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Sheet No. Area Lin. Mi. Lin. Mi. Shoreline
11660 6 10
11661 6 15
11662 13 19
11663 7 23
11664 8 16
11665 17 8
11666 16 8
11667 7 8
11668 1 1
11669 1 4
11670 16 1
11671 8 15
11672 17 0
11673 16 5
11674 16 10
11675 16 4
11676 16 0
11677 13 10
11678 8 16
11679 16 8
11680 11 32
11681 4 10
11682 8 15
11683 11 15
11684 2 3
11685 16 4
11686 4 15
11687 6 20
11688 6 15
11689 13 11
11690 1 11
11691 4 16
11692 2 3
11693 11 11
11694 6 16
11695 4 19
11696 4 9
11697 11 20
11698 6 16
11699 6 13
11700 8 16
11701 8 14
11702 4 11
11703 6 23

T-10887 5 369 343
TOTALES 5 343
11-9-61
SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-11683

This 1:10,000 scale shoreline manuscript is one of 43 maps that comprise Project PH-5907, Cape Charles to Assateague, Virginia. The project diagram on page 5 indicates the location of this map in the project.

Field inspection prior to compilation was done in April, 1961.

Compilation was from 9-lens photographs taken on October 13, 1959, and single lens photographs taken in April, 1962, after the hurricane which occurred in March, 1962. Control was based on a radial plot, using the 9-lens photographs.

The Photogrammetric Plot Report was not available at the time of final review and is not bound with this Descriptive Report. The field inspection of April, 1961, was used for compilation of all of the map except the Atlantic Ocean shoreline, which was radically changed by the hurricane. See Compilation Report, Par. 31. All of this map is classified as Class II except the Atlantic Ocean shoreline, which is Class III.

Field edit of this map was not accomplished.

Final review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in November, 1973.

The compilation manuscript was a vynylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 3 minutes 45 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.
2. AREAL FIELD INSPECTION-
The area covered by the seven maps, with the exception of map 11685, is composed mostly of mud flats that are bare or nearly so at mean low water. This mud area is very soft and will not afford footing for any type of travel at low water. Some of these mud areas have insufficient water, even at high tide, to support skiffs drawing more than two feet of water.

At high water these areas are large shallow areas of water that can become very choppy with strong winds. There are scattered shell piles throughout the areas that makes small boat travel very hazardous to anyone crossing these areas at high water.

The outer shore of Hog and Parramore Islands is sand that held its position well during hurricane Donna of September, 1960. Numerous measurements were made from fixed objects to the present shoreline to determine the shoreline delineation on the photographs.

The quality of the photography is good. Most single pile structures can be identified on the nine lens photographs. It is believed that enough photographic tones have been labeled to clarify all tones for the compilers.

3. HORIZONTAL CONTROL-
All stations, indicated on the Project Diagram, have been searched for. Those that were desired to be identified were all recovered and identified except CHIMNEY, NORTHEAST GABLE OF SHACK, 1934. This station is destroyed and was replaced with topographic station CUTE, 1961. CUTE, 1961 will furnish control if desired as a fix was observed from it as well as it was referenced to a photograph point.
The following stations are lost or destroyed and reported on Form 526;
DRAW, 1933
Great Machipongo River Bl. Beacon No. 3, 1934
Great Machipongo River Red Beacon No. 2, 1934
Great Machipongo River Channel Lt., 1933
CUNJER CHANNEL LIGHT, 1937
SLOOP, 1910
Little Machipongo Inlet, North Inlet Light, 1933
PONGO, 1934
SOUTHWEST GABLE OF OLD TWO STORY HOUSE, 1934
Revels Island, West Gable Chimney, 1934
LITTLE MACHIPONGO INLET, COAST GUARD TOWER, 1937
CHIMNEY, NORTHEAST GABLE OF SHACK, 1934

4. VERTICAL CONTROL-
Tidal bench mark 1, map 11686 was recovered and identified.

The following bench marks are lost;

Tidal bench marks 1, 2 and 3 all of 1947, Revel Creek, Little Machipongo Inlet, Lat. 37-29.3 and Long. 75-41.0
Tidal bench mark 3, 1914, Little Beach Cove, Little Powder Tub Creek, Parramore Island, Lat. 37-31.9 and Long. 75-39.8

5. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE-
Drainage consists of small streams and ditches. Those that were considered of sufficient size have been delineated. There are some few flat areas that will occasionally flood but there is no real swamp of importance.

