# 9871 Original

Diag. Cht. Nos. 1274-2 & 1275-2.

Form 504

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey TOPOGRAPHIC

Field No. 6090 Office No. T-9871

LOCALITY

State LOUISIANA

General locality GULF COAST

Locality DOG LAKE

CHIEF OF PARTY
E. H. Kirsch, Chief of Field Party
H. C. Applequist, Tampa Photogrammetric
Office

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

DATE

DATE

4

B-1870-1 (1)

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

T - 9871

Project No. (II): 6090

Quadrangle Name (IV):

Field Office (II): Houma La.

Chief of Party: E. H. Kirsch

Photogrammetric Office (III): Tampa Fla.

Officer in Charge: H. C. Applequist

Instructions dated (II) (III): 5 Sept. 1952 (Supplement 1) 25 Sept. 1952 (Supplement 2) 30 Sept. 1952 Copy filed in Division of Photogrammetry (IV)

Method of Compilation (III): Graphic

Manuscript Scale (III): 1:20,000

Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III): Inapplicable

Scale Factor (III): None

Date received in Washington Office (IV):

Date reported to Nautical Chart Branch (IV):

Applied to Chart No.

Date:

Date registered (IV): 5-9-58

Publication Scale (IV):

Publication date (IV):

Geographic Datum (III):

N. A. 1927

Vertical Datum (III):

Mean sea level except as follows: Elavations shown as (25) refer to mean high water Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

Reference Station (III): BAYOU 1928

Lat.: 29°10°15.227" (468.8 m)

Long.: 90°50 25.828" (697.9m)

Adjusted xtxnadiusted

Plane Coordinates (IV):

State:

Zone:

Y--

X≔

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (II) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office, or (IV) Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surhams and initials, not initials only,

Areas contoured by various personnel (Show name within area)
(II) (III)

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

Field Inspection by (II): W. M. Reynolds

C. H. Baldwin

Date: April 1953

Planetable contouring by (II): W. M. Reynolds

C. H. Baldwin

Date: April 1953

Completion Surveys by (II):

Date:

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location): April 1953

Air Photo Compilation

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV): Joan Thuma (W.O.)

Date: 3 Sept. 1953"

Projection and Grids checked by (IV): H. D. Wolfe (W.O.)

Date: 8 Sept. 1953

Control plotted by (III): R.R. Wagner

Date: 22 Oct. 1954"

Control checked by (III): R. J. Pate

Date: 22 Oct. 1954

Radial Plot of State (SCIDIC

Christoly (III): M. M. Slavney

Date: 23 June 1955

Planimetry

Contours

Date:

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III):

Inapplicable

Date:

Manuscript delineated by (III): R. Dossett

Date: 16 Jan. 1956

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): J. A. Giles

Date: 20 Jan. 1956 ~

Elevations on Manuscript J. A. Giles

checked by (I) (III):

Date: 17 Jan. 1956

Ratio of Mean | Spring

Range

Range

Ranges

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

Camera (kind or source) (III): C.& G.S. Nine-lens

PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

Number	Date	Time	Scale	Stage of Tide
39535	29 Sept.1952	10:14	1:20,000	Little
39536	17	10:16"	ที	or
39537	ET	10:17	ti	no periodic
39443	28 Sept. 1952	11:40"	11	tide
39444	tt -	11:40	Ħ	
39445	\$7	11:41	11	

Tide (III)

Reference Station:

Subordinate Station:

Inapplicable

Subordinate Station:

Washington Office Review by (IV): Date:

Final Drafting by (IV): Date:

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Proof Edit by (IV): Date:

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 57

Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 95

xStrengthers/transpartment/90/constant-partment/stranspartment/90/constant-partment/90/consta

Control Leveling - Miles (II): None

Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): 5 Recovered: 3 Identified: 3 Number of BMs searched for (II): 8 Recovered: 1 Identified: 2

Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): 0 +

Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): O

Remarks:

COMM- DC- 57842

# SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY TOPOGRAPHIC MAP T-9871

This survey is in the vicinity of Dog Lake, northeast of Lake Pelto on the Louisiana Coast of the Gulf of Mexico.

The land area is a series of marsh islands, whose shoreline is subject to continuous change. There are also many cultural changes in the dredging of new canals in the "Dog Lake Oil Field" and "Four Isle Bay Oil and Gas Field". The only elevations in excess of five feet are found on spoil banks of those newly dredged canals

T-9871 is a graphic compilation and along with other surveys of this project (PH-90) originates from 1952 ninelens photography and 1953 field inspection accomplished at the Tampa District Office. Changes were applied to the original manuscript in 1957 from 1956 single-lens photography. All manuscripts of this project were successfully scribed at the same field office.

