#### Porm 804

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

# DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey	SHORELINE				
	Office Na T-9819				
LOCALITY					
State ALASKA	ad Paranti Province and a second seco				
General locality	PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND				
Locality KINGS	RIVER				
	<b>19</b> 57-59				
CHIEF OF PARTY Field - H. J. Seaborg Office - L. W. Swanson					
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES					
DATE					

USCOMM-DC 5087

#### DATA RECORD



T-9819, T-9820, T-9821

Project No. (II): Ph-152

Quadrangle Name (IV):

Field Office (II): Ship BOWIE

Chief of Party: H. J. Seaborg

Photogrammetric Office (III): Washington, D. C.

Officer-in-Charge:

L. W. Swanson

Instructions dated (II) (III):

Copy filed in Division of Photogrammetry (IV)

Compilation Instructions - Prince Wm. Sound Supp. 5 dated 15 December 1958

Supp. 6 dated 22 July 1959

Office Files

Method of Compilation (III):

Graphic

Manuscript Scale (III): 1:10,000

Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III):

Scale Factor (III):

Date received in Washington Office (IV):

Date reported to Nautical Chart Branch (IV):

Applied to Chart No.

Date:

Date registered (IV):

Publication Scale (IV):

Publication date (IV):

Geographic Datum (III):

NA 1927

Vertical Datum (III): MHW

Mean sea level except as follows: Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

Reference Station (III):

Lat.:

Long.:

Adjusted Unadjusted

Plane Coordinates (IV): UTM

State:

Zone:

Y=

X=



Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (ii) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office. or (IV) Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

Field Inspection by (II): W. P. James

Date: June 1959

Planetable contouring by (II):

None

Date:

Completion Surveys by (II):

None

Date:

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location):

Field and office identification of shoreline on photographs taken May 1957 and Aug. 1958.

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV):

Dempsey

Date: Dec. 17, 1958

Projection and Grids checked by (IV):

Shoup

Date: Jan. 159

Control plotted by (III):

H. Lucas

J. Battley

Date: Jan. 159

Aug. 159

Control checked by (III):

W. Halluin

Date: Jan. 159

R. Sugden

Aug. 159

Radial Plot or Stereoscopic

R. Sugden

Date: Sept. 159

Control-extension by-(HI):

Planimetry

Date:

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III):

Contours

Date:

Manuscript delineated by (III): T-9819, T-9820, T-9821:

R. Sugden

Date: Sept. 159

Sept. '59

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): E. Rame y

Date: 22 Oct. 1959

Elevations on Manuscript

checked by (II) (III):

Date:

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

Camera (kind or source) (III):

USC&GS

- 9-L

and 1-L infrared

		PHOTOGRAPHS	(III)	·
Number	Date	Time	Scale	Stage of Tide
9-lens 56137, 56146,	17 May 1957 56138 56147,	1350	1:20,000	9.5 above MLW
56148	7 Aug 1958	1402		
Single- 58-L-53 5357	lens 7 Aug. 1958 53 thru	1208	1:30,000	4.1 ft. above MLW

Tide (III)

Reference Station:

Cordova

Subordinate Station:

Wells Passage

Subordinate Station:

Atlantic Marine Center WashingtonxOfficeReview by (IV):

C. H. Bishop

Date: 9-21-70

Ratio of Mean | Spring Ranges | Range | Range

10.0

9.7

Final Drafting by (IV):

Date:

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Date:

Proof Edit by. (iV):

Date:

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III):

Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III):

3 mi.

Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III):

Control Leveling - Miles (II):

Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II):

Recovered:

/ Identified: Identified:

Number of BMs searched for (II):

Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III):

Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III):

Remarks:

COMM- DC- 57842

**T-**9819

COMPILATION RECORD	COMPLETION DATE	REMARKS
Preliminary shoreline for hydrography	February 1959	Superseded
New plot, map revised after field edit, ADVANCE	Sept. 1959	
Final Review	Sept. 1970	·