6. WOODLAND COVER-
The tree areas are mostly pine with some few areas interspersed with hardwoods.

7. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE FEATURES.
The shoreline is mostly apparent. Very often there is a fringe of Sea Oats or Sea Oats sometimes fifty or more feet in width at the offshore edge of the marsh. This type of grass may reach a height of three to four feet during the summer and fall months and then be killed out during the winter season.
This can cause the apparent shoreline to change with the seasons. However as the grass is growing in these areas most of the year, the apparent shoreline was delineated along the outer edges of it.

There is a submerged telephone cable between the islands of Parramore and Hog. The approximate underwater position of this cable has been delineated on the photographs. This position could be several hundred feet in error as the position was determined by verbal information from the Hog Island Coast Guard Personnel.

3. OFFSHORE FEATURES-
There are no offshore features of any size. There are thousands of small stakes scattered over the mud flats that mark either some small channel or beds of oysters.

9. LANDMARKS AND AIDS-
Landmarks and aids are reported on Form 567. The black and white prints of the color photography were field inspected to verify or correct the office identification of the aids to navigation. Fixed aids not identified on the photographs have been located using ground survey methods.

10. BOUNDARIES, MONUMENTS AND LINES-
The corporate limits of Nassawadox, Virginia have been delineated on single lens ratio print S9W 9297 as far as possible to do so. Some of the southern boundary will need to be projected from an enclosed plat.

An enclosed map of Accomac County will show the mapped position of the line between Accomac and Northampton Counties. Information from the County Court Clerks of these two counties failed to reveal any information concerning a legal description. The division line was established in 1663 and any legal action concerning it has probably been lost in the files of three hundred years. The county court clerks advise that the best place to obtain such information is with the Virginia Department of Highways.
A branch office of this department was visited at Accomac, Virginia. Information obtained from this branch office indicates that their maps are compiled from old federal maps and records. It appears as no one is positive as to just where this line is. The Virginia Highway Department position their highway county line markers by a scaled distance from a map of the enclosed type. There is a possibility that there is a descrip-
of this line somewhere in the files of the Northampton Court House, but no more investigations will be made unless instructed to do so.

11. OTHER CONTROL-
Three previously marked topographic stations were searched for but not found. This information is reported on Forms 524.

The following is a list of new topographic stations established.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOSS</th>
<th>HANG</th>
<th>GABE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOOM</td>
<td>GONE</td>
<td>GOUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUNT</td>
<td>MORE</td>
<td>WIND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUNE</td>
<td>GRAY</td>
<td>HELP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAND</td>
<td>CUTE</td>
<td>HURT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUPOLA</td>
<td>RUIN</td>
<td>SHED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. OTHER INTERIOR FEATURES-
All roads and buildings have been inspected and classified accordingly to current instructions.

There are no bridges or cable clearances required.

13. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES-
No systematic investigation was made and no errors found in the geographic names.

14. SPECIAL REPORTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA-
The following map and plat is enclosed;

Accomac County Map

Nassawadox Corporate Limits.

No changes are recommended for the Coast Pilot.
It is not believed that notes to the compiler would be of any aid in the compilation.

The field photographs and all other data for the compilation of these maps are being transmitted by transmittal letter 62-1J dated 28th July, 1961.

Respectfully submitted,
July 28, 1961

Elgan T. Jenkins
Chief of Party 726
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR φ-COORDINATE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE OR χ-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>DATUM CORRECTION</th>
<th>N.A. 1927-DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DRYW. 2</td>
<td>1959 652</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>428.711.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,823,399.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 FT. = 0.3048006 METER

COMPUTED BY: [Signature]  
DATE: 30 MAY 61  
CHECKED BY: [Signature]  
DATE: 50 JUN 61
COMPILATION REPORT T-11683

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

Submitted with T-11680.

31. DELINEATION

The graphic method was used.

The majority of the shoreline (all of outer shoreline) was delineated from the 1962 single-lens photographs. The outer shoreline was delineated from stereoscopic office interpretation. The inshore areas was delineated from both the 1962 single-lens and 1959 nine-lens photographs, with reference to the 1961 field inspection on the 1959 nine-lens photographs. The scale of the single-lens photographs was good.

Since no field edit is to follow this compilation in the near future, the outer shoreline has been shown as indefinite (dashed) subject to confirmation by the hydrographer or field editor.

32. CONTROL

See photogrammetric plot report.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

None.

34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE

Contours are inapplicable.

Drainage has been delineated according to field notes and office interpretation of the photographs.

35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS

See item 31 relative to shoreline.

Low-water and shoal lines have been delineated approximately, as interpreted photographically.

No alongshore structures were noted.

Inspection was adequate on the nine-lens photographs and was used where no discrepancies were noted with the 1962 single-lens photographs.
36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

None.

