**DESCRIPTIVE REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Type of Survey</strong></th>
<th>Shoreline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field No.</strong></td>
<td>Ph-142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office No.</strong></td>
<td>X-11434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCALITY**

- **State**: Rhode Island
- **General locality**: Rhode Island Sound
- **Locality**: Stony Point to Sachuest Point
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L.F. Woodcock, Chief of Party
W.F. Deane, Balto. District Office
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Project No. (II): Ph-142
Quadrangle Name (IV):

Chief of Party: L. F. Woodcock
Photogrammetric Office (III): Baltimore, Maryland
Officer-in-Charge: William F. Deane

Instructions dated (II) (III): 18 August 1954
8 June 1954 & 15 Sept. 1955
Copy filed in Division of Photogrammetry (IV)

Method of Compilation (III): Kelsh Plotter
Manuscript Scale (III): 1:10,000
Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III): 1:4,000; 1:6,000
Scale Factor (III): 1,000
(Fantograph ratio 2/5; 3/5)
Date received in Washington Office (IV): 14 SEP 1959
Date reported to Nautical Chart Branch (IV):
Applied to Chart No.
Date:
Publication Scale (IV):
Date registered (IV): 30 Aug 1960
Publication date (IV):
Geographic Datum (III): NA 1927
Vertical Datum (III): MHW
Mean sea level except as follows:
Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water
Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum
i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water
Reference Station (III): Sakonnet, 1932
Lat.: 41° 27' 37.129" (1145.4 m) Long.: 71° 11' 22.621" (525.0 m)
Adjusted
Plane Coordinates (IV):
State: Rhode Island
Zone: ---
Y =
X =

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (II) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office,
or (IV) Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.
Field Inspection by (II): M. A. Stewart  
I. Y. Fitzgerald  
W. M. Reynolds  

Date: July 1954  
July 1954  
June-July 1954  

Planetable contouring by (II):  

Date:  

Completion Surveys by (II):  

Date:  

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location):  
1 May 1956, Photogrammetric (Ralph)  

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV): Austin Riley  
Date: 10/8/54  

Projection and Grids checked by (IV): Austin Riley  
Date: 10/8/54  

Control plotted by (III): J. B. McDonald  
Date: 7/21/55  

Control checked by (III): M. Keller  
Date: 7/27/55  

Radial Plot or Stereoscopic  
Control extension by (III):  

Planimetry J. C. Cregan  
E. L. Rolle  
Date: 9/25/51  

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III):  

Manuscript delineated by (III): J. H. Glassner  
(Scribing)  
Date: 6/1/59  

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): J. D. McEvoy  
Date: 10/2/57  

Elevations on Manuscript checked by (II) (III):  

Date:  
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M-2616-12(4)
Camera (kind or source) (III):  C&GS Type "W", 6" focal length, and nine-lens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time E.S.T.</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5h-4th938</td>
<td>4/22/54</td>
<td>11:12</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>2.3 above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5h-4th1160</td>
<td>5/4/54</td>
<td>13:32</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5h-4th1175</td>
<td>5/15/54</td>
<td>17:52</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5h-4th1184</td>
<td>5/15/54</td>
<td>17:55</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-5h-325</td>
<td>5/1/56</td>
<td>12:20</td>
<td>1:30,000</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269 thru 270</td>
<td>5/1/56</td>
<td>09:50</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436h9 thru 43651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>MLW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide (III)

(From Predicted Tables)

Reference Station: Newport, R. I.
Subordinate Station: Sakonnet

Washington Office Review by (IV):

Final Drafting by (IV):

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Proof Edit by (IV):

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 8
Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 17
Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): --
Control Leveling - Miles (II):
Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): 15
Recovered: 11
Identified: 11
Number of BM's searched for (II):
Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): 3
Recovered: 3
Identified: 3
Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): None.

Remarks:

Form T: Page 4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHEET NO.</th>
<th>SHORELINE</th>
<th>SQ. MILES</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>LIN. MI.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11428</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11447</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11429</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11448</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11430</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11449</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11431</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11450</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11432</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11451</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11433</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11452</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11434</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11435</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11436</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11437</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11438</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11439</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11440</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11441</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTALS 450 308
2. **AREAL FIELD INSPECTION**

This survey lies astride the mouth of the Sakonnet River. It covers the land area of Sakonnet and Sachuest Points.

For a description of the area see Field Inspection Report for T-11430.

Field inspection is believed to be adequate and complete.

Photography was of good quality, of a recent date, and presented no difficulty in photographic interpretation.

