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**MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) [STATE DATE AND METHOD OF LOCATION]:**
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**PROJECTION AND GRIDS Ruled BY (IV):**
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**DATE:**
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**PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV):**

I. Y. Fitzgerald

**DATE:**
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**CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III):**

Leroy A. Senasack

**DATE:**

4/16/63

**CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):**

R. F. Carr

**DATE:**

4/16/63

**RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III):**

H. P. Eichert, Washington Office

**DATE:**

3/22/63

**STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLANIMETRY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. D. McEroy</td>
<td>5/1/63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONTOURS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inapplicable</td>
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</table>

**MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III):**

C. A. Lipscomb

**DATE:**

6/18/63

**SCRIBING BY (III):**

J. Cregan

**DATE:**

2/18/64

**PHOTOGRAHMNETIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):**

E. L. Rolle

**DATE:**

2/18/64

**REMARKS:**


# Descriptive Report - Data Record

## Camera (Kind or Source) (iii):

3 Camera

## Photographs (iii)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61-S-9054 thru 9056</td>
<td>24 May 1961</td>
<td>0831</td>
<td>1:30,000</td>
<td>0.4 above MLW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Tide (iii)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Station:</th>
<th>Sandy Hook, N. J.</th>
<th>Ratio of Ranges</th>
<th>Mean Range</th>
<th>Spring Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subordinate Station:</th>
<th>Snow Hill Landing, Md.</th>
<th>Ratio of Ranges</th>
<th>Mean Range</th>
<th>Spring Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Washington Office Review by (iv):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leo F. Bevanet, Atlantic Marine Center</th>
<th>Date: October 1966</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Proof Edit by (iv):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Number of Triangulation Stations Searched for (ii):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovered:</th>
<th>Identified:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Number of BM(s) Searched for (iii):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovered:</th>
<th>Identified:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Number of Recoverable Photo Stations Established (iii):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations Established (iii):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Remarks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remarks:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilation Record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilation completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CHINCOTEAGUE BAY

**PROJECT PH 5103**

**PLanimetric Mapping**

**SCALE, 1:10,000**

---

**OFFICIAL MILEAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHEET NO.</th>
<th>AREA SQ. MI.</th>
<th>LINEAR MI. SHORELINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12074</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12075</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12076</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12077</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12078</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12079</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12080</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12081</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12082</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12083</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12084</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12085</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12086</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12087</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12088</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12089</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12090</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12091</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12092</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12093</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12094</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** 153.3 292
SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-12079

Shoreline map T-12079 is one of twenty-one similar maps in Project PH-6103. It covers a part of Newport Bay and Newport Creek near the north end of Chincoteague Bay. The primary purpose of the project was to provide shoreline for the Bureau's Nautical Chart Program and to provide special charts to the State of Maryland, Department of Tidewater Fisheries.

Field operations preceding compilation included recovery and identification of horizontal control, shoreline and field inspection, selection of landmarks and location of fixed aids to navigation.

Compilation was at 1:10,000 scale by Kelsh methods utilizing the 1:30,000 scale panchromatic photography and passpoints established by aerotriangulation.

The manuscript is a vinylite sheet 3 3/4" in latitude by 3 3/4" in longitude which was scribed and reproduced on cronaflex. One cronar positive and one cronar negative are provided for record and registry.
FIELD INSPECTION REPORT
MAPS T-12079, T-12082, and T-12083
PROJECT PH#6103
CHINCOTEAGUE BAY, MARYLAND

2. Areal Field Inspection.

These maps are located along the eastern shore of Maryland just south of the town of Berlin and around the northwest corner of Chincoteague Bay.

The land area consists primarily of sizeable stretches of marsh along the westerly side of Chincoteague Bay. The area is served by a network of good county and state highways which branch off from U.S. 113.

The water area is shallow and can be navigated by small boats only. The Intracoastal Waterway crosses the southeast corner of map T-12083. The area is also frequented by small pleasure boats and by commercial fishermen using small shallow-draft vessels. The catch is mainly crabs and oysters.

Field inspection was confined to an area of approximately 0.5 mile inshore from the shoreline and is believed complete. No items were deliberately left for field edit. The area was revisited after the storm of 6 March 1962 and no appreciable damage was suffered by this area. Where storm damage corrections were warranted, they have been made on the photographs.

Field inspection notes have been made on the following 1:10,000 scale ratio prints: 61S9055 through 61S9058, 61S9087 and 61S9293.

The photography was of fair to good quality and no difficulty was encountered in their interpretation in the field. The tones ranged from white, in the sand or shell areas, to grey, in the marsh areas, to black, in the areas covered with trees. Tone changes were consistent throughout the area. The different tones have been clarified on the photographs.

3. Horizontal Control.

All Coast and Geodetic Survey Stations were searched for. The requirements for the identification of control, as indicated by a special copy of the project diagram,
3. Horizontal Control Cont'd

were adequately met for this area. Station LAWRENCE 1958, located in map T-12082, was substituted for station HOLSTON 1942, located in map T-12079. There was no supplemental horizontal control established by the field party.

