<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field No.</th>
<th>Office No.</th>
<th>Type of Survey</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SHORELINE</td>
<td>LOCALITY</td>
<td>JUL 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIRGINIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GENERAL LOCALITY</td>
<td>NORTHAMPTON COUNTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOCALITY</td>
<td>HOLT NECK TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THOMAS CREEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1959-62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ADJUSTED
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| Air photo compilation |
| Date of photographs: October 1959 and April 1962 |

**PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV):**

| A. Riley | DATE: Nov. 1961 |
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**RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III):**

| R. R. Wagner | DATE: Jan. 1962 |

**STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):**

| PLANIMETRY | DATE |
| Inapplicable | |

**CONTOURS | DATE**

**MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III):**

| R. Dossett |
| Reviewed by: W. H. Shearouse |
| DATE: Jan. 1962 | Jan. 1962 |

**Scribing by (III):**

| R. Dossett |
| Reviewed by: W. H. Shearouse |
| DATE: July 1962 | July 1962 |

**PHOTOGRAHMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):**

| W. H. Shearouse | DATE: Apr. 1963 |

**REMARKS:**
### Descriptive Report - Data Record

**Camera (Kind or Source) (III):**

C&GS Nine-lens and single-lens W

#### Photographs (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60584</td>
<td>10-13-59</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>0.1 ft above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60585</td>
<td></td>
<td>1149</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69-W-9302</td>
<td>10-14-59</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59-W-9303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59-W-9304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62-W-4003</td>
<td>4-28-62</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62-W-4004</td>
<td></td>
<td>1312</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Predicted Tide (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Station:</th>
<th>Sandy Hook</th>
<th>Ratio of Ranges</th>
<th>Mean Range</th>
<th>Spring Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subordinate Station:</td>
<td>Sand Shoal Inlet (C.G. Station)</td>
<td>H.W. -0.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L.W. 0.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Atlantic Marine Center**

**Proof Edit by (IV):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Triangulation Stations Searched for (III):</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Recovered:</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Identified:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of BM(S) Searched for (III):</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Recovered:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Identified:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**

None

**Remarks:**

None

**Form C&GS-181c (12-61)**

**U.S. Department of Commerce**

**Coast and Geodetic Survey**

**Atlantic Marine Center**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compilation Record</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compiled</td>
<td>Jan. 1962</td>
<td>Superseded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilation revised from April 28, 1962 photos</td>
<td>July 1962</td>
<td>Superseded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final review</td>
<td>Nov. 1973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-11693

This 1:10,000 scale shoreline manuscript is one of 43 maps that comprise Project PH-5907, Cape Charles to Assateague, VA. The project diagram on page 5 indicates the location of this map in the project.

Field inspection prior to compilation was done in February 1960 and October 1961.

Compilation was from 9-lens photographs taken in October, 1959. Control was based on a radial plot using the 9-lens photographs. Compilation was later revised from single lens photographs taken in April 1962, after the March 1962 hurricane. The Photogrammetric Plot Report was not available at the time of final review.

No field edit of this map was done.

Final review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in November, 1973.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 3 minutes 45 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative have been forwarded for record and registry.

* The registered map copy is labeled CLASS II. The extent of the revision with 1962 photography is unknown. SB
2. **Areal Field Inspection**

The mainland was inspected by riding out all roads and labelling all details, where believed necessary, that are to be mapped. All Current Instructions were followed during inspection and no phases of the work were purposely omitted for the compilers or a field editor to resolve. Not every patch of trees has been labeled nor every foot of shoreline delineated, but it is believed that sufficient work has been done to serve as a criterion for the compilers.

The quality of the photography was good and it is believed that sufficient photographic tones have been labelled to clarify any questions.

3. **Horizontal Control**

Hog Island Lighthouse, 1911, 1932 and Hog Island Lighthouse Old Tower 1911 have been destroyed by the erosion along the outer shore of Hog Island. One of these stations was desired to be identified for control. No new station was established in the area as there is an abandoned Coast Guard Tower that is about 1/4 miles southwest of the Old Station site. Enough theodolite cuts were taken on this tower to furnish a position of sufficient accuracy to control the radial plot.

Station GOULD, 1910 is lost and station "Shack at Rowes Hole, North East Gable, 1934" has been destroyed.

4. **Vertical Control**

No bench marks of third-order or higher were searched for or recovered. One tidal bench mark was recovered and identified near the southwest end of Hog Island.

5. **Contours and Drainage**

Contours are inapplicable

The drainage has been delineated, where deemed necessary, on the photographs.
6. Woodland Cover

Most all areas of woodland cover were inspected and it is believed that a sufficient number of areas have been labeled to serve as a criterion for the compiler.