Field inspection was performed on 1:10,000 scale ratio prints of single lens photographs 54-W-939 and 54-W-940, 54-W-1175 through 54-W-1177, 54-W-1182 through 54-W-1186, and 1:10,000 scale prints of nine-lens photographs 43649 through 43651.

3. **HORIZONTAL CONTROL**

All horizontal control searched for and identified was established by the Coast and Geodetic Survey.

No supplemental control was established.

Descriptions of seventeen Bureau stations established in 1943 were not available to the field party. However, these stations were closely grouped in two separate areas with recovery and identification being made of previously established stations adjacent to each of the groups of 1943 stations.

The following stations were reported lost: SACHUEST NECK, CHIMNEY ON SHACK 1917; NORTH SILO 1917; NORTH GABLE, SOUTH END OF LONG SHACK 1917; SACHUEST POINT TOWER 1943; GOLF CLUB FLAG POLE 1917; DR. GARDNERS HOUSE, CHIMNEY 1917; MILL 1934; SIMMONS 1843; and SIMMONS 2 (RI) 1870.

4. **VERTICAL CONTROL**

There are no tidal bench marks in the area.

5. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

Contours inapplicable.

Drainage is adequately covered by the photographs.
SUMMARY
PROJECT PN: 152
TWENTY-FOUR

This project consists of 3 3/4' X 7 1/4', 1:10,000 scale shoreline maps. Three manuscripts T-11444, T-11448, and T-11449 were compiled by the Tampa District Office. The remainder were compiled by the Baltimore District Office.

The objective of the project was to provide shoreline and horizontal control data for contemporary hydrographic surveys and base maps for nautical charts.

It extends from the New Bedford, Connecticut area west to Old Saybrook along Block Island Sound and includes parts of Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut.

Aerial photography was taken in the spring of 1954 with the "w" camera at 1:20,000 scale and supplemental nine-lens at 1:10,000 at low water. Some additional photography was flown in May 1956 for revision purposes.

Control was extended by stereoplanigraph and multiplex methods. Compilation was accomplished by Kelsh.

More stations were identified than necessary for this project. This was due to the fact that the original intentions were to extend horizontal control by radial line plot methods. Subsequent purchase of an additional first order bridging instrument reduced the need for the density of control. This item is the subject of supplemental instructions dated 15 September 1955, Paragraph 5. The field phase of control identification was initiated in June 1954.

The project is classified as Shoreline yet instructions to the field dated 8 June 1954, Paragraph 9 "Interior Inspection" states "the inland limits of inspection and delineation are the map limits".
Five contemporary hydrographic surveys dated 1956-57 have been completed in this area by visual hydrographic methods.

All sheets were scribed and transmitted to the Washington Office by

Final Review was completed by April 1960.

Submitted by:

A. K. Heywood
6. **WOODLAND COVER**

   Adequately covered by the photographs.

7. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE FEATURES**

   The mean high water line was easily identified. It has been indicated by symbol on the photographs.

   The area was visited and the low water line inspected at the time of two low waters. The approximate mean low water line has been indicated by symbol.

   The foreshore is predominantly narrow and rocky. There are a few short stretches of steep sandy beaches chiefly across truncated bays. The foreshore along these sand beaches is relatively narrow and, of course, is sand.

   All other shoreline features are adequately covered by the photographs.

8. **OFFSHORE FEATURES**

   There are a number of rocks off Sakonnet Point. Most of these rocks are of a large size and will carry a mean high water line. The mean low water line in most instances will be synonymous with the mean high water line due to the steep slopes. Heights above water of these rocks were not determined because of rough water and small open skiffs.

   There are no other offshore features.

9. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

   One landmark, SILO, was recommended for charting. Form 567 was submitted.

   Two aids to navigation exist. They are adequately covered by the photographs and Form 567.

10. **BOUNDARIES, MONUMENTS AND LINES**

    There are none.

11. **OTHER CONTROL**

    * Photo-hydro stations were selected and identified.

12. **OTHER INTERIOR FEATURES**

    Adequately covered by the photographs.
13. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

See "Special Report, Geographic Names, Project Ph-142."

14. SPECIAL REPORTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Special Report, Geographic Names, Project Ph-142, to be forwarded at a later date.

Letter of Transmittal No. Ph-142-3, Form 567, Fixed Aids to Navigation, to be forwarded at a later date.

Letter of Transmittal No. Ph-142-4, Form 567, Landmarks for Charts, to be forwarded at a later date.