Hydrographic information will be added to the compilation according to Geological Survey specifications and released to that agency for the publication of a standard  $7\frac{1}{2}$  minute quadrangle at a scale of 1:24,000 and to replace an earlier edition of 1935 at the scale of 1:31680.

The following will be registered and filed in the Bureau Archives:

A "CRONAR" film positive at manuscript scale of 1:20,000.

The Descriptive Report

A lithographic print in colors after final printing by G. S.

## 2. AREAL FIELD INSPECTION

The land area is entirely marsh and is uninhabited except for seasonal occupation by trappers of the numerous cabins during the winter trapping season.

The area is unaccessible and reached only by shallow draft boat through the many bayous and lakes which are prominent throughout the quadrangle.

The principal industries are fishing, trapping, and the exploration and development of oil and natural gas fields. One sizeable field, The Texas Company Dog Lake Field, is in operation along the west side of this quadrangle and extends into quadrangle T-9870( ). Many canals have been dredged to drilling sites and numerous wells are now producing. A permanent camp is maintained in the area for the working personnel. The producing wells all have supporting platforms around them and in most cases are visible on the photographs.

Field inspection is complete/as of 10 April 1953 and was performed on the following photographs: 39536, 39536, 39537, 39444 and 39445. No items were deliberately left for the field editor but several new canals, to drilling sites, were located during field inspection and more will probably have been dredged by the time of field edit. New wells will also probably be producing in the area.

## 3. HORIZONTAL CONTROL

All control was searched for and where recovered was identified to aid in control of the radial plot. All control was by this Bureau.

The following stations were reported lost: MISALE 1934 and FOUR ISLANDS OIL DERRICK 1934.

No supplemental control was established.

#### 4. VERTICAL CONTROL

Eight tidal bench marks of this Bureau was searched for and four were recovered. DOG LAKE TIDAL BENCH MARKS 1, 2, and 3, and FOUR ISLAND BAYOU TIDAL BENCH MARK 2.

No bench marks were established and no fly levels were run.

#### 5. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE

Elevations for contours were determined by setting tide staffs, referenced to the previously mentioned tidal bench marks. The staffs were read at 30 minute intervals and recorded. The elevations along the marsh and spoil banks were taken from water level. The time was noted and the elevations reduced from the tide staff readings.

Elevations along the eastern side of the quadrangle were determined in the same manner as above except that the elevations were referenced to portable tide gage readings. The portable tide gage was installed near the mouth of Bayou Misale in Quadrangle T-9872().

All drainage is through the many bayous and canals. These are self-evident from the photographs.

#### 6. WOODLAND COVER

There is no woodland cover in the area.

#### 7. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE FEATURES

The mean high water line, except for the banks of the canals, in the previously mentioned oil field, is all apparent shoreline, edge of the marsh. The mean high water line along the banks of the canals and around piles of spoil is fast shoreline.

There is little or no periodic tide in the area and the low water line is synonymous with the mean high water line.

All docks, wharves, piers, etc. are adequately covered by the photographs.

All submarine pipelines have been indicated on the photographs.

## 8. OFFSHORE FEATURES

The only offshore features are the spoil piles and oil wells located in Hackberry Lake. These are covered by the field inspection notes.

## 9. LANDMARKS AND AIDS

One landmark for nautical charts was identified on photograph 39530 for location by the radial plot. This is The Texas Company Dog Lake Field Radio Tower.

There are no aeronautical aids or fixed aids to navigation.

#### 10. BOUNDARIES, MONUMENTS AND LINES

There are no political boundaries in the area.

See "Special Report, Public Land Lines, Project Ph-90".

#### 11. OTHER CONTROL

None was established.

#### OTHER INTERIOR FEATURES

There are no roads in the area.

Except for the Texas Company camp, the buildings consist of camps occupied seasonally by trappers. These should be mapped as Class 1 buildings for their landmark value. They have been labeled "cabins" on the photographs.

There are no bridges or cables over navigable waters.

There are no airports or landing fields.

## 13. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

See "Special Report, Geographic Names, Project Ph-90".

#### 14. SPECIAL REPORTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Letter of Transmittal No. 90-7, "Special Report, Public Land Lines, Project Ph-90", submitted to Washington Office 29 April 1953.

Letter of Transmittal, Public Land Lines data, forwarded to Washington Office 28 January 1953.

Letter of Transmittal No. 90-8, "Special Report, Geographic Names, Project Ph-90", to be forwarded to Washington Office at a later date.