# Prince William Sound, Alaska

			•
2031	m official Mij	EAGE FOR COST	ACCOUNTE
The state of the s	.1 <u>{</u>	LIN.MI.	AREA 69
	SHEET NO.	SHORELINE	MILES
June June	9118	3	13
	<b>ы</b> 9119	. 9	11
9131	slar 9121	11	10
Perry Island	9122	23	7
Sign to Stand Island Island	9123	17	7 -
Grandway Comment Comment	9124 > 9125	15	6
6532: 9119119 X 9121 9126 FIELD INSPECTION, SHORELINE & HORICONTAL Elementification Corne Fre Within Dashes Aleas	9126	5	<b>3</b> ,8)
9127 19123 19128 Smith Is' 60°30	· 9127 ··· 9128	6	વ
9124) 9125 9130	± 9129	<b>7</b> .	Ŕ
Seal Island Montague Point	9130	14	6 95
	913 <b>1</b> 9132	48	50
9139 9139 ISLAND	T 9133	36	45
9138 Cheiniga Mare Green   Green   Green	/ 9134 9135	5/1 2	11 90
9140 9141 3142	9136	26	90 85 48
197 9143 9144/ 9145 Point Helen MONTAGU	se 9137	68 10	48 7
Sambridgel 914) Evans Island ISLAND	5 9138 91 <b>39</b>	13	-5 <del>-</del> 6
administration of the state of	, <sub>L</sub> 9140	12	
3609 Einfelton Latouche	9141	كلا 10	12 3
Cape Puget Cape Puget Cape Puget	9143	-9	Ĺ
isurrection 1157gWorded Islands	91 <u>44</u>	26 19	9 8
NG SOUND	9146	<b>1</b> 8	8
Cape Cleare	9147	5f	9
149°00 148°00' 147°	oo′ 9148 ⊇779	19	7
	91 <u>5</u> 0	2ù 15	8
	9151	15 6	9 15a
•	7234 9 <b>5</b> 36	ĕ	6
	9538	4	1
	9818 9818	11	6
	9819	3	9
	9820 9821	7	10
	9822	9	.9
	9151 9534 9536 9538 9817 9818 9819 9820 9821 9822 9823 9824	7	10695094068
	9825 9825	11	- 6
	98 <b>26</b>	16 6 4 9 11 3 7 2 9 7 9 11 10 19	8
	11578	19	21
<del>-</del>	TOTALS	<b>7</b> 02	726
		<del>-</del> -	<del>-</del> -

#### SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-9819

Records for this map were not complete at the time of final review, which is several years after compilation. The Compilation Record and notes concerning the absence of reports were inserted by the final reviewer.

This shoreline manuscript, scale 1:10,000, is one of 43 sheets that comprise Project PH-152, which is located in the western part of Prince William Sound, Alaska. T-9819 is at the southwest end of Kings Bay.

The original manuscript was preliminary in advance of hydrography. A radial plot was run on a 1:20,000 scale base sheet, using nine-lens photography of 1957. Points thus obtained were transferred to the 1:10,000 scale manuscript and shoreline and alongshore features were compiled graphically, using resected ratio photographs.

Copies of the preliminary manuscript were sent to the field in the spring of 1959 for photo-hydro support. Additional horizontal control was identified on the photographs furnished and field edit was accomplished. A final plot was run, the map was revised and classified "ADVANCE".

Final Review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in September, 1970.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude and 5 minutes 37.5 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.

## FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

MAP T-9819

PROJECT PH-152

There was no field inspection prior to compilation of this map and no Field Inspection Report is bound with this Descriptive Report.

#### PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

### KINGS RAY, ALASKA

Project Ph-152

Aug - 1959

A preliminary plot of this area using mostly officeidentified control was done in Feb. 1959. Ten additional control stations with CSl cards and accompanying field photo identification (June 1959) were furnished to control a new plot. The original templets were utilized in laying this latter plot.

- 21. Area Covered: This report discusses the final radial plot for shoreline surveys T-9118, T-9817 thru T-9821 and a portion of T-9122. These surveys cover the area of Kings Bay from the entrance to the head.
- 22. Methods Vinylite sheets, ruled with base grids at 1:20,000 scale to correspond with the UTM grid lines were used to lay the plot.

Photo coverage of the area included two nine-lens flights on either side of the bay furnished on positype paper prints at 1:20,000 scale, and single-lens 58 L series infra-red photographs at 1:10,000 scale.

