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PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time (EST)</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56-W-219</td>
<td>5/1/56</td>
<td>0916</td>
<td>1:30,000</td>
<td>2.3' above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3' above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3' above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3' above MLW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide (III)

(Predicted tide tables)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of</th>
<th>Mean Range</th>
<th>Spring Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ranges</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference Station: Newport, R.I.
Subordinate Station: Nyatt Point, R.I.

Washington Office Review by (IV): S.C. BLANKENBAKER

Final Drafting by (IV):

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Proof Edit by (IV):

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 13
Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 5.5 mi
Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 6.5 mi
Control Leveling - Miles (II):
Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): 8 Recovered: 5 Identified: 2
Number of BMs searched for (II): None Recovered: Identified:
Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): None
Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): See item 38.

Remarks:

FIELD EDIT -
LIMITED FIELD EDIT BY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY PARTY.
NO CHANGES WERE MADE IN THE PHOTOMETRIC SURVEY DETAILS.

DATE: 1956

COMM-DC-57842
SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORTS
T-10477, T-10481, T-10482 and T-10483

Job PH-163 is a planimetric survey project comprised of thirty maps covering Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island-Massachusetts.

A complete field inspection preceded compilation. Limited field edit was accomplished in conjunction with contemporary hydrographic surveys. The project was bridged by multiplex and compiled by Kelsh plotter.

Refer to the accompanying addendum concerning adequacy and accuracy of the subject maps and recommendations regarding future surveys.

Cronaflex copies of the maps will be registered.
ADDENDUM TO SUMMARIES TO ACCOMPANY
JOB PH-163 MAPS T-10472 through T-10501
(ACCURACY AND FUTURE SURVEYS)

Most of the project maps were used in contemporary hydrographic survey operations. Four hydrographic surveys accomplished in the period of time between 1943 and 1955 cover the project area outside the areas of contemporary surveys.

The contemporary hydrographic surveys have been registered. With one exception they are classified "basic". Survey H-8367 is classified as "basic for charting only".

Considerable difficulty was experienced during smooth plotting and verification of some hydrographic surveys in using signals located by plane table methods. Many of the objects were identified on field photographs by the plane table party. Field identification of these objects was re-examined in the Baltimore Office, Compilation Unit. Some of the objects were relocated photogrammetrically and this revised information was furnished for use in smooth plotting.

The Norfolk Processing Office Addendum to Accompany Survey H-8316 mentions difficulties experienced when plotting sextant angles locating piles, piers, shoreline changes, etc. -- they were seldom in agreement with photogrammetric manuscript positions. The Washington office verifier was unable to adjust the subject information using the available hydrographic data. To assist in resolving the discrepancies, the Photogrammetry Division (Washington Office Review Group) rechecked signal locations on Maps T-10472, T-10473, T-10475 and T-10476. Fifty-seven signal locations and random portions of shoreline were revised by graphic methods using available field photographs that included field identified primary control and signals. This additional work is subject to error due to the condition of the photographs and the more limited use of project control; many discrepancies between the surveys, however, were resolved by using the revised information. No requests for similar rechecks were made by verifiers of other hydrographic surveys.

In part, the problems encountered in survey H-8316 (and H-8394) during hydrography and by verifiers can be attributed to the enlargement of these photogrammetric maps from 1:10,000 to 1:5,000 scale for use in hydro support. Similar problems on
other hydrographic surveys were attributed, in part, to incorrect transfer of signals, substandard plotting and use of weak sextant fixes.

Control for project bridging (multiplex) was classified "over abundant" (150 stations). While 25% of the stations were "difficult to see", only two stations were not held. Pass points between strips were averaged-adjustment less than 0.5 mm.

In addition to the previously mentioned supplemental work (relocation of signals and shoreline), two stereoplanigraph models were set to test horizontal map accuracy. The models covered parts of maps T-10472 and T-10473. A datum difference was found to exist between Bureau control and MGS and USGS control. Adjustment of these differences produced no appreciable shift in map details.

Rock information mapped on some of the photogrammetric surveys was incomplete as the result of poor photography inadequately supplemented by field inspection. The hydrographer located many rocks missed on the photogrammetric survey; and, in addition, the hydrographic survey reviewers found it necessary to bring forward considerable rock information without the benefit of verification by either the photogrammetric surveys or the contemporary hydrographic surveys.

These surveys have been used, in part, for nautical charting through both direct application of details and indirectly through contemporary hydrographic surveys. As previously mentioned, all but one of the contemporary hydrographic surveys have been registered as "basic surveys". Registration of these maps is recommended. Future use of the maps for hydro support purposes is not recommended due to the previously discussed problems that were encountered. Re-bridging by analytic aerotriangulation and new mapping with new color and infrared photography is recommended.