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

There are no landmarks.

Form 567 for aids to navigation was submitted to the Washington Office under date of July 3, 1962.

38. **CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS**

Four topographic stations were established. Forms 524 are submitted. They are listed under item 49.

39. **JUNCTIONS**

A satisfactory junction has been made with T-11682 on the west, T-11684 on the east, T-11680 on the north and T-11688 on the south.

40. **HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY**

No statement.

46. **COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS**

Comparison was made with 1:24,000 scale USGS quadrangle WACHAPREAGUE, published in 1958. Comparison is favorable except for the outer beach shoreline which is not considered final on this compilation.
47. **COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS**

Visual comparison was made with 1:80,000 scale chart No. 1221, edition of March 1962. Agreement appears to be good but due to the large scale differences detailed comparison was not made.

**ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY**

None.

**ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD**

None.

R. Dossett  
Carto Photo Aid

**APPROVED AND FORWARDED**

V. Ralph Sobiersalski  
Tampa District Officer
June 22, 1972

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-5907 (Virginia)
T-11683

Atlantic Ocean
Drawing Channel
Goose Lakes
Green Channel
Italian Hill
Level Pond
Little Beach
Parramore Beach
Parramore Island
Peggy Shoal
Powdering Tub Creek
Revel Creek
Revel Island
Revel Island Bay
Shell Narrows
Little Sloop Channel
Swash Bay
The Swash
Waterhole Creek
White Trout Creek

Approved:
A. J. Wraight
Chief Geographer

Prepared by:
Frank W. Pickett
Cartographic Technician
49. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER

The location of Wachapreague to Little Machipongo Channel Daybeacon 7 is doubtful due to difficulty in identifying the image. Please check for accuracy.

Four recoverable topographic stations were established. They may be useful to the hydrographer.

BOOM, 1961
BUNT, 1961
DUNE, 1961
GOUT, 1961
NONFLOATING AIDS OR LIMITS FOR CHARTS

Tampa District Office
July 3, 1962

I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by

[V. B. Dobbett]

[V. A. Schieralski, Chief of Party]

### Virginia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTING NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SIGNAL NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Inside Passage</td>
<td>Jachapogue to Little Mach胯nce Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAYBN</th>
<th>&quot; &quot; &quot;</th>
<th>D.M. P.M.</th>
<th>D.M. P.M.</th>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>682</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>27.75</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>646</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.32</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>27.02</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.32</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>30.66</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.31</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>25.17</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>6 &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.31</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>35.70</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639</td>
<td>6 &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.31</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>85.68</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>6 &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.31</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>32.5F</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639</td>
<td>6 &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27.31</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>13.54F</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Plot, April 91</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Date of month not available.

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, Publication 20.2, Sec. 1-55, 2-39, 6-36, 7-18 to 22 inclusive, and Fig. 79. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. Revisions shall show both the old and new positions. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by individual field survey sheets. Information under each column heading should be given.

* Round to Tenths and Meters
REVIEW REPORT T-11683
SHORELINE
November 7, 1973

61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

An ozalid comparison print, showing differences noted in Par. 62 and 63, is bound with the original of this report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

A comparison was made with Survey T-8440, 1:20,000 scale, dated 1942. Significant differences were shown in blue on the comparison print.

T-11683 supersedes previous topographic surveys for nautical chart construction.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

A comparison was made with USGS Quadrangle WACHAPREAGUE, VA, scale 1:24,000, dated 1958. Significant differences were shown in brown on the comparison print.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

No contemporary hydrographic surveys were available for comparison.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

A comparison was made with Chart 1221, scale 1:80,000, 16th edition, dated Sept. 11, 1971. No significant shoreline differences were noted. Fixed aids to navigation are mapped on T-11683 as they existed in 1961.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

The Photogrammetric Plot Report, which usually states the accuracy of control used for compilation, was not available for final review and no accuracy statement was made in the Compilation Report. No accuracy test was run in the field. However, there is no reason to believe that accuracy is substandard.
Reviewed by:

Charles N. Bishop

Charles H. Bishop
Cartographer

Approved for forwarding:

Jeffrey G. Carlen, CDR, NOAA
Chief, Coastal Mapping Division, AMC

Approved:

Alfred C. Holmes, RADM, NOAA
Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch  Chief, Coastal Mapping Division
COMPARISON PRINT

Blue = T-8440
Brown = USGS
NOTE.
"The photogrammetric location and delineation of features offshore from the mean high-water line on this survey may not be complete or final. The contemporary reviewed hydrographic survey of the area where available, should be consulted for the final delineation."

COMPARISON PRINT

Blue = T-8440
Brown = USGS