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### Descriptive Report - Data Record

**Camera (Kind or Source) (III):**

### Photographs (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9692 to 9697</td>
<td>28 Feb 60</td>
<td>0950 + 0954</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>.85 ft. above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9701 to 02 &amp; 9706 to 07</td>
<td>28 Feb 60</td>
<td>0955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9812 to 9815</td>
<td>28 Feb 60</td>
<td>1650 - 1653</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9901 to 03</td>
<td>28 Feb 60</td>
<td>068 to 073</td>
<td>6 Mar 60</td>
<td>0950 - 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001+0010 &amp; 014 to 020</td>
<td>8 Mar 60</td>
<td>0845 - 0848</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infra-red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(list)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infra-red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>00.1 above MLW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tide (III):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of Ranges</th>
<th>Mean Range</th>
<th>Spring Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key West Fla.</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Channel</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference Station:**

- Key West Fla.

**Subordinate Station:**

- Northwest Channel

**Washington Office Review by (IV):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Proof Edit by (IV):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Number of Triangulation Stations Searched for (II):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>Identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Number of BM(s) Searched for (II):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>Identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Number of Recoverable Photostations Established (III):**

**Number of Temporary Photostations Established (III):**

**Remarks:**

...
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT
PROJECT PH-6003

21. Area Covered

T-11202, T-11204 through T-11207, T-11250 through T-11252.

22. Method

Three stereoplanigraph bridges were run in order to establish pass points for use in Kelsh compilation, and for hydro-support purposes. All three bridges were adjusted by IBM methods.

Because of a scarcity of land area in the models, relative orientation was an uncertain process, and firm elevation settings could not be made. Hence, the entire aerotriangulation procedure must be regarded as lacking the high standard of reliability that is normally attainable.

A summary of the adjustment procedure:

Strip 1: This bridge is based on Station SOUMUD, 1934 - SUB. STA. 2; Station BAY KEY, 1934 - SUB. STA.; and Station CALDA CHANNEL LT. NO. 1, 1960. On the initial adjustment SOUMUD, 1934 - SUB. STA. I missed its true position by a few hundred feet. It was then determined that the field man had mis-identified both sub stations of station SOUMUD, placing them in a cove adjacent to the one in which they actually were located. The sub. stations were reidentified and a new adjustment was performed referencing to Sub. Station 2, the better identification. Sub. Station 1 was missed approximately 40 ft. (65 mm at 1:20,000) in this adjustment but another feature which closely matched the sketch would have held satisfactorily.

Strip 2: Originally adjusted to four stations:
Station ROCK PT. 3, 1934 - SUB. STA.;
Station KEY WEST NAVAL RADIO MAST, MIDDLE
1917; Station WESTCRAW, 1934 - SUB. STA.
2; and Station PASS, 1911 - SUB. STA 2. On this adjustment Station PASS, 1911 - SUB. STA, I missed its true position by about 150 ft. (2.5 mm at 1:20,000). A critical examination of the field data disclosed that a faulty starting azimuth resulted in an erroneous field-positioning of the sub. stations for Station PASS, 1911.

Due to the absence of an alternate station for use as the westernmost adjustment point in lieu of Station PASS, the plane coordinate positions of three photo-identifiable points were scaled from map manuscript T-8489 at 1:20,000 scale. The machine coordinate readings for these points were observed, and appended to the bridging notes. A final IBM adjustment was performed, using all three of the map-scaled points in lieu of Station PASS sub. stations. The three easternmost adjustment points were the same as on the initial run. This solution resulted in a lessened, though still excessive, bow error.

ECLAT, 1960 and COTTRELL KEY 2, 1934, and their respective substitute stations, all of which had been designated at the time of bridging as being points of extremely poor image quality, all missed their true ground positions by large amounts. The three map-scaled points missed their scaled positions by 22 ft., 34 ft., and 36 ft. (.3 mm, .5 mm, and .6 mm, respectively at 1:20,000 scale). This does not seem excessive as they were not well defined map points.