7. Shoreline and Alongshore Features

Practically all of the shoreline is apparent and in some areas the horizontal position of this line is controlled by the seasonal growth of sea oats. This tall grass will form the apparent shoreline for about 6 months of the year and its outer edges have been delineated as such.

The MHWL along the ocean was measured from several photo-identifiable objects and these measurements were scaled on the field photographs to identify some line or tone or the relative position of the MHWL to some line or tone on the photographs.

The foreshore in some areas amounts to large mud flats that are very soft and will not afford footing for any type of travel at low tide.

A submerged telephone cable leads from Hog Island to Cobb Island. Its point of entry into the water on Hog Island has been identified and its approximate position in the water has been delineated.

All docks and piers have been delineated or labelled on the field photographs.

8. Offshore Features

Offshore features are few and have been delineated on the photographs. The mean low water line was delineated in some areas and is quite apparent in other areas.

There is an area of shifting sand at the south end of Rogue Island, the size and shape of which is controlled by the current of Great Machipongo Inlet and the wind.

9. Landmarks and Aids

All landmarks and fixed aids to navigation are shown on Form 567. Nearly all of the lights and daybeacons in Gull Marsh Channel, Eckichy Channel and the channel joining the two had to be located by field survey methods. Most of the aids were located by sextant fix but some were located by theodolite fix.
9. **Landmarks and Aids continued**

The objects used to control these fixes are all identified on a copy of chart 1222 and this chart is enclosed with the folder containing other data for map 1169h.

10. **Boundaries, Monuments and Lines**

The only political limits to be mapped are the corporate limits of Eastville, Virginia. A tracing is being submitted with explanatory notes thereon. This tracing had to be made within the Northampton Court House from the only available record of the corporate limits. There is an abandoned Coast Guard Station on the south end of Hog Island but no boundary limits were obtained for it.

11. **Other Control**

The following topographic stations were established and described on Form 524: DONE, 1961; CELL, 1961; COME, 1961; HUNT, 1961; WARP, 1961; GANG, 1961.

The following topographic station is reported lost on Form 524: WAR, 1942.

The following topographic stations were recovered and identified: GOU, 1942 and FIT, 1942.

12. **Other Interior Features**

All roads and buildings were classified according to current instructions.

There are no bridge or cables, over navigable waters, that need to be measured.

13. **Geographic Names**

No systematic investigation of geographic names was conducted and no errors were found.

14. **Special Reports and Supplemental Data**

One copy of Nautical Chart 1222 is being submitted. This is to aid in the location of aids to navigation.

One tracing showing the approximate location of the corporate limits of Eastville, Virginia is also being submitted.

Submitted October 10, 1961

Elgan T. Jenkins
Surveying Technician
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR y-COORDINATE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE OR x-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>DATUM CORRECTION</th>
<th>N.A. 1927-DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott 2 1910</td>
<td>P.C.</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>378.717.15</td>
<td>7.756 483.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastville 1932</td>
<td>P.C.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>381.448.49</td>
<td>2.741 810.59</td>
<td>West of Sheet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Tk 1942</td>
<td>P.C.</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>381.863.42</td>
<td>2.742 791.83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 FT. = .3048006 METER

COMPUTED BY: RW DATE: Dec 1, 1961 CHECKED BY: VC DATE: 12/6/61
COMPILATION REPORT T-11693

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

Submitted with T-11688.

31. DELINEATION

The graphic method was used. The nine-lens photographs were tilted considerably, which necessitated more detail points than ordinarily would have been required. The inshore limits of delineation were established by the Tampa Office. Field inspection was adequate.

Single-lens photographs taken in April 1962 were used to up-date the shoreline and other changes since the 1959 photographs.

32. CONTROL

See photogrammetric plot report.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

None used.

34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE

Contours are inapplicable.

Drainage has been delineated as shown photographically and according to field inspection notes.

35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS

The entire shoreline of this map manuscript is apparent, being marsh. Low-water line and shoreline structures were delineated in accordance with field inspection notes. The inspection was adequate.

36. OFFSHORE DETAILS

None delineated. Except for THOROFARE (channel) Ramshorn Bay is largely mud flats which are dotted with small shell areas.
37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

None.

38. **CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS**

None.

39. **JUNCTIONS**

A satisfactory junction has been made with T-11691 on the east and T-11697 on the south. There is no contemporary survey on the north. Delineation does not extend to the western limits.

40. **HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY**

No comment.

46. **COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS**

A comparison has been made with USGS quadrangle CHERITON, VIRGINIA, scale 1:24,000, compiled from aerial photographs taken in 1942; hydrography taken from USGS chart No. 122 (1954). One discrepancy worthy of note is that ELKINS MARSH has "grown" to the west of latitude 37°20' and now extends more than 200 meters across the neat line into this map. It does not appear on the quadrangle.

