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Remarks:
SUMMARY

to Accompany Topographic Map Manuscripts T-11540 thru T-11543.

These four (4) topographic surveys are part of Project Ph-34 (B & C). They cover the western portion of Atka Island from Cape Kigun eastward to Island Point near Bluefox Bay. Atka Island belongs to the Andreanof Islands group in the Aleutians of the state of Alaska.

Shoreline, foreshore and offshore were compiled graphically from December 1957 to March 1958 from nine-lens photography of 1954 and furnished as preliminary manuscripts for hydrographic surveys. The four compilations were completed as topographic manuscripts from January to June 1960 on the Reading Plotter.

A limited field inspection was accomplished during seasons of 1957 and 1958 and the results applied to the manuscripts. This limited field inspection covered the south shore of Atka Island only since the plane-table surveys of the north shore of said island from 1943 were deemed adequate. At the request of the "xplorer" a number of the field-inspection photographs were returned to that ship and unfortunately not available to the reviewer during final review.

Cronar film positives at manuscript scale of 1:20,000 and the Descriptive Reports will be registered and filed in the Bureau Archives.

July 1960
Photogrammetric Plot Report

This report covers the plot for surveys T-11540 through T-11543 and T-11552. It is filed as part of the Descriptive Report for T-11540.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR x-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FORWARD (BACK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1854.5 181.7 814.0 1053.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOT 1943</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 06 32.808</td>
<td>1854.5 1031.0 840.5 1053.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>174 50 04.614</td>
<td>1141.9 88.5 1053.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL. 1943</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 07 05.88</td>
<td>1854.5 181.7 1672.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(WW N of CAMEL)</td>
<td>V153</td>
<td>174 54 12.54</td>
<td>1141.7 238.6 903.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUS (WW) 1943</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 06 19.78</td>
<td>1854.5 611.4 1243.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>174 52 03.87</td>
<td>1142.0 73.7 1068.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMEL 1943</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 06 57.235</td>
<td>1854.5 1769.0 83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>174 54 15.193</td>
<td>1141.7 289.1 832.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM (PEAK) 1943</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 06 26.09</td>
<td>1854.5 806.4 1018.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>174 54 28.38</td>
<td>1141.9 510.1 601.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL 1943</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 05 21.729</td>
<td>1854.5 761.3 1090.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>174 56 05.600</td>
<td>1142.3 106.6 1035.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolly 1957</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 01 13.966</td>
<td>1851.5 118.1 1136.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>174 58 38.233</td>
<td>1144.1 70.5 1016.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOLLY 1957</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 01</td>
<td>1854.5 1438.7 1115.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Pt.</td>
<td></td>
<td>174 58</td>
<td>1144.1 760.1 385.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL 1943</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>52 05</td>
<td>1854.5 783.9 1070.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Pt.</td>
<td></td>
<td>174 56</td>
<td>1142.3 108.1 1034.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
31. **DELINEATION:**

Shoreline and foreshore features were delineated from stereoscopic interpretation with the aid of 9-lens field-inspected photographs at 1:20,000 scale numbered 46045, 45984 and 45988 thru 45990.

This manuscript was initially compiled in 1957 as a "Preliminary" survey. Numerous revisions have been made by this survey.

32. **CONTROL:**

See radial plot report attached to descriptive report T-11540.

33. **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:**

Planetable sheets Nos. 6920 and 6917, 1:20,000, 1943 were used as a guide in the interpretation of shoreline features on the photographs.

34. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE:**

35. **SHORELINE and ALONGSHORE FEATURES:**

Little, if any, field identification of shoreline was done but generally the shoreline was readily identified on the photographs. The shoreline is extremely intricate in some areas and difficult to delineate in its true shape and position. This applies especially to rocks and ledge areas.

36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS:**

The areas of foul and kelp were generalized by the field party with no clear limit shown between them. Slight differences exist between the 1957 field work and the 1943 surveys.

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS:**

None
38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:
   None

39. JUNCTIONS:
   North and South; no contemporary survey, East;
   T-11543 and West T-11541.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:
   a. Horizontal - No deficiencies were noted
   b. Vertical

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:
   T-6917, 1:20,000, 1943 and T-6920, 1:20,000, 1943
   Numerous differences exist in the delineation of shore-
   line features. In general, an attempt was made to re-
   concile differences. Only minor ones exist.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:
   Chart No. 8862 scale 1:300,000, corrected 10-15-51
   ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY
   None
   ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD
   None

Submitted by:

Garnett S. Amburn

Approved:

Everett H. Ramey
Chief, Graphic Compilation Unit
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES LIST

Andreamof Islands (Title)
*Atka Island
*Bering Sea
Kovurof Bay
Kovurof Point
Mt. Sergief
Pacific Ocean
Podsopochni Bay
Podsopochni Point
Sergief Bay
Tillamook Cove
Wall Bay

* B.G.N. Decision

GEORAPIC NAMES SECTION
21 JUNE 1960
COMPILATION REPORT

Topographic
T-115-42

31. Delineation

The interior detail was compiled on the Reading Nine-lens Plotters directly onto the shoreline manuscript.

32. Control

Water elevation, corrected from observed tide data, was used for vertical control. No additional vertical control was established by the field parties.

34. Many of the elevations on planetable survey T-5921 were in good agreement with the stereo instrument delineation. Where spot elevations agreed within five feet, the planetable elevation was retained as an unchecked field elevation.

Submitted by

Clarence Misfeldt

Approved by

Louis Levin, Chief
Nine-lens Stereo Unit
REVIEW REPORT OF

TOPOGRAPHIC MANUSCRIPTS T-11540 THRU T-11543

July 1960

62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-6917b</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-6920</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-6921</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-6922</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The shoreline is in good agreement between these surveys.

Some forshore information from the north shore of Atka Island was transferred from the 1943 T-sheets to subject manuscripts since that part was not field-edited and some of this information was not clearly defined on the photography. However, the intricate shoreline of subject manuscripts indicates complete detailing of its present condition and T-11540 thru T-11543 are to supersede above listed registered topographic surveys for nautical charting purposes of common areas.

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies:

ATKA, ALASKA, 1:250,000 U. S. Geological Survey, 1952

Agreement is as well as scale difference allows.
2.

64. **Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-6846</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-6847</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-6848</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-8438</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-8439</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-8474</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are some shoreline and foreshore differences between the 1943 hydrographic surveys and subject surveys, none of which appear to interfere with the hydrographic information shown. Advance shoreline and control of subject T-sheets was furnished for hydrographic surveys H-8438, H-8439 and H-8474. However, minor changes resulting from field inspection, adjustments in the application of contours by instruments and final review should be considered for application prior to completion of these hydrographic surveys.

65. **Comparison with Nautical Charts:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Revision Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9136</td>
<td>1:40,000</td>
<td>Revised to 52 1/28 (Preliminary Chart)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9137</td>
<td>1:40,000</td>
<td>Revised to 51 11/5 (Preliminary Chart)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8862</td>
<td>1:300,000</td>
<td>Revised to 60 6/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Charts 9136 and 9137 are based on 1943 hydrographic surveys (listed under item No. 64) and indicate similar discrepancies with subject surveys. The scale of chart 8862 does not permit a conclusive comparison.
### INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.
2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.
3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHART</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CARTOGRAPHER</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8862</td>
<td>01/06/66</td>
<td>J. M. Miller</td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9137</td>
<td>11/10/70</td>
<td>J. T. Stevens</td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes to Reviewer:

The Meteognom photography by the Navy on 7/21/51 was used as a final check of the interpretation of the shoreline area.

Despite the poor definition of the Meteognom lens, the 2x enlargements were valuable because of better shadow detail, low water and calm seas. With the superior definition of the Wilde or nine lens cameras added to the demonstrated advantages of low water, calm seas and high sun, excellent photo interpretation could be obtained. With a few more improvements, the meager but expensive field inspection could be eliminated.

Ledge, as drawn on the manuscript, was not extended to cover the area indicated on the Navy photography.

C.M.