Submitted
6 August 1954

M. A. Stewart
Carto, Survey Aid

Approved & Forwarded
Aug 6 1954

Lorin F. Woodcock
Chief of Party
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR Y-COORDINATE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE OR X-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)</th>
<th>DATUM CONNECTION FORWARD (BACK)</th>
<th>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Chimney 1934</td>
<td>1/56 502/4</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41 29 16.464</td>
<td>71.08 45.421</td>
<td>507.9 1343.1</td>
<td>1851.0 Pricked direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Rock 1934</td>
<td>1/56 502/3</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41 28 25.800</td>
<td>71.09 20.053</td>
<td>795.9 1055.1</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS Big Rock 1934</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>41 28 90</td>
<td>71.09 90</td>
<td>465.3 926.9</td>
<td>1392.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaple 1954</td>
<td>Make Topo card</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pricked direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheatham's House</td>
<td>1/99 502/3</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>41 29 47.857</td>
<td>71.11 49.483</td>
<td>1476.4 374.6</td>
<td>1851.0 Pricked direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Gable</td>
<td>1/55 502/2</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td>41 27 36.663</td>
<td>71.14 53.538</td>
<td>1124.9 726.1</td>
<td>1851.0 Pricked direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor 2 1934</td>
<td>1/55 502/2</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>41 27 04.118</td>
<td>71.11 38.448</td>
<td>1242.3 150.2</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Rock, 1843</td>
<td>1/55 502/1</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td>41 27 04.118</td>
<td>71.11 38.448</td>
<td>127.0 1724.0</td>
<td>1392.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS East Rock</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>41 27 04.118</td>
<td>71.11 38.448</td>
<td>892.5 500.2</td>
<td>1392.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range, 1943</td>
<td>1/90 502/12</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>41 28 29.045</td>
<td>71.14 45.383</td>
<td>896.0 955.0</td>
<td>1392.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich (USE), 1940</td>
<td>1/149 502/12</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>41 28 29.593</td>
<td>71.10 32.135</td>
<td>1053.0 339.2</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS Rich (USE)</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>Reject - Field Data inconsistent</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>745.6 646.6</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 FT. = 0.3048006 METER

COMPUTED BY: J. E. McDonald DATE: 27 July 1955
CHECKED BY: M. Keller DATE: 27 July 1955
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>DATUM YEAR</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR ( y )-COORDINATE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE OR ( x )-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>DATUM CORRECTION</th>
<th>N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sakonnet, 1932</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td>27.37129</td>
<td>1145.4</td>
<td>705.6</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>502/1-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.11</td>
<td>22.621</td>
<td>525.0</td>
<td>867.5</td>
<td>1392.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS Sakonnet, 1932</td>
<td></td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>1050.9</td>
<td>800.1</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>519.4</td>
<td>873.1</td>
<td>1392.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakonnet Harbor Light, 1934</td>
<td>1/56</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td>56.685</td>
<td>1748.7</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>502/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.11</td>
<td>47.597</td>
<td>1104.5</td>
<td>287.9</td>
<td>1392.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakonnet Lighthouse 1897</td>
<td>1/55</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td>10.857</td>
<td>334.9</td>
<td>1516.1</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>502/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.12</td>
<td>10.606</td>
<td>246.2</td>
<td>1146.4</td>
<td>1392.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sachuest (USE) 1940</td>
<td>1/149</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.28</td>
<td>37.352</td>
<td>1152.3</td>
<td>698.7</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>502/12</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.14</td>
<td>29.406</td>
<td>682.3</td>
<td>709.9</td>
<td>1392.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silo, 1954 (LDME)</td>
<td>Make Topo card</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren (RI) 1934</td>
<td>4/23</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td>40.438</td>
<td>1247.5</td>
<td>603.5</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>502/1-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.10</td>
<td>21.655</td>
<td>502.6</td>
<td>889.9</td>
<td>1392.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S. Warren 1934</td>
<td></td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>1328.1</td>
<td>582.9</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>520.1</td>
<td>872.4</td>
<td>1392.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Barn Cupola, 1917</td>
<td>1/100</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td>50.651</td>
<td>1562.6</td>
<td>288.4</td>
<td>1851.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>502/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.10</td>
<td>26.887</td>
<td>624.0</td>
<td>768.5</td>
<td>1392.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Photogrammetric Plot Report:
Models were held to horizontal control points and passpoints from Washington Office (stereoplanigraph) bridging.

31. **DELINEATION**

The Kelsh plotter was used for delineation on vinylite projection.

Field inspection was good.

Photographs taken in 1956 showing extent of hurricane damage have been received and changes have been made graphically. (425 through 427, 266 through 270, 235 through 237 and 380.

32. **CONTROL**

Horizontal control was adequate. Vertical control is inapplicable.

33. **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

None.

34. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

Drainage is complete. Contours are inapplicable.

35. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS**

All shoreline details are from field inspection which was thorough. Photographs taken in 1956 used for comparison and changes have been made.

Low water lines are based on field inspection from nine-lens photographs 43649 through 43651.

36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

Notes to hydrographer were submitted 3/30/56.

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

Forms 567 were submitted for one landmark, established by Kelsh Plotter, and one aid.
38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS

The positions of three (3) recoverable topographic stations have been established by Kelsch Flotter and shown on the survey. No Forms 524 are submitted.

39. JUNCTIONS

Junctions have been made as follows:
To the east with T-11435.
To the west with T-11433.
To the north with T-11430.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY

No comment necessary.

41. through 45. - Inapplicable.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS


47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

Chart No. 353, scale 1:40,000, published 5/26/52, revised 9/55.

Items to be applied to nautical charts immediately: None.

Items to be carried forward: None.

Respectfully submitted
2 October 1957

J. D. McEvoy
Carto. (Photo.)

Approved and forwarded

William T. Deane
CDR, CWS
Baltimore District Officer
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43. Remarks:
48. GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST

Aquidneck Island
Awashonks Pond

Breakwater Point
Briggs Beach
Briggs Point
Brownell Road

Church Cove
Church Point
Cormorant Reef
Cormorant Rock
Collymeugh Rocks
Cutty Wow Rock

Dolphin Rock
Dundery Brook

East Island
Elisha Ledge

Flint Point
Flint Point Ledge

Halfway Rocks
Island Rocks

Long Pond
Old Bull
Quicksand Pond

*Rhode Island Sound
Round Pond

Sachuest
Sachuest Bay
Sachuest Beach
Sachuest Point
Sakonnet
Sakonnet Harbor
*Sakonnet Point
*Sakonnet River
*Schuyler Ledge
Ship Pond Cove
Sisson Brook
Stony Point
Third Beach
Tunipus Beach
Tunipus Pond

Warren Point
Watch House Pond
West Island
Wilbour Woods
Woods Castle

* B.G.N. Decisions

GEOPHYSICAL NAMES SECTION
29 MARCH 1960
# NONFLOATING AIDS OR LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

I recommend that the following objects which have (have not) been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by [Signature]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>RHODE ISLAND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARTING NAME</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>Sakonnet Breakwater Light ( △) Sakonnet Harbor Light, 1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY NO.</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>CHARTS AFFECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41 27</td>
<td>71 11</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>Triang. R-11434</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td>353, 1210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if reetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY  
NONFLOATING/ADJACENT LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS  

TO BE CHARTED  

Baltimore, Maryland  
March 21, 1956  

I recommend that the following objects which have (have not) been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.  
The positions given have been checked after listing by Henry P. Eichert  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>RHODE ISLAND</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARTING NAME</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>SIGNAL NAME</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SILO | Concrete, ht= 34 (60)  
(C silo, 1951) |  |
|            |              |  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY NO.</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>CHARTS AFFECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41 28</td>
<td>91 14</td>
<td>103 14 1927</td>
<td>ALF Photo.</td>
<td>T-1143 1951</td>
<td>x 359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation if redetermined shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by
62. **Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183 bis</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1161</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3678</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5601</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5602</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6118 graphic</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td></td>
<td>1934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

63. **Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies**

U.S.G.S. Sakonnet Point, R.I. 31,680

64. **Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys**

The manuscript was compared with the unverified smooth sheet H-8366. As such, many of the following differences may be resolved as a result of verification.

This smooth sheet covers about half of the manuscript area. The portion east of Sakonnet River has no contemporary survey.

The photographs involved and their stages of tide at time of exposure are as follows:

43648 thru 43651 - @ MLW
54-W-117 thru 54-W-1177 - @ 6' above MLW
54-W-936 thru 54-W-939 - @ 2.3' above MLW

The heights above datum of the rocks in the vicinity of Sakonnet Point were not given by field inspection due to "rough water and small open skiffs". It is recommended that where necessary, heights be taken from survey T-5601 (1936).

For the convenience of the hydrographic reviewer and the user of this portion of the review report, letter size copies of certain portions of the manuscript, where discrepancies exist, follow this page.