47. **COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS**

A comparison has been made with chart No. 1222, scale 1:80,000, 9th edition of March 1962. Comparison was favorable except for the marsh extension as described under item 46.
ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD

None.

Rudolph Dossett
Rudolph Dossett
Carto Photo Aid

APPROVED AND FORWARDED - 4 OCT 1953

V. Ralph Sobierski
V. Ralph Sobierski
Tampa District Officer
June 22, 1972

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES
FINAL NAME SHEET
PH-5907 (Virginia)
T-11693

Crow Bay
Elkins Marsh
Holt Neck
Indiantown Creek
Indiantown Neck
Ramshorn Bay
Ramshorn Channel
Taylor Creek
The Thorofare
Thomas Creek

Approved:
A. J. Wraight
A. Joseph Wraight
Chief Geographer

Prepared by:
Frank W. Pickett
Cartographic Technician
49. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER

None.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTROL STATIONS</th>
<th>ALONGSHORE AREAS (Nautical Chart Date)</th>
<th>PHYSICAL FEATURES</th>
<th>CULTURAL FEATURES</th>
<th>BOUNDARIES</th>
<th>MISCELLANEOUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. HORIZONTAL CONTROL STATIONS OF THIRD-ORDER OR HIGHER ACCURACY</td>
<td>12. SHORELINE</td>
<td>20. WATER FEATURES</td>
<td>27. ROADS</td>
<td>31. BOUNDARY LINES</td>
<td>33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. RECOVERABLE HORIZONTAL STATIONS OF LESS THAN THIRD-ORDER ACCURACY (TOPOGRAPHIC STATIONS)</td>
<td>13. LOW-WATER LINE</td>
<td>22. PLANETABLE CONTOURS</td>
<td>28. BUILDINGS</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS</td>
<td>14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC.</td>
<td>23. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT CONTOURS</td>
<td>29. RAILROADS</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. BENCH MARKS</td>
<td>9. PLOTTING OF SEXTANT FIXES</td>
<td>24. CONTOURS IN GENERAL</td>
<td>30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT</td>
<td>11. DETAIL POINTS</td>
<td>25. SPOT ELEVATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. DETAIL POINTS</td>
<td>19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES</td>
<td>26. OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. SHORELINE</td>
<td>13. LOW-WATER LINE</td>
<td>14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC.</td>
<td>15. BRIDGES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. AIDS TO NAVIGATION</td>
<td>17. LANDMARKS</td>
<td>18. OTHER ALONGSHORE PHYSICAL FEATURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. WATER FEATURES</td>
<td>21. NATURAL GROUND COVER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. PLANETABLE CONTOURS</td>
<td>23. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT CONTOURS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. CONTOURS IN GENERAL</td>
<td>25. SPOT ELEVATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. ROADS</td>
<td>28. BUILDINGS</td>
<td>29. RAILROADS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. BOUNDARY LINES</td>
<td>32. PUBLIC LAND LINES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES</td>
<td>34. JUNCTIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. LEGIBILITY OF THE MANUSCRIPT</td>
<td>36. DISCREPANCY OVERLAY</td>
<td>37. DESCRIPTIVE REPORT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. FIELD INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS</td>
<td>39. FORMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGNATURE OF REVIEWER</td>
<td>SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR, REVIEW SECTION OR UNIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William H. Shearouse</td>
<td>William M. Slavney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40. FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT: Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted in remarks on reverse side.

SIGNATURE OF COMPILER

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR
REVIEW REPORT T-11693

SHORELINE

November 29, 1973

61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

An ozalid comparison print, showing differences noted in Par. 62 and 63, is bound with the original of this report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

A comparison was made with Survey T-8177 (CHERITON, VA), 1:20,000 scale, dated 1943. Significant differences were shown in blue on the comparison print.

T-11693 supersedes previous topographic surveys for nautical chart construction purposes.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

A comparison was made with USGS Quadrangle CHERITON, VA, 1:24,000 scale, dated 1955. Significant differences were shown in brown on the comparison print.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

No contemporary hydrographic surveys were available for comparison.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

A comparison was made with Chart 1222, 1:80,000 scale, 36th edition, dated June 30, 1973. No significant differences were noted.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

The Photogrammetric Plot Report, which usually states the accuracy of control used for compilation, was not available for final review and no accuracy statement was made in the Compilation Report. However, there is no reason to believe that accuracy of this map is substandard.
NOTE:
"The photogrammetric location and delineation of features offshore from the mean high-water line on this survey may not be complete or final. The contemporary reviewed hydrographic survey of the area where available, should be consulted for the final delineation."

Blue = T-8177
Brown = USGS

62 W 4003

mud covered with very shallow water.