The additional field-identified control was transferred to the nine-lens office prints and added to the original templets. Positions of templets generally remained the same in the junction area of the north part of the plot which had former field-identified control. Due to the additional control some positions on the west side of the bay and in the delta at the head of the bay shifted about 0.3 mm. Otherwise positions did not change. The new positions were recircled on the base sheet and replotted on the 1:10,000 scale manuscripts where the resultant error would be doubled.

The single lens photographs were resected on the menuscripts into common pass points with the nine-lens photographs used in the plot. The positions of their centers were added for compilation purposes.

23. Adequacy of Control. The additional control resulted in a tight plot throughout. All control held within 0.3 mm. except FDMA 1948 (sub pt) which was missed 0.5 mm to the southeast

due to an error in identification on the field photo. During photo preparation another similar point fitting the description was noted which would have held in the photo. (see plot sketch for distribution of control).

- 24. Supplemental Data - None
- 25 Photography

The infra-red photographs were lacking in otail especially in shadow areas, making it difficult to find common pass points with the nine-lens photographs. The nine-lens photographs although lacking in definition were adequate for the plot.

Photogrammetric Plot Sketch and list of control submitted with report.

Submitted by

Robert L. Sugden Cartographer

Approved by:

Everatt H. Ramay

Chief, Graphic Unit

COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CONTROL RECORD

DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS COMM- DC- 5784. (BACK) FORWARD SCALE FACTOR 62.4 863.0 1424.9 542.2 30.3 226.3 882.9 498,7 862.3 1498.7 1611,1 613,1 (BACK) N.A. 1927 - DATUM 245.9 432.1 358.4 690.5 1794.6 FORWARD 304.3 54.8 974.1 1314.8 53.1 885.6 418.1 1857.0 1857.0 916.8 1857.0 DATUM 916.8 915.9 916.1 917,1 917.4 1857.1 1857.0 857.0 SCALE OF MAP. 1:10,000 OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET. (BACK) FORWARD LONGITUDE OR x - COORDINATE LATITUDE OR V-COORDINATE 07.946 19,905 03.585 45.184 57.981 31.471 13.96 11.58 27.36 42.48 03.48 58,02 PROJECT NO. PH-152 56 8 42 41 27 43 28 77 53 30 41 7 9 9 148 9 148 148 8 8 148 148 9 148 DATUM NA 1927 **\***-. E = = SOURCE OF INFORMATION 43 VI p 82 43 p 82 82 (INDEX) VI 38 IΛ Z M а Д Q, Red Roofed Shack 1949 PEAK 100, 1948 MAP T-9819 PEAK 99, 1948 1 FT. = 3048006 METER 1948 POBTANELLIE STATION ENGLE 1948 PEAK 101,

11

DATE 1/14/59

R. Kelly

CHECKED BY:...

1/6/26

DATE

COMPUTED BY. Sugden



## COMPILATION REPORT T-9819, T-9820 & T-9821 September 1959

Preliminary manuscripts based on an office-controlled plot of the Kings Bay area were completed in February 1959. These were furnished to the field party for the purpose of establishing photo-hydro control positions.

A new plot was completed in August 1959 using field-identified control (June 1959). Field inspection of shoreline was also furnished.

As the new plot resulted in only minor changes of position (see Photogrammetric Plot Report filed with Descriptive Report for Manuscript T-9118). The original preliminary manuscripts were used, compilation being held to the new positions.

## 31. Delineation

The 1:10,000 scale manuscripts were compiled by graphic methods, shoreline being delineated sterescopically both from 1:10,000 reticed infrared photographs and from 1:20,000 scale nine-lens photographs using the reflecting projector to fit photographic detail to the manuscript.

The field inspection was done on single-lens infrared photographs on which detail was greatly obscured by shadow and lack of tome. Because of this the shoreline and low-water line delineated by the field party was of poor quality and was not used in some areas where an error was obvious. Field inspection was done on photographs numbered 58-L-5353 thru 5358, nine-lens 53138, 53139, thru 56148.

# 32. Control

Control was adequate as regards identification, density, and placement. (See plot report filed as part of Descriptive Report T-9118.)

56146

### 33. Supplemental Data

None.

#### 34. Contours and Drainage

Inapplicable.