S. G. Blankenbaker

NOTE: POLITICAL BOUNDARIES—With the exception of the Mass.-Rhode Island State Line, none of the numerous mapped political boundaries are shown on modern charts. In consideration of the loss of some field photography, and requests by photogrammetric office reviewers for field verification of boundaries, it is recommended that the project maps not be considered sources for political boundaries (with the exception of the state line). See
FIELD INSPECTION REPORT
Project 25120
Map T-10477

Please refer to the Field Inspection Report for Map T-10472 for all data pertaining to this map.

Isaiah Y. Fitzgerald
Photogrammetric Engineer

Approved:

Ira R. Rubottom
Chief of Party

FIELD PHOTOGRAPH NUMBERS —
56W 218, 219, 220, 249
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR ( \phi ) -COORDINATE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE OR ( \lambda ) -COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DATUM CORRECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FORWARD (BACK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FORWARD (BACK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M68R MGS</td>
<td>C of E</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>22.819</td>
<td>704.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1147.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>411.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>974.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M68Q MGS</td>
<td>A 35</td>
<td></td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>15.895</td>
<td>490.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1360.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1299.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M68T MGS</td>
<td>A 37</td>
<td></td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>57.260</td>
<td>1766.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1766.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>352.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1033.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REHOBOTH-SEEKONK-</td>
<td>Mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>29.213</td>
<td>901.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWANSEA COR.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>919.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(REHOBOTH CORNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1890, p. 642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>585.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REHOBOTH CORNER</td>
<td>Mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>28.93</td>
<td>892.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 1890, p. 642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>958.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1323.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Sta. M68T MGS</td>
<td>Comp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>1827.7</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>352.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1032.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Sta. M68Q MGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>514.9</td>
<td>1336.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1329.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 FT. = 30.48006 METER
COMPUTED BY: J. C. Richter DATE: 26 July 1957
CHECKED BY: J. C. Cregan DATE: 6 August 1957
COMPILATION REPORT
T-10477

Refer to Descriptive Report T-10472 for the photogrammetric plot report.

31. **DELINEATION**

The Kelsh plotter was used to compile this manuscript.

32. **CONTROL**

The identification, density and placement of horizontal control was adequate.

33. **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

Graphic Control Sheet Ph-1-56-D N/2 for photo-hydro station comparison.

34. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

No contours on manuscript.

All visible drainage delineated.

35. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS**

Shoreline inspection adequate. No low water or shoal lines shown on this manuscript.

36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

None on this manuscript.

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

None.
38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS

Refer to the attached notes regarding the photo-hydro stations in the area of the survey and also to the "Descriptive Report to accompany Graphic Control Survey Sheets Ph-l-A-56 through Ph-l-N-56" submitted for this project.

No recoverable topographic stations were established.

39. JUNCTIONS

Junctions have been made and are in agreement with T-10483 to the south and T-10476 to the west. There are no contemporary surveys to the north and to the east.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY

No comment.

41. BOUNDARIES

The location of the SEEKONK-REHOBOTH boundary line was determined by computing the approximate azimuth between REHOBOTH-SEEKONK-SWANSEA COR., 1890 and SEEKONK-REHOBOTH COR.-ATTLEBORO LIMESTONE, 1889.

A similar computation was required between REHOBOTH CORNER 1, 1890 and REHOBOTH CORNER 10, 1890 to plot the boundary line between REHOBOTH AND SWANSEA townships.

The Mass.-R.I. state line was plotted from state line monuments identified by field inspection.

42 thru 45 - Inapplicable.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS


Chart No. 353, Narragansett Bay, scale 1:40,000, edition of March 1958, corrected to 3/22/58.

Items to be applied to nautical charts immediately: None.
Items to be carried forward: None.

Respectfully submitted
24 November 1959

R. Glaser
Carto. (Photo.)

Approved and forwarded

William E. Randall
CDR, C&GS
Baltimore District Officer
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW
T-10477

1. Projection and grids
   2. Title
   3. Manuscript numbers
   4. Manuscript size

CONTROL STATIONS
5. Horizontal control stations of third-order or higher accuracy
   6. Recoverable horizontal stations of less
      than third-order accuracy (topographic stations)
   7. Photo hydro stations
   8. Bench marks
   9. Plotting of sextant fixes
   10. Photogrammetric plot report
   11. Detail points

ALONGSHORE AREAS
(Nautical Chart Data)
12. Shoreline
   13. Low-water line
   14. Rocks, shoals, etc.
   15. Bridges
   16. Aids to navigation
   17. Landmarks
   18. Other alongshore physical features
   19. Other alongshore cultural features

PHYSICAL FEATURES
20. Water features
21. Natural ground cover
22. Planetary contours
23. Stereoscopic instrument contours
24. Contours in general
25. Spot elevations
26. Other physical features

CULTURAL FEATURES
27. Roads
28. Buildings
29. Railroads
30. Other cultural features

BOUNDARIES
31. Boundary lines
32. Public land lines

MISCELLANEOUS
33. Geographic names
34. Junctions
35. Legibility of the manuscript
36. Discrepancy overlay
37. Descriptive Report
38. Field inspection photographs
39. Forms

Reviewer

Supervisor, Review Section or Unit

41. Remarks (see attached sheet)

FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT
42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

Compiler

Supervisor

43. Remarks:
REVIEW REPORT
Planimetric Maps
T-10477, T-10481, T-10482 and T-10483
November 1966

61. General Statement

These surveys provided, in part, hydrographic support data for surveys H-8313, 8314 and 8396. Changes in photogrammetric survey details, shown in red on the hydrographic surveys, were applied to the subject maps during this review.

62. thru 65. Comparisons

All prior Bureau topographic information (topographic and hydrographic surveys - and the subject maps) located in the alongshore area was evaluated by hydrographic survey parties and/or verifiers. Prior Bureau surveys were not compared with the new maps during the subject review.

Comparison was made with contemporary hydrographic surveys (refer to side headings 61 and 66, the Summary and its addendum).

Comparison with nautical charts and maps of other agencies were made by photogrammetric compilers. A number of discrepancies - involving features (school and street names and boundaries) not applicable to either hydrographic surveys or modern charts - between these surveys and USGS quadrangles were noted on discrepancy prints. These discrepancies can be disposed of only through a field check. The compilation report for project map T-10475 contains a general discussion of boundary discrepancies.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys

Hydrographic survey verifiers experienced considerable difficulty in adjusting hydrography (H-8396) and in mapping rock information. Some plane table signal positions were corrected by photogrammetric methods prior to completion of smooth sheet plotting. Refer to the Summary and its addendum included in the Descriptive Report concerning the adequacy of results and future surveys.

Reviewed by:

S. G. Blankenbaker

Approved by:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch

Chief, Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Marine Chart Division

JAN 80 1968
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES
FINAL NAME SHEET
PH-163 (Rhode Island)
T-10477

-Barrington
-Birch Swamp Corner
-Clear Run Brook
-Devils Rock
-Health Brook
-Hundred Acre Cove
-Margaret's Rock
-New Meadow Neck
-North Swansea
-Oak Swamp Stream
-Palmer River
-Rehoboth
-Rocky Run
-Seekonk
-Shoe Factory Pond
-Swansea
-The Tongue
-Torrey Creek
-Warren
-Warren River

Approved by:

A. Joseph Wright
Chief Geographer

Prepared by:

Frank W. Pickett
Cartographic Technician
The map manuscript was compared with copies of Graphic Control sheet Ph-1-56-D N/2, scale 1:10,000, projects 13870 and 25120. Those photo-hydro stations that agree within 0.5 mm were removed from the map manuscript. The following is a list of photo-hydro stations, indicating how far and in what direction the photogrammetric position falls from the common point on the graphic control sheet. All photo-hydro stations that fall within the limits of this survey were identified in the stereoscopic models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION NAME</th>
<th>PHOTOGRAMMETRIC POSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>0.8 mm N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAT</td>
<td>1.4 mm S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIG</td>
<td>0.8 mm NNW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOB</td>
<td>0.8 mm NW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVI</td>
<td>2.3 mm N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUB</td>
<td>0.9 mm ESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>0.6 mm W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is recommended that the photo-hydro stations plotted on the map manuscript be used in making the smooth sheets.

Respectfully submitted
5 September 1958

Leroy A. Senasack
Carto. Photo. Aid

Approved and Forwarded

William F. Deane
CDR C&GS
Baltimore District Office
**INSTRUCTIONS**

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.
2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.
3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHART</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CARTOGRAPHER</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td>8-14-69</td>
<td>Oscar Olguino</td>
<td>Part I Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No. 25 N.O.C. Iny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>12-16-70</td>
<td>H. Danley</td>
<td>Part I Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td>2-16-72</td>
<td>W. Clendenon</td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>352</td>
<td>6-18-71</td>
<td>W. Clendenon</td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>