Form 504

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey	SHORELINE				
Field No.	Office No	T-9821			
LOCALITY					
State ALASKA	1411-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	111m2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
General locality	PRINCE WILLIAM	SOUND			
Locality FALLING GLACIER					
19 57-59					
CHIEF OF PARTY Field - H. J. Seaborg Office - L. W. Swanson					
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES					
DATE	44444	Market 1			

USCOMM-DC 5087

DATA RECORD

T-9819, T-9820, T-9821

Project No. (II): Ph-152

Quadrangle Name (IV):

Field Office (II): Ship BOWIE

Chief of Party: H. J. Seaborg

Photogrammetric Office (iII): Washington, D. C.

Officer-in-Charge:

L. W. Swanson

Instructions dated (II) (III):

Copy filed in Division of Photogrammetry (IV)

Compilation Instructions - Prince Wm. Sound

Supp. 5 dated 15 December 1958

Supp. 6 dated 22 July 1959

Office Files

Method of Compilation (III): Graphic

Manuscript Scale (III): 1:10,000

Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III):

Scale Factor (III): 1.0

Date received in Washington Office (IV):

Date reported to Nautical Chart Branch (IV):

Applied to Chart No.

Date:

Date registered (IV):

Publication Scale (IV):

Publication date (IV):

Geographic Datum (III): NA 1927

Vertical Datum (III): MHW Mean sea level except as follows: Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

Reference Station (III):

Lat.:

Long.:

Adjusted Unadjusted

Plane Coordinates (IV): UTM

State:

Zone:

Y=

X⇔

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (II) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office, or (IV) Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

Field Inspection by (II): W. P. James

Date: June 1959

Planetable contouring by (II):

None

Date:

Completion Surveys by (II):

None

Date:

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location): Field and office identification of shoreline on photographs taken May 1957 and Aug. 1958.

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV): Dempsey Date: Dec. 17, 1958

Projection and Grids checked by (IV):

Shoup

Jan. Date:

Control plotted by (III):

H. Lucas

Date: Jan.

J. Battley

Aug.

Control checked by (III):

W. Halluin

Date: Jan.

R. Sugden

Radial Plot or Stereoscopic

R. Sugden

Sept. 159 Date:

Control-extension by-(III):

Planimetry

Date:

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III):

Contours

Date:

Manuscript delineated by (III): T-9819, T-9820, T-9821:

R. Sugden

Sept. Date:

Sept.

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): E. Ramey

22 Oct. 1959 Date:

Elevations on Manuscript

checked by (II) (III):

Date:

Number

9-lens

Remarks:

56137, 56138 56146, 56147, 56148 7

58-L-5353 thru 5357 9.5 above MLW

h.1 ft. above

MLW

Stage of Tide

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

Camera (kind or source) (III):

Single-lens 7 Aug. 1958

USC&GS

Date

17 May 1957

7 Aug. 1958

~ 9-L

PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

Time

1350

17:05

1208

and

1-L infrared

Scale

1:20,000

1:30,000

3

Tide (III)	·	Ratio of Mean Spring Ranges Range Range
Reference Station: Cordova Subordinate Station: Wells Passa Subordinate Station:	g e	Ranges Range Range 9.5 10.0 9.5 9.7
Atlantic Marine Center Washington Office Review by (IV): C. H. Bishop		Date: 10-5-70
Final Drafting by (IV):		Date:
Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):		Date:
Proof Edit by (IV):		Date:
Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): Control Leveling - Miles (II): Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): Recovered: Number of BMs searched for (II): Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III):		/ Identified: Identified:

T-9821

COMPILATION RECORD	COMPLETION DATE	REMARKS	
Preliminary shoreline for hydrography	February 1959	Superseded	
New plot, map revised after field edit, ADVANCE	Sept. 1959		
Final Review	Oct. 5, 1970		
:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Prince William Sound, Alaska

