**DESCRIPTIVE REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Survey</th>
<th>SHORELINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field No.</td>
<td>Office No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCALITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>VIRGINIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General locality</td>
<td>ACCOMACK COUNTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>DEEP CREEK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHIEF OF PARTY**

Joseph K. Wilson, Chief of Field Party
V.R. Sobieralski, Tampa District Officer
Alfred C. Holmes, Director, AMC

**DATE**

1 JUL 1975
**PROJECT NO. (III):**
PH-5907

**FIELD OFFICE (III):**
Accomac Virginia

**CHIEF OF PARTY**
Joseph K. Wilson

**PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):**
Tampa, Florida

**OFFICER-IN-CHARGE**
V. Ralph Sobieralski

**INSTRUCTIONS DATED (III) (IV):**
Field: Oct. 20, 1959
Amendment 1: April 26, 1960
Office: December 28, 1960
Amendment 1: August 10, 1961
Amendment 2: September 29, 1961

**METHOD OF COMPILATION (III):**
Graphic

**MANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):**
1:10,000

**STEREOSCOPIC PLOTTING INSTRUMENT SCALE (III):**
Inapplicable

**DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFICE (IV):**

**DATE REPORTED TO NAUTICAL CHART BRANCH (IV):**

**APPLIED TO CHART NO.**

**DATE: **

**DATE REGISTERED (IV): **

**GEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):**
N.A. 1927

**VERTICAL DATUM (III):**
Mean

Elevations shown as (M) refer to mean high water
Elevations shown as (I) refer to sounding datum
i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

**REFERENCE STATION (III):**
FOOL 1962

**LAT.:**
37°43'37.261"(1148.8m)

**LONG.:**
75°33'32.485"(795.5m)

**STATE:**
Virginia

**ZONE:**
South

**PLANE COORDINATES (IV):**

**ADJUSTED**

**UNADJUSTED**

**Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (III) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office, or (IV) Washington Office.**

**When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.**
# Descriptive Report - Data Record

**Field Inspection by (III):**

M. A. Stewart  
**Date:** Sept. 1961

**Mean High Water Location (III) (State Date and Method of Location):**

Air Photo Compilation  
Date of photographs: Oct. 13, 1959 and Apr. 28 1962

**Projection and Grids Ruled by (IV):**

A. Riley  
**Date:** Jan. 1962

**Projection and Grids Checked by (IV):**

I. Y. Fitzgerald  
**Date:** Mar. 1962

**Control Plotted by (III):**

R. D. Purvis  
**Date:** *Sept. 1962

**Control Checked by (III):**

R. R. Wagner  
**Date:** *Sept. 1962

**Radial Plot or Stereoscopic Control Extension by (III):**

R. R. Wagner  
**Date:** May 1962

**Stereoscopic Instrument Compilation (III):**

**Planimetry:** Inapplicable  
**Date:**

**Contours:**  
**Date:**

**Manuscript Delineated by (III):**

R. J. Pate  
Reviewed by: R. R. Wagner  
**Date:** Feb. 1963

**Scribing by (III):**

P. W. Leikhim  
Reviewed by: W. H. Shearouse  
**Date:** Feb. 1963

**Photogrammetric Office Review by (III):**

W. H. Shearouse  
**Date:** Mar. 1963

**Remarks:**

* One station was established at the time of field edit.
**DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD**

**CAMERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):** C&GS 9 lens
Camera W

**PHOTOGRAPHS (III):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>STAGE OF TIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60527</td>
<td>10/13/59</td>
<td>11:13</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>Not used for shoreline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62-W-3860</td>
<td>4/28/62</td>
<td>10:30 approx</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>0.9 ft. above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; 3861</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; 3862</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Centers of the 1962 single lens photos do not fall on the map manuscript. However, they were used for delineation.

**PREDICTED TIDE (III):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE STATION:</th>
<th>Sandy Hook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBORDINATE STATION:</td>
<td>Metomkin Inlet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ATLANTIC MARINE CENTER:**

**WASHINGOTON OFFICE REVIEW BY (IV):**

**C. H. Bishop**

**DATE:** October 1973

**PROOF EDIT BY (IV):**

**NUMBER OF TRIANGULATION STATIONS SEARCHED FOR (III):** None*

**RECOVERED:** 0  **IDENTIFIED:** 0

**NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FOR (III):** None

**RECOVERED:** 0  **IDENTIFIED:** 0

**NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PHOTO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):** 0

**NUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):** 0

**REMARKS:**

*One station was established at the time of field edit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPILED RECORD</th>
<th>COMPLETION DATE</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alongshore area for hydro</td>
<td>June 1962</td>
<td>Superseded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alongshore area revised from</td>
<td>July 1962</td>
<td>Superseded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>field edit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior details added</td>
<td>Feb. 1963</td>
<td>Superseded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilation complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Review</td>
<td>Oct. 1973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-11675

This 1:10,000 scale shoreline manuscript is one of 43 maps that comprise Project PH-5907, Cape Charles to Assateague, Virginia. The project diagram on page 5 indicates the location of this map in the project.

Field inspection prior to compilation was done in September 1961 on 9-lens photographs taken in October 1959.

Single lens photographs taken in April 1962, after the hurricane which occurred in March 1962, were used for compilation. Control was established by a radial plot using 9-lens photography of October 13, 1959. The Photogrammetric Plot Report was not available at the time of final review and is not bound with this Descriptive Report.

Field edit was done in July 1962 and corrections were applied to the manuscript.

Final review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in October 1973.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 3 minutes 45 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.
2. Areal Field Inspection.

These maps are located along the eastern shore of Virginia, in Accomack County. Maps 11672 and 11673 are located along the mainland. Maps 11674 and 11675 cover a part of the mainland together with Metomkin Bay and a part of Metomkin Island. The town of Onancock and a part of the town of Onley are located in map 11672. The county seat of Accomac County, a town of the same name, is located in map 11675. The mainland area is devoted exclusively to truck farming and has no other industry of any size. This area is served by U.S. Highway 13 and numerous secondary roads connecting thereto. The Pennsylvania railroad crosses maps 11672 and 11673. The mainland portion of map 11674 is also devoted to truck farming. Metomkin Bay covers a considerable portion of map 11674. This is a shallow body of water and has large areas of mud flats and shoals. The Intracoastal Waterway crosses Metomkin Bay in a north-south direction. This channel is accessible for boats of shallow draft only. The land area of map 11675 is a part of Metomkin Island. This is a narrow stretch of sand beach, with low dunes, separating Metomkin Bay from the Atlantic Ocean. The land area of map 11675 is not inhabited.

Field inspection is believed complete and was performed on the following 1:10,000 scale nine-lens photographs: 60402, 60443, 60444, 60463, 60464, 60465, 60473, 60474, 60475, 60527, 60528, and 60529. Single lens photographs, scale 1:50,000, numbered 59W9283 and 59W9285 were used for field inspection in the northwest corner of map 11672. Single lens photograph ratio print, scale 1:10,000 was also used for field inspection. In addition to the above, black and white prints of color photography were used to verify office identification of fixed aids to navigation. These photographs are numbered as follows; 59W9617 through 59W9623.

The photography was of good quality and no difficulty was encountered in their interpretation in the field. The tone changes ranged from white, in the sand areas, to grey, in the grassy areas, to black, in the areas covered with marsh or trees. No items were deliberately left for field edit.
3. Horizontal Control.

All Coast and Geodetic Survey control stations were searched for. The requirements for control, as indicated by a copy of the project diagram, were adequately met for these maps. No supplemental control was established.

The following stations were reported lost:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11672</td>
<td>11673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

North Temporary Banner 1934
Chincoteague to Hog Island (Metomkin Bay) Beacon 9 (Black) 1934
Chincoteague to Hog Island (Metomkin Bay) Beacon 8 (Red) 1934
Chincoteague to Hog Island (Metomkin Bay) Beacon 5 (Black) 1934

4. Vertical Control.

Five tidal benchmarks were recovered in map 11672. They are Onancock, Onancock Creek, Chesapeake Bay Tidal Bench Marks 1 (1934), 3 (1914), B36 (USGS) (1929), 4 (1957) and R85 (1935).

5. Contours and Drainage.

Contours are inapplicable.

The tidal streams are self-evident from the photographs. The drainage along the mainland area has been indicated on the photographs.

6. Woodland Cover.

Woodland cover was inspected and has been classified on the photographs.

7. Shoreline and Alongshore Features.

The mean high water line along the ocean was located by measurement from identifiable photo. points, except for the areas around the inlets. The water has swept over these areas and points are not identifiable. The mean high water line around the inlets has been indicated as photographed. All charts having inlet areas therein should carry the notation "shoreline subject to frequent change".

The apparent shoreline was inspected by skiff running close to shore and has been indicated on the photographs.

The foreshore along the ocean is sand. There are no bluffs or cliffs.
Item 7 Cont'd.
    Shore ends of submarine cables have been indicated on the photographs.
    All docks, landings, piers or wharves have been indicated on the photographs.
    Other shoreline structures have been clarified.

8. Offshore Features.
    The shoal areas in Metomkin Bay were visited during field inspection. Notes concerning these areas have been made on the photographs.

9. Landmarks and Aids.
    Landmarks for nautical charts and fixed aids to navigation are adequately covered by Form 567. This form is submitted with the field data for these maps.
    There are no aeronautical aids within these maps.

    The only boundaries affecting these maps are corporate limits of Onancock, Accomac and Onley. A tracing of the official map of Accomac included with the field data. The map lists bearings and distances referenced to triangulation stations ACCOMAC 1942 and will give the compiler no trouble. The approximate limits of Onancock have been indicated on photograph 59W9235. A copy of the official map is also included. See item 10 of Field Inspection Report submitted for maps 11676, 11677 and 11678 for discussion of corporate limits for Onley. This report was submitted to Washington on 27 September 1961.

11. Other Control.
    The following recoverable topographic stations were selected for location by the plot; Bean 1961, Huge 1961, Tower 1961, East Cable, Red Shack with White Roof 1961 and Center of Roof of Unpainted Shack 1961.

12. Other Interior Features.
    All roads were inspected and have been classified in accordance with Photogrammetry Instruction No. 54.
    All buildings were inspected and have been indicated in accordance with Photogrammetry Instruction No. 56.
    There are no bridges or cables over navigable water.
    There are no airports or landing fields.
   A systematic investigation of names was not required.
   No new names are recommended for mapping.

14. Special Reports and Supplemental Data.
   City map of Accomac, submitted with this data
   City map of Onancock, submitted with this data
   Form 567, submitted with this data
   Letter of transmittal, submitted with this data

Submitted,

William M. Reynolds
Sub-unit, Photo. Party 720
COMPILATION REPORT
T-11675

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

Submitted with T-11680

31. **DELINEATION**

The field inspection which was done in 1961 on 1959 nine-lens photographs was adequate but the shoreline was destroyed by the March 1962 storm. The shoreline was delineated from office interpretation of the April 1962 photographs. Field edit is scheduled for this shoreline.

32. **CONTROL**

See Photogrammetric Plot Report.

33. **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

None.

34. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

Contours inapplicable.

Drainage was evident.

35. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS**

Low-water lines were delineated from office interpretation of the 1962 photographs. See Item 31 for shoreline.

36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

None.

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

None.
38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS

One topographic station was established by the field party in 1961 but was destroyed by the storm in March 1962.

39. JUNCTIONS

A junction has been made with T-11674 on the west and T-11671 on the north. The Atlantic Ocean is to the east and south.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY

No statement.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS

A comparison was made with USGS quadrangle METOMKIN INLET 1957, scale 1:24,000 and it was found to be in good agreement.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

A comparison was made with C&GS nautical chart 1221, Chincoteague Inlet To Great Machipango Inlet, edition March 1962 scale 1:80,000. The agreement is good.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD

None.

R. J. Pate
Carto Photo Aid

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: 17 APR 1963

V. Ralph Sobiersalski
Tampa District Officer
June 22, 1972

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES
FINAL NAME SHEET
PH-5907 (Virginia)
T-11675

Atlantic Ocean
Deep Creek
Metomkin Bay
Metomkin Island
Wire Passage

Approved:
A. J. Wright
Chief Geographer

Prepared by:
Frank W. Pickett
Cartographic Technician
49. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER

None.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Projection and Grids</th>
<th>2. Title</th>
<th>4. Manuscript Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>unclassified</td>
<td>WHS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Stations</th>
<th>6. Recoverable Horizontal Stations of Less Than Third-Order Accuracy (Topographic Stations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photo Hydro Stations</th>
<th>7. Photo Hydro Stations</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bench Marks</th>
<th>8. Bench Marks</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plotting of Sextant Fixes</th>
<th>9. Plotting of Sextant Fixes</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detail Points</th>
<th>11. Detail Points</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline</th>
<th>12. Shoreline</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low-Water Line</th>
<th>13. Low-Water Line</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rocks, Shoals, Etc.</th>
<th>14. Rocks, Shoals, Etc.</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridges</th>
<th>15. Bridges</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aids to Navigation</th>
<th>16. Aids to Navigation</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landmarks</th>
<th>17. Landmarks</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Alongshore Physical Features</th>
<th>18. Other Alongshore Physical Features</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Alongshore Cultural Features</th>
<th>19. Other Alongshore Cultural Features</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Features</th>
<th>20. Water Features</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural Ground Cover</th>
<th>21. Natural Ground Cover</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planetable Contours</th>
<th>22. Plantable Contours</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stereoscopic Instrument Contours</th>
<th>23. Stereoscopic Instrument Contours</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contours in General</th>
<th>24. Contours in General</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot Elevations</th>
<th>25. Spot Elevations</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Physical Features</th>
<th>26. Other Physical Features</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roads</th>
<th>27. Roads</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buildings</th>
<th>28. Buildings</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Railroads</th>
<th>29. Railroads</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Cultural Features</th>
<th>30. Other Cultural Features</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary Lines</th>
<th>31. Boundary Lines</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Land Lines</th>
<th>32. Public Land Lines</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic Names</th>
<th>33. Geographic Names</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Juncions</th>
<th>34. Juncions</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legibility of the Manuscript</th>
<th>35. Legibility of the Manuscript</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discrepancy Overlay</th>
<th>36. Discrepancy Overlay</th>
<th>XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive Report</th>
<th>37. Descriptive Report</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Inspection Photographs</th>
<th>38. Field Inspection Photographs</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forms</th>
<th>39. Forms</th>
<th>WHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of Reviewer</th>
<th>40. Signature of Reviewer</th>
<th>William H. Shearouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completion</th>
<th>40. Completion</th>
<th>Field Completion Additions and Corrections to the Manuscript</th>
<th>Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted in remarks on reverse side.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of Compiler</th>
<th>V. P. Cackowski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of Surveyor</th>
<th>Milton M. Slavney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Reverse Side for Remarks</th>
<th>USCOMM-DC 28593-P67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
FIELD EDIT REPORT T-11675

Field edit was done in July 1962 by W. M. Reynolds. Revisions consisting of shoreline changes along the ocean front, caused by the March 1962 storm were indicated on Field Edit Discrepancy prints 1 and 2 (ozalids) and single-lens ratio photo 62-W-3862.

No field edit report has been received.

Tampa
REVIEW REPORT T-11675

SHORELINE

October 19, 1973

61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report. An ozalid comparison print, showing differences noted in Par. 62 and 63, is bound with the original of this report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with Survey T-8441, scale 1:20,000, dated 1942. Radical changes in the Metompkin Island shoreline caused by a hurricane in March 1962, were shown in blue on the comparison print.

In the area compared, T-11675 superseded previous topographic surveys for nautical chart construction.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

A comparison was made with USGS Quadrangle: METOMPKIN INLET, VA, scale 1:24,000, dated 1957. Changes in the Metompkin Island shoreline, similar to those noted in Par. 62 and caused by the same storm, were shown in brown on the comparison print.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

No contemporary hydrographic surveys were available for comparison.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A comparison was made with Chart 1221, scale 1:80,000, 16th edition, dated 11 Sept. 1971. No significant differences were noted.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS:

The Photogrammetric Plot Report, which usually states the accuracy of control used for compilation, was not available for final review and no accuracy statement was made in the Compilation Report. However, there is no reason to believe that accuracy is sub-standard. It is believed that this map is adequate for photo-hydro support and nautical chart construction.

Reviewed by:

[Signature]

Charles H. Bishop
Cartographer
Approved for Forwarding:

[Signature]

Kelvin J. Moanen, CDR, NOAA
Chief, Coastal Mapping Division, AMC

Approved:

[Signature]

Alfred C. Holmes, RADM, NOAA
Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved:

[Signature]

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch  Chief, Coastal Mapping Division