projector was used to fit photographic detail to manuscript scale where necessary. Field inspected photographs were used as a guide in detailing shoreline and foreshore features.

The quality of the infrared photographs was generally very poor, especially on the eastern shore, thus nine-lens photographs were used for delineation in this area. As the shoreline inspection was done primarily on the single-lens photographs, it likewise had some errors which were corrected by office interpretation of the single-lens and nine-lens photographs.

Field inspection photographs used were numbered:

Single-lens - 58-L-5357 thru 5360 Nine-lens 56137 thru 56138, 56140, 56146 thru 56148

# 32. Control

Control was adequate as regards identification, density and placement. (See Radial Flot Report filed with Descriptive Report T-9118)

# 33. Supplemental Data

None.

34. Contours and Drainage

Inapplicable.

35. Shoreline and Alongshore Features

was followed +

As these parally the low-water line outlined on the field photos, were flown at about hisest above low water tide, this line must be very approximate. The high-water line was delineated as field-inspected except to correct for errors obvious in a stereoscopic study of the photographs. (See Sub-heading 31 above.)

36. Offshore Detail

Inapplicable.

37. Landmarks and Aids

Inapplicable.

38. Control for Future Surveys

Photo - hydro stations were located in the field on vinylite impressions of the preliminary manuscripts. These positions were relocated referencing to the new plot positions. This resulted in different positions for stations for CAB, DUD, EAR, and ROT on manuscript T-9817. Two positions are a shown on T-9818 for station SAD as it was field identified in two different positions on the office prints used to cut in positions for the hydro control. There are no descriptions for the photo - hydro stations.

39. Junetions

Junctions were effected with adjoining manuscripts.

40. Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

Vertical control inapplicable. Horizontal control see pp 32.

41. through 45.

Inapplicable.

# 46. Comparison with Existing Maps

US Geological Survey Quadrangles - Seward (C-4) and Seward (C-5), Scale 1:63,360, dated 1954. No differences noted.

# 47. Comparison with Nautical Charts

US C&GS Chart #8517, Scale 1:80,000 dated January 1952 and US C&GS Chart #8551, Scale 1:200,000 dated May 1952. No differences noted.

Items to be applied to Nautical Charts immediately:

Items to be carried forward: None.

SUBMITTED BY:

R. L. Sugden

APPROVED:

Everett H. Ramey

Chief, Graphic Unit Photogrammetry Division

August 28, 1970

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES
FINAL NAME SHEET
PH-152 (Alaska)

T~9817

Chugach National Forest
Claremont Glacier
Kings Bay

Approved by:

A. Joseph Wraight Chief Geographer Prepared by:

Frank W. Pickett

Cartographic Technician

## KINGS BAY Surveys T-9118, T-9119, T-9817 through T-9821

#### NOTES TO THE HYDROGRAPHER

The manuscripts of the Kings Bay area were corrected to datum as established by the plot of August 1959 and positions of all photo-hydro stations were relocated to this datum. As the final plot resulted in some shift in pass point positions, local differences occur between some of the field-established photo-hydro positions and those on the final manuscript.

Those stations with significantly different positions are:

CAB - T-9817

PAT - T-9820

LUX - T-9820

Photo-hydro Station RAT on manuscript T-9820 is listed as "out" on the field photo.

Photo-hydro control ends on manuscripts T-9118 and T-9818.

The manuscripts which are subject to a final office review show new positions for photo-hydro stations and the shoreline as field inspected in 1959. They with accompanying vinylite impressions of preliminary manuscripts should suffice for the completion of the hydrographic surveys.

The low-water line shown on the manuscripts was identified in the field on infrared photographs which were taken at h feet above low water. The line is thus very approximate.

Everett H. Ramey Chief, Graphic Unit Photogrammetry Division FORM 1002(T-2) PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

MAP T-9817

PROJECT PH-152

No Form 1002(T-2) was available at the time of final review and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

# FIELD EDIT REPORT

# MAP T- 9817

# PROJECT PH-152

Field edit was accomplished in 1959 in advance of revision of this map. At the time of final review, no Field Edit Report was available and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

#### REVIEW REPORT T-9817

#### SHORELINE

#### AUGUST 25, 1970

#### 61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

No discrepancies were found when this survey was compared with other surveys; therefore, no comparison ozalid was made.

### 62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

No registered topographic surveys were available for comparison.

#### 63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

A visual comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Quadrangle SEWARD (C-5), ALASKA, scale 1:63,360, dated 1951. No discrepancies were noted.

#### 64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with an unverified copy of the smooth sheet for H-8491, scale 1:10,000, dated 1959. Apparently T-9817 was used as the base map for shoreline. No significant differences in shoreline or alongshore features were noted.

#### 65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A visual comparison was made with Chart 8517, scale 1:80,000, 9th edition, dated April 28, 1969. No significant differences in shoreline or alongshore features were noted.

#### ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS: 66.

This survey complies with Job Instructions, Bureau requirements, and the National Standards for Map Accuracy. No accuracy tests were run in the field.

Reviewed by:

Charles H.Bishop

Charles H. Bishop Cartographer August 25, 1970

Approved:

selan J. Poecell

Allen L. Powell, RADM, USESSA Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved:

Photogrammetric Branch

Photogrammetry Division

Jack & Duth