## 35. Shoreline and Alongshore Details

As noted under Sub-heading 31, the field inspection of shoreline and low-water line was refined in compilation. Much of this was done after studying the nine-lens photographs in areas where detail was obscured on the single-lens infrared photographs.

The low-water line was identified in the field using a tone line on the infrared photographs. The stage of tide at the time of these photographs was approximately 4 feet. Thus the line must be very approximate.

## 36. Offshore Details

Inapplicable.

### 37. Landmarks and Aids

Inapplicable.

## 38. Control for Future Surveys

Photo-hydro stations were located in the field on a blackline impression of the preliminary manuscript. These positions were relocated to fit the new plot positions. Descriptions of photo-hydro stations were not furnished and thus field identified points had to be generally accepted as shown.

## 39. Junctions

Junctions have been made with adjoining manuscripts.

# 40. Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

Vertical control inapplicable. Horizontal control - see pp 32.

# 41. through 45.

Inapplicable.

# 46. Comparison with Existing Maps

US Geological Survey Quadrangles - Seward B-5, Scale 1:63,360, Dated 1952. No differences evident.

## 47. Comparison with Nautical Charts

US C&GS Chart No. 8517, Scale 1:80,000 - January 1952. No differences evident.

Items to be applied to Nautical Charts immediately: None.

Items to be carried forward: None.

SUBMITTED BY:

Robert L. Sugden

APPROVED:

Éverett H. Ramey Chief, Graphic Unit

Photogrammetry Division

August 28, 1970

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-152 (Alaska)

T-9819

Chugach National Forest

Kings Bay

Kings River

Nellie Juan River

Approved by:

A. Joseph Wraight

Chief Geographer

Prepared by:

Frank W. Pickett

Cartographic Technician

## KINGS BAY Surveys T-9118, T-9119, T-9817 through T-9821

#### NOTES TO THE HYDROGRAPHER

The manuscripts of the Kings Bay area were corrected to datum as established by the plot of August 1959 and positions of ell photo-hydro stations were relocated to this datum. As the final plot resulted in some shift in pass point positions, local differences occur between some of the field-established photo-hydro positions and those on the final manuscript.

Those stations with significantly different positions are:

CAB - 1-9817

PAT - T-9820

LUX - T-9820

Photo-hydro Station RAT on manuscript T-9620 is listed as "Out" on the field photo.

Fhoto-hydro control onds on manuscripts T-9118 and T-9818.

The manuscripts which are subject to a final office review show new positions for photo-hydro stations and the shoreline as field inspected in 1959. They with accompanying vinylite impressions of preliminary manuscripts should suffice for the completion of the hydrographic surveys.

The low-water line shown on the manuscripts was identified in the field on infrared photographs which were taken at h feet above low water. The line is thus very approximate.

Everett H. Ramey Chief, Graphic Unit Photogrammetry Division FORM 1002(T-2) PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

MAP T- 9819

PROJECT PH-152

No Form 1002(T-2) was available at the time of final review and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

# FIELD EDIT REPORT

MAP T- 9819

PROJECT PH-152

Field edit was accomplished in 1959 in advance of revision of this map. At the time of final review, no Field Edit Report was available and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

#### REVIEW REPORT T-9819

#### SHORELINE

#### SEPTEMBER 21, 1970

## 61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See Summary on Page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

No ozalid comparison print was made for this map.

## 62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

No registered topographic surveys were available at the time of final review; no comparison was made.

#### 63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

A visual comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Quadrangle SEWARD (B-5), ALASKA, scale 1:63,360, dated 1952. No significant differences were noted.

### 64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with an unverified copy of the smooth sheet for Survey H-8491, scale 1:10,000, dated 1959. No discrepancies were noted. T-9819 was used as a base for shoreline in the area compared.

### 65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A visual comparison was made with Chart 8517, scale 1:80,000, 9th Edition, dated April 28, 1969. No discrepancies were noted.

## 66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS:

This survey complies with Job Instructions, Bureau requirements, and the National Standards for Map Accuracy. No accuracy test was run in the field.

Reviewed by:

Charles HBishop

Charles H. Bishop Cartographer September 21, 1970

Approved:

alan J. Poeces Ol

Allen L. Powell, RADM, USESSA Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved:

Chief,

Photogrammetric Branch

Chief

Photogrammetry Division

Jack E. Luth