For reasons cited above, the resulting accuracy of this bridge is probably less than standard. However, it should suffice for hydro support at 1:20,000 scale.

Strip 12W: Owing to the almost total absence of any land area or visible underwater features in the Boca Grande channel, photos 60 S 125-429 were run as a separate entity rather than as an integral part of the main strip 12, and were designated as strip 12 W.
Strip 12W cont.

Adjustment was referenced to four stations namely Station SAW, 1911 - SUB. STA. 2; Station SOUTH, 1911 - SUB. STA. 2; Station FLAT, 1960 - SUB. STA. 1; and Station DEEP, 1960. All control held well.

23. Adequacy of Control

Refer to the side heading 22 above. The control identification complied with project instructions. Except as discussed above for Strip 12E, control was adequate for a usual type of photogrammetric problem. Here the resulting accuracy is probably less than usual.

24. Supplemental Data

Topographic Survey T-3489 (1:20,000; 1943) was used as stated in side heading 22.

25. Photography

The photography used in bridging did not retain the high degree of tonal gradation and resolution that was present in the original color photography. This and the lack of well-defined land features made relative orientation difficult and uncertain. Coverage was adequate.

Sketch and List of Control: Attached.

Submitted by:

Robert E. Feuchsel

Approved:

Everett H. Ramsey, Chief
Aerotriangulation Section
NOTES TO THE COMPILER

Holes were drilled in the emulsion on the plates with the Wild PUG Point Transfer Device. In many instances, a lack of congruity between corresponding drill holes can be noticed. This is traceable to the above-mentioned side heading 22, scarcity of land area, which results in an insufficiency of texture and tonal differentiation, hence making the determination of depth difficult if not impossible. This causes the point-transfer process to be unreliable. In all cases, the point number assigned to a drill hole is derived from the photo number on the plate on which the drill hole appears. The locations of the drill-hole points have been circled on the contact prints.
The purpose of this project is to furnish base shoreline manuscripts and ratioed panchromatic photographs to the field for photo-hydro support.

The bridging was accomplished with 1:40,000 scale color photography. As photo-hydro support was to be achieved with panchromatic photography, common pass points with the bridging photography was a necessity.

Orienting the drilled bridge plates on the Wild B-8 stereoplottter and scaling to the plotted bridge points on the manuscript base, pass points common to the panchromatic photos were positioned on to the manuscript from the instrument.

The contact panchromatic prints were ratioed to these points and the ratio prints were resected on to the manuscript for photo-hydro support.

31. Delineation

The delineation was accomplished by graphic methods utilizing ratioed panchromatic photos. These photographs were printed on cromaque and the centers and pass points were identified on these prints.

Ratioed infra-red photography flown at low water was used for the delineation of the low-water line.

32. Control

See Photogrammetric Plot Report

33. Supplemental Data

See Item 47

34. Contours and Drainage

Inapplicable
35. Shoreline and Alongshore Details

Delineation was from office interpretation of the photog-raphy, utilizing tide data.

36. Offshore Details

No unusual problems were encountered in detailing the MLWL and shallow areas existing on these manuscripts.

37. Landmarks and Aids

Inapplicable

38. Junctions

Satisfactory junctions were made with adjoining sheets (see enclosed layout sketch)

40. Horizontal and vertical accuracy

See Photogrammetric Plot Report

41-45. (Inapplicable)

46. Comparison with existing maps

See item 47

47. Comparison with existing charts

Comparison was made with Nautical charts 1351 and 1352. Chart No. 1351 was revised 8-17-59, scale 1:80,000 and Chart No. 1352 was revised 5-2-60, scale 1:80,000. These charts were of reference value in verifying office interpretation of shoal and shallow areas prominent in the area.
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[Signature]

Henri Lucas

Approved by:

[Signature]

J. P. Battley, Jr.