			•
Homeson Mining Camp, 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	LIN.MI.	AREA 30-
	SHEET NO.	SHORELINE	MILES
Styles I	9118	3	13
ortage Glacier 1654	9119	9	11
The state of the s			• •
9132 9133 9133 Perry Island	9121	11 23	10 7
Lone Ch Naked Island	9122 9123	2) 17	+
9135 (9136 9137 Island Island	9124	7	5
Grandes Print Grand Garry 9126 FIELD HAPECTION, SHOWLINE & HORIZONTAL	9125	15	6
EHIDENTIFICATION COMPLETE WITHIN DASHED AREAS.	9126	. 5	7
9122 19123 19128 Smith Is 60°30'	9127 9128	S :	3
9124 / 9125 9130 / 2	9129	7	Ŕ
20: Seel Island Montague Point	9130	14	6
	9131	12	95 50
5900 ± 9824 19825 KNIGHT	9132 9133	40 36	45 .
9138 Grienge Bash M SISLAND	9134	ĨŠ	ĭí
Green J. Gre	9135	2Í ₄	90
\$ 6115 3141 3141 3141 3141 3141 3141 3141 3	9136	26	85 48
97 MONTAGUE	6 9137 0138	68 10	40 7
1 SLAND	9138 9139	13	5
Sugar Carpuche 2065	. 9 1 40	12	5 8
in soon in the sound of the sou	¹ 9141	214	12
FAINTIBLO Cape Puget 59150 9151 Island	9142	10	
Cape Junken	9143	26	9
urrection 11579 Horded Islands	9145	<u>1</u> 9	8
sov_{ND}	9146	18	8
Cape Cleare	9147	Sif	9
149°00 148°00' 147°00	9140 - 21/0	25 ·	7 7
	9150	2Ú	· 8
		15	9
	9534	. 6	4
	9536	6 1,	7 1
	9930 9817	9	10
	9818	ıi,	6
	9819	3	2
	9820	7	70
	9822	9	- 9
	9823	Ź	Ĺ
	982 <u>1</u>	9	1 0
	9825 0826	11	8
	9151 9534 9536 9538 9617 9819 9820 9821 9822 9823 9824 9825 9826 11578	16 6 14 9 11 3 7 2 9 7 9 11 10 19	10695094068 10695094068
	14/10		
	TOTALS	70 2	726

SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-9821

Records for this map were not complete at the time of Final Review, which is several years after compilation. The Compilation Record and notes concerning absence of reports were inserted by the final reviewer.

This shoreline manuscript, scale 1:10,000, is one of 43 maps that comprise Project PH-152, which is located in the western part of Prince William Sound. T-9821 is in the Kings Bay area of the sound.

The original manuscript was preliminary in advance of hydrography. A radial plot was run on a 1:20,000 scale base sheet, using nine-lens photography of 1957. Points thus obtained were transferred to the 1:10,000 scale manuscript and shoreline and alongshore features were compiled graphically, using resected ratio photographs.

Copies of the preliminary manuscript were sent to the field in the spring of 1959 for photo-hydro support. Additional horizontal control was identified on the photographs furnished and field edit was accomplished. A final plot was run, the map was revised, and classified "ADVANCE".

Final Review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in October, 1970.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 6 minutes 37.5 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

MAP T-9821

PROJECT PH-152

There was no field inspection prior to compilation of this map and no Field Inspection Report is bound with this Descriptive Report.

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

KINGS BAY, ALASKA

Project Ph-152

Aug - 1959

A preliminary plot of this area using mostly office-identified control was done in Feb. 1959. Ten additional control stations with CS1 cards and accompanying field photo identification (June 1959) were furnished to control a new plot. The original templets were utilized in laying this latter plot.

- 21. Area Covered: This report discusses the final radial plot for shoreline surveys T-9118, T-9817 thru T-9821 and a portion of T-9122. These surveys cover the area of Kings Bay from the entrance to the head.
- 22. Methods Vinylite sheets, ruled with base grids at 1:20,000 scale to correspond with the UTM grid lines were used to lay the plot.

Photo coverage of the area included two nine-lens flights on either side of the bay furnished on positype paper prints at 1:20,000 scale, and single-lens 58 L series infra-red photographs at 1:10,000 scale.

The additional field-identified control was transferred to the nine-lens office prints and added to the original templets. Positions of templets generally remained the same in the junction area of the north part of the plot which had former field-identified control. Due to the additional control same positions on the west side of the bay and in the delta at the head of the bay shifted about 0.3 mm. Otherwise positions did not change. The new positions were recircled on the base sheet and replotted on the 1:10,000 scale manuscripts where the resultant error would be doubled.

The single lens photographs were resected on the manuscripts into common pass points with the nine-lens photographs used in the plot. The positions of their centers were added for compilation purposes.

23. Adequacy of Control. The additional control resulted in a tight plot throughout. All control held within 0.3 mm. except 1948 (sub pt) which was missed 0.5 mm to the scutheast

due to an error in identification on the field photo. During photo preparation snother similar point fitting the description was noted which would have held in the photo. (see plot sketch for distribution of control).

- 24. Supplemental Data - None
- 25 Photography

The infra-red photographs were lacking in otail especially in shadow areas, making it difficult to find common pass points with the nine-lens photographs. The nine-lens photographs although lacking in definition were adequate for the plot.

Photogrammetric Plot Sketch and list of control submitted with report.

Submitted by

Robert L. Sugden Cartographer

Approved by:

Everatt H. Ramey

Chief, Graphic Unit

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ORM 164 4-23-54)

MAP T- 9821 PROJECT NO. PH-152

LONGITUDE OR x - COORDINATE LATITUDE OR V.COORDINATE

DATUM

SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)

STATION

35.96 28.97 11.29

29 32 60 27 148 34

87 9

NA 1927

VI p 83

PEAK No. 107 1948

1948

CONTROL RECORD

COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

(BACK)

FORWARD

(BACK)

473.9 744.0

1507.6 643.8

17.89

=

M 43

Peak No. 105

SCALE FACTOR

DISTANCE
FROM GALD OR PROJECTION LINE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE
IN METERS
IN METERS N.A. 1927 - DATUM 1113,02 349.4 273.5 FORWARD SCALE OF MAP 1: 10,000 DATUM 916.2 1857.0 1857.0 917.3 DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET. OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (BACK) FORWARD

CHECKED BY: R. Kelly DATE 1/9/59 COMPUTED BY. R. Sugden 1 FT.= 3048006 METER

COMM- DC- 57843

COMPILATION REPORT T-9819, T-9820 & T-9821 September 1959

Preliminary manuscripts based on an office-controlled plot of the Kings Bay area were completed in February 1959. These were furnished to the field party for the purpose of establishing photo-hydro control positions.

A new plot was completed in August 1959 using field-identified control (June 1959). Field inspection of shore-line was also furnished.

As the new plot resulted in only minor changes of position (see Photogrammetric Plot Report filed with Descriptive Report for Manuscript T-9118). The original preliminary manuscripts were used, compilation being held to the new positions.

31. Delineation

The 1:10,000 scale manuscripts were compiled by graphic methods, shoreline being delineated sterescopically both from 1:10,000 reticed infrared photographs and from 1:20,000 scale nine-lens photographs using the reflecting projector to fit photographic detail to the manuscript.

The field inspection was done on single-lens infrared photographs on which detail was greatly obscured by shadow and lack of tome. Because of this the shoreline and low-water line delineated by the field party was of poor quality and was not used in some areas where an error was obvious. Field inspection was done on photographs numbered 58-L-5353 thru 5358, nine-lens 53138, 53139, thru 56148.

32. Control

Control was adequate as regards identification, density, and placement. (See plot report filed as part of Descriptive Report T-9118.)

33. Supplemental Data

None.

34. Contours and Drainage

Inapplicable.

35. Shoreline and Alongshore Details

As noted under Sub-heading 31, the field inspection of shoreline and low-water line was refined in compilation. Much of this was done after studying the nine-lens photographs in areas where detail was obscured on the single-lens infrared photographs.

The low-water line was identified in the field using a tone line on the infrared photographs. The stage of tide at the time of these photographs was approximately 4 feet. Thus the line must be very approximate.

36. Offshore Details

Inapplicable.

37. Landmarks and Aids

Inapplicable.

38. Control for Future Surveys

Photo-hydro stations were located in the field on a blackline impression of the preliminary manuscript. These positions were relocated to fit the new plot positions. Descriptions of photo-hydro stations were not furnished and thus field identified points had to be generally accepted as shown.

39. Junctions

Junctions have been made with adjoining manuscripts.

40. Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

Vertical control inapplicable. Horizontal control - see pp 32.

41. through 45.

Inapplicable.

46. Comparison with Existing Maps

US Geological Survey Quadrangles - Seward B-5, Scale 1:63,360, Dated 1952. No differences evident.

47. Comparison with Nautical Charts

US C&GS Chart No. 8517, Scale 1:80,000 - January 1952. No differences evident.

Items to be applied to Nautical Charts immediately: None.

Items to be carried forward: None.

SUBMITTED BY:

Robert L. Sugden

Robert L. Sugden

APPROVED:

Everett H. Ramey Chief, Graphic Unit

Photogrammetry Division

August 28, 1970

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-152 (Alaska)

T-9821

Chugach National Forest

Kings Bay

Falling Glacier

Approved by:

A. Joseph Wraight Chief Geographer

Prepared by:

Frank W. Pickett Cartographic Technician

KINGS BAY Surveys T-9118, T-9119, T-9817 through T-9821

NOTES TO THE HYDROGRAPHER

The manuscripts of the Kings Bay area were corrected to datum as established by the plot of August 1959 and positions of all photo-hydro stations were relocated to this datum. As the final plot resulted in some shift in pass point positions, local differences occur between some of the field-established photo-hydro positions and those on the final manuscript.

Those stations with significantly different positions are:

CAB - T-9817

PAT - T-9820

LUX - T-9820

Photo-hydro Station RAT on manuscript T-9820 is listed as "Out" on the field photo.

Photo-hydro control ends on manuscripts T-9118 and T-9818.

The manuscripts which are subject to a final office review show new positions for photo-hydro stations and the shoreline as field inspected in 1959. They with accompanying vinylite impressions of preliminary manuscripts should suffice for the completion of the hydrographic surveys.

The low-water line shown on the manuscripts was identified in the field on infrared photographs which were taken at k feet above low water. The line is thus very approximate.

Everett H. Hamey Chief, Graphic Unit Photogrammetry Division FORM 1002(T-2) PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

MAP T- 9821

PROJECT PH-152

No Form 1002(T-2) was available at the time of final review and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

FIELD EDIT REPORT

MAP T-9821

PROJECT PH-152

Field edit was accomplished in 1959 in advance of revision of this map. At the time of final review, no Field Edit Report was available and none is bound with this Descriptive Report.

REVIEW REPORT T-9821

SHORELINE

OCTOBER 5, 1970

61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

No ozalid comparison print was made for this map; no significant differences with other surveys were found.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

No registered topographic surveys were available at the time of final review; no comparison was made.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

A visual comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Quadrangle SEWARD (B-5), ALASKA, scale 1:63,360, dated 1952. No significant differences were noted.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with an unverified copy of the smooth sheet for Survey H-8491, scale 1:10,000 dated 1959. No discrepancies were noted. T-9821 was used as the base map for shoreline in the area compared.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A visual comparison was made with Chart 8517, scale 1:80,000, 9th edition, dated April 28, 1969. No differences were noted.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS:

This survey complies with Job Instructions, Bureau requirements, and the National Standards for Map Accuracy. No accuracy tests were run in the field.

Reviewed by:

Charles HBishop

Charles H. Bishop Cartographer October 5, 1970

Approved:

Alla L. Poecell Allen L. Powell, RADM, USESSA

Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch

Jack E. Luth
Chief, Photogrammetry Division