These copies are on ozalid paper with each discrepancy appropriately numbered and keyed to the following listing:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Changed during review from rocks awash to small isles. Shown on T-5601 as isles 5' above MHW.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rock awash or sunken rock. Either separate features or same feature with difference in position and symbolization.</td>
<td>Manuscript position checked during review. Not shown on old survey T-5601 (1934). Feature inspected on 54-W-1175 as rock. Hydro position 15' S.E. Position by sextant fix. Shown as sunken rock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Either separate features or same feature with difference in position.</td>
<td>Manuscript position checked during review. Position agrees with T-5601 (1934).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not verified on hydro sheet.</td>
<td>Feature office interpreted. Shown on T-3649 only. Agrees in interpretation by analogy with rocks field inspected on this photo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Same as 2</td>
<td>Same as 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Same as 4</td>
<td>Same as 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shown awash on manuscript. Shown on hydro as sunken.</td>
<td>Office inspected. Not on T-5601 (1934)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Position of only one shown on hydro sheet.</td>
<td>Field inspected on 54-W-1175. Both are evident also on T-3649. Most westerly shown on T-5601.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Not shown on hydro sheet.</td>
<td>Rock awash. Office inspected. Evident on both 54-W-1175 and T-3649.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>DIFFERENCE</td>
<td>COMMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Manuscript shows rock awash symbol. Hydro sheet shows sunken rock about 15' S. of manuscript position.</td>
<td>Position checked during review using 54-W-1176. Rock is also shown on T-5601 (1936) but W. about 13'.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Not shown on hydro sheet.</td>
<td>Rock is very definite on 54-W-1176-77 and 43649. No field inspection on datum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Difference in position and elevation between topo survey and hydro survey.</td>
<td>Checked hydro sextant position during review. Found to be in error. Replotted, now agrees with topo position. Elevation of hydro sheet will be used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
65. **Comparison with Nautical Charts**

353 1:40,000 19th Edition 10/58 1/25/60

Two offshore rocks at approximate Latitude 41°27'52" and Longitude 71°10'00" could not be seen on any of the available photography, the nine-lens of which was taken at low water.

These rocks are not to be found on T-5601 (1934) nor Graphic Control Survey 6118 (1934).

Not any of the submerged rocks shown on the chart are delineated on the manuscript.

Inshore rocks along the extent of Tunipus Beach are not shown on the manuscript due to poor photography i.e. sunspots, clouds, wave action.

Two rocks at approximate respective Latitudes 41°27'25", 41°27'30" and Longitudes 71°10'33", 71°10'31" are not delineated on the manuscript. They cannot be seen on the latest photography nor are they shown on T-5601 (1934) or Graphic Control Survey 6118 (1934).

66. **Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys**

Much of the offshore detail was revised or delineated during final review. This was necessary in part to incomplete field inspection and in part to incomplete or errorneous office delineation.

Considerable time was expended in this effort and a careful study was made of all available photographs and previous topographic surveys before additions or deletions were made.

The field inspection was incomplete in the following ways:

1. No inspection of offshore detail could be found of the area from Sakonnet Point north to the sheet limits.

2. Photograph 59-W-939 was chosen by the inspector for inspection of foreshore area. This photograph is cloudy, taken at 2.6' above MLW when a very sharp nine-lens over this area was available at exactly MLW. Use of the available photography was covered by project instructions.
Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys Continued

3. The above is true also of photo 5h-W-1182 except it is not cloudy but of poor clarity.

4. Heights above water were not given on any of the offshore rocks. The field inspection report item 8 mentions that the heights of rocks in the area off Sakonnet Point were not given due to "rough water and small open skiffs". No mention is made of other areas.

5. Field inspection report item 7 says "the low water line was inspected at time of two low waters"; yet, much of the area no low water line is given.

The office delineation was erroneous or incomplete in the following ways:

1. Many images were office interpreted as rocks when they appeared on one photograph only. A check of several photos and use of copies of T-5601 (1934), T-5602 (1934) would have substantiated the image as a probable rock.

2. Foul lines were taken from field inspected photographs when the field inspected photograph was obviously in error.

3. Many offshore rocks were added during review. All of these rocks were readily apparent on the photographs, particularly the nine-lens prints. They also were confirmed by reference to previous surveys.

It is believed that the reviewed manuscript when coupled with the contemporary hydrographic survey will present an adequate and complete representation of offshore detail. A field edit is not recommended.

The survey in all other respects complies with project instructions and meets National Standards of Map Accuracy.

REVIEWED BY:

[Signature]

A. K. Heywood
APPROVED BY:

L. C. Lande
Chief, Review and Edit

L. W. Swanson
Ass't Chief, Photogrammetry Division

Marvin R. Hauenstein
Chief, Chart Division

G. L. Mast
Chief, Coastal Surveys Division
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CHART</th>
<th>CARTOGRAPHER</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-12-61</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>M. Rogers</td>
<td>Applied fully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-12-61</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>H. Quinby</td>
<td>Applied properly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.