Letter of Transmittal No. 90-22, Data, Quadrangle T-9871( ) forwarded to Washington Office MAY 1 5 1953

Submitted 5 May 1953

William M. Reynolds
Cartographic Survey Aid

Approved & Forwarded

E. H. Kirsch Chief of Party

DESCRIPTIVE RÉPORT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

FORM **164** (4-23-54)

CONTROL RECORD

COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

STATION	SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)	DATUM	LATITUD	IE OR y-C DE OR x-(	LATITUDE OR y-COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR x-COORDINATE	DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET. OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)	DATUM	N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)	FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)
BAYOU, 1928	02386 P.87	N.A. 1927	23 06	20 20	15.227			468.8 (1378.4)	
FOUR, 1934	P.10L	#	89	71 91	54.582			1680.5 (166.8)	
СНПИА, 1934	" P.10L	=	62	51 E1	16.22 06.156			166.3 (1247.9)	
5 9		•							
					}				
									100.7
						-			
		•							
	-								

## COMPILATION REPORT T-9871

## PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

Submitted with T-9672%

## 31. DELIME ATION

Compiled graphically. The scale of the photographs was reasonably good, 39536 and 39537 having the best. There was a lack of photographic clearness and some difficulty was encountered in defining the apparent shoreline, particularly on photographs 39443 and 39444. These later photographs were badly sun-spotted and were also of poor scale. Field inspection was adequate.

## 32. CONTROL

Reference photogrammetric plot report.

## 33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Reference Item 14.

## 34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE

Reference Item 5.

The drainage has been delineated according to field inspection notes.

## 35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS

Delineated according to photographs supplemented by field inspection notes.

## 36. OFFSHORE DETAILS

Delineated according to field inspectom notes.

## 37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS

Reference Item 9.

#### 39. JUNCTIONS

A satisfactory junction has been secured with T-9861 no the north, T-9879 on the south, T-9870 on the west and T-9872 on the east.

#### LO. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY ...

No statement.

#### ы. SECTION LINES

No section corners were recovered by the field inspection party. The only survey of land lines was by the G.L.O. and occured along Bayou Grand Caillou. These were applied to the manuscript by holding comparable details. Due to outstanding changes having occured in the shoreline since the land lines were surveyed (1838), considerable difficulty was encountered in their application. Their accuracy is questionable.

#### L6. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS

A comparison has been made with U. S. Geological Survey, Topographic Quadrangle "DOG LAKE, LA." scale 1:31,680, compiled in 1935 and Coast and Geodetic Survey Air Photo Compilation No. T-5290, scale 1:20,000 compiled from photographs dated Nov. 1932.

Except for slight changes in shoreline due to natural erosion and occassional man-made canals, little change was noted.

#### 47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

A comparison has been made with C&GS Nautical Chart No. 1274, scale 1:80,000, published July 1938 and corrected to 26 June 1953. It appears that the maps listed in Item 46 are the source of the topography and the same differences exist.

#### ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY

None

#### ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD

None

Rudolph Dossett Danith

Carto Photo Aid

Approved and Forwarded:

H. C. Applequist Chief of Party

## T-9871.

#### Geographic Names.

Bay Charlie Bay de Mongles (not Misale Bay) Bay de l'Ouest Bayou Colyell (BGN decision: not Big Bayou Colyell) Bayou de 1!Ouest Bayou des **Nettes** Bayou Chine Bayou Grand Caillou Bayou John Bop Bayou Nicholi Big Misale Bayou (not Misale Bayou) Bayou Rochelle China Island Dog Leke Dog Lake Bayou Dog Lake Oil and Gas Field (from 1955 Terrebonne Parish Highway Map)

Four Island Bayou
Four Island Bay Oil and Gas Field (1955 Terrebonne Parish Highway Map)

Grand Pass des Dettes

## Hackberry Lake

Lakk Becasse
Little Misale Bayon (BGN decision)
Louisiana

Moncleuse Bay (apply name to include the area shown on manuscript by name Mullet Bayou: this is BGN decision)

Muskrat Bayou

The de Ties

Pass des Dettes
Pelican Bayou
Petit Pass des Dettes
Post Bayou

Quitman Bayou

Salt Bay

Terrebonne Parish ... Trouble Bayou ... .

Names approved 12-4-56 L. Hack DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Motogrammetric Review Branch NONFLOATINGRAIDSKOR LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

XORBERDELEREDR TO BE CHARTED

Sampa Photogrammetric office

. 19 55 9 Junuary

fi. C. áprileguásik eg

I recommend that the following objects which have (harkingt) been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on (deligitalisticin) the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by R. Dogoott

						,		•	<b>-13</b>	90	Chief of Party.
STATE				<del>-</del>	POSITION			METHOD	,u C	THAI	ТЯАНЗ
	Lowstand		LAI	LATITUDE*	TONC	LONGITUDE*		LOCATION	DATE OF	10 380 08 CR	CHARTS
CHARTING	DESCRIPTION	SIGNAL	•	", D. M. METERS	•	" D. P. METERS	DATCM	BURVEY No.	BURVEY LOCATION	HSNI HSNI	
RADIO POUET	RANIO TOWN The Texas Co. Lake Pield Skeleton steel his 95 (202)		29 11	1260	\$ 00 \$	22,95 620	M.A.	n. Flot April	Apr <b>11</b> X	=	1050
		-									
			 	·   ·							
	-										
		•									-
								,			12
					_			·			*
	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE										

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by individual field survey sheets. Information under each column heading should be given.

Form 567 April 1945

50.

## PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

# T- 9871

1. Projection and gridsI_G_2. TitleI_G_3. Manuscript numbersI_G_4. Manuscript sizeI_G_ Unclassified
CONTROL STATIONS
5. Horizontal control stations of third-order or higher accuracy M.M.S. 6. Recoverable horizontal stations of less
than third-order accuracy (topographic stations)
9. Plotting of sextant fixes XX 10. Photogrammetric plot report 1.G. 11. Detail points J.G.
ALONGSHORE AREAS
(Nautical Chart Data)
12. Shoreline J.G. 13. Low-water line J.G. 14. Rocks, shoals, etc. J.G. 15. Bridges XX 16. Aids
to navigation XX17. Landmarks18. Other alongshore physical features1_19. Other along
shore cultural features J.G.
PHYSICAL FEATURES
20. Water features <u>J.G.</u> 21. Natural ground cover <u>J.G.</u> 22. Planetable contours <u>J.G.</u> 23. Stereoscopic
instrument contours XX 24. Contours in general J.G. 25. Spot elevations J.G. 26. Other physical
featuresI_G_
CULTURAL FEATURES
27. Roads XX 28. Buildings J.G. 29. Railroads XX 30. Other cultural features J.G.
BOUNDARIES
31. Boundary lines XX 32. Public land lines J.G.
MISCELLANEOUS
33. Geographic names <u>J.G.</u> 34. Junctions <u>J.G.</u> 35. Legibility of the manuscript <u>J.G.</u> 36. Discrepancy
overlay 37. Descriptive Report 38. Field inspection photographs 39. Forms 39.
40. Jesse Wiles william a Raoure
Cesze A. Giles Reviewer William Supervisor Review Section or Unit
41. Remarks (see attached sheet)
FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT
42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The
manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.
Compiler Supervisor
43. Remarks: M-2623-12

## REVIEW REPORT OF TOPOGRAPHIC MAP T-9871 December 1957

## 61.GENERAL STATEMENT

T-9871 was originally complied in 1955 from 1952 photography and 1953 field inspection. The manuscript was revised in 1957 to incorporate many changes made available by 1956 photography - without the benefit of field inspection or field edit.

## 62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

T-2752 1:20,000 1906 T-5290 1:20,000 1934

H-442, a hydrographic survey with extensive planimetry at a scale of 1:200,000 of 1853 was used in the comparison also.

Major differences between subject topographic survey and above-listed surveys are numerous additional canals on T-9871 in the "Dog Lake Oil Field" and "Four Isle Bay Oil and Gas Field" areas. There are other minor shoreline differences throughout. T-9871 will supersede all other topographic surveys for nautical charting purposes for common areas.

## 63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

DOG LAKE, LA., 1:31680, 1935, U. S. Geological Survey. Differences listed under item No. 62 were noted here also.

## 64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

There are no contemporary hydrographic surveys of this area.

## 65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

1274	1:80,000	Revised	ţo	57	9/16
1275	1:80,000	Revised	to	57	9/16
1050	1:175,000	Revised	to	57	2/25

## 65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS CONTINUED

Only partial application of the original manuscript (prior to 1957 revision) was made to nautical chart 1274. There are many changes, some of sufficient magnitude for consideration to be applied to the abovelisted nautical charts.

## 66: ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

As stated elsewhere in this report, the usual field inspection of the later photography and the field edit were not accomplished in this survey. However, a thorough examination was made of the photography used in the revision and it is believed to be within the requirements of accuracy and adequacy.

Reviewed by

Josef J. Streifler

Approved

Chief, Review & Drafting Section

Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Nautical Charts Branch, Charts Division

Chief, Coastal Surveys

## NAUTICAL CHARTS BRANCH

## SURVEY NO. T 9871

## Record of Application to Charts

DATE	CHART	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
6-29-56	1274	FBE	Before After Verification and Review Partial and
	+1850		- Company of the Comp
4/2/91	11.356	d. arkenses	Before After Verification and Review
			Considered Fully APPlied, No Further Correction
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
:			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			M-2168-1

M-2168-1

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.