The following stations were reported lost or destroyed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T-12079</th>
<th>T-12083</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOLSTON 1942</td>
<td>HANDY HAMMOCK 1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-12082</td>
<td>NEWPORT 1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>BIRCH 1908</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Vertical Control

There are no tidal bench marks within these maps.

5. Contours and Drainage.

Contours are inapplicable.

Drainage is through the short creeks along the westerly side of the bay. These streams are self-evident from the photographs.

6. Woodland Cover

Woodland cover was field inspected and has been classified on the photographs.

7. Shoreline and Alongshore Features

The shoreline was inspected by skiff running close to shore and is mainly apparent. The only exceptions to the above are short stretches of sand or shell which are fast land. These have been indicated on the photographs.

The low water line was not inspected or located.

All docks, wharves, piers or landings have been indicated on the photographs.

The shore ends of submerged or overhead cables have been indicated on the photographs.

8. Offshore Features

There are no offshore features.
9. Landmarks and Aids

All landmarks for nautical charts and fixed aids to navigation are adequately covered by Form 567. This form for the entire project will be submitted at a later date.

10. Boundaries, Monuments and Lines

The entire area is within Worcester County Maryland and no boundaries are affected.

11. Other Control

One topographic station, ACE 1942, was recovered and identified in map T-12083. In addition to the above, copperweld stakes and natural objects were identified for location by the plot. These points were selected so that the Maryland Department of Tidewater Fisheries could observe sextant fixes from any place in the bay to locate oyster beds.

12. Other Interior Features

All roads and buildings were inspected and have been classified in accordance with Photogrammetry Instructions Numbers 54 and 56.

There are no airports or landing fields within the area.

13. Geographic Names

A special report on geographic names will be submitted at a later date.

14. Special Reports and Supplemental Data

Special Report Geographic Names, Project PH-6103, to be submitted at a later date.
Special Report Coast Pilot, Project PH-6103, to be submitted at a later date.
Form 567 to be submitted at a later date.
Field photographs and assorted data listed on Letter of Transmittal dated 28 March 1962.

Respectfully submitted,

Ray M. Sundeen
Chief, Photo Party 723

Field inspection notes for this map are on photographs 61-S-9054 thru 9056.
There is no horizontal control of third-order accuracy or higher within the limits of this map.
PHOTOGRAHMETRIC PLOT REPORT
T-12079

Please refer to the Photogrammetric Plot Report, dated March 1963, which is bound with the Descriptive Report for T-12074.
3.1. **DELINEATION**

The Kelsh plotter was used to delineate the manuscript. The field inspection was complete and adequate and no difficulties were encountered during compilation.

3.2. **CONTROL**

Horizontal control consisted entirely of passpoints established by aerotriangulation. The density and placement of these points was adequate.

3.3. **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

None.

3.4. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

Contours inapplicable.

The drainage was delineated in accordance with field inspection notes.

3.5. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAIL**

The shoreline inspection was adequate and delineation was in accordance with field inspection notes. All alongshore details, such as piers, have been shown.

3.6. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

This map, being one of the interior maps in the project, has very little shoreline or water area. No offshore details requiring investigation by future surveys were noted.

3.7. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

There are no aids to navigation or landmarks for charts within the limits of this map.

3.8. **CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS**

No control for future surveys was established.
39. **JUNCTIONS**

Satisfactory junctions were made with T-12080 to the east and with T-12083 to the south. There are no contemporary surveys to the north or west.

46. **COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS**

Comparison was made with U.S.G.S. BERLIN, MD. quadrangle, 1943 edition, 1:24,000 scale. The two surveys are in good agreement.

47. **COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS**

Comparison was made with nautical chart 1220, 1:80,000 scale. The chart appears to be in good agreement with the manuscript.

**ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY**

None.

**ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD**

None.

Submitted by:

[Signature]

For: E. L. Rolle

Cartographer (Photo)

Approved and forwarded:

[Signature]

J. Bull, CAPT, USESSA

Director, Atlantic Marine Center
48. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

The following names, which appear on this manuscript, were provided by the Washington Office on U.S.G.S. BERLIN, MD. quadrangle, edition of 1943.

BASSETT CREEK
BEAVERDAM CREEK
BUDDY POND
CATEBIRD CREEK
CROPPED NECK
GRAYS INLET
HERRING GUT
NEWPORT BAY
NEWPORT CREEK
NEWPORT NECK
PETERS NECK
TRAPPE CREEK
TRAPPE MILLPOND
WALLOPS NECK
h9. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER

There were no contemporary hydrographic surveys scheduled in the area of this manuscript at the time of completion of the map.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Projection and Grids</td>
<td>E.L. Rolle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Manuscript Numbers</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Manuscript Size</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Control Stations</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Recoverable Horizontal Stations of Third Order or Higher Accuracy</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Photo Hydro Stations</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bench Marks</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Plotting of Sextant Fixes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Photogrammetric Plot Report</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Detail Points</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Alongsore Areas (Nautical Chart Data)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Shoreline</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Low-Water Line</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Rocks, Shoals, Etc.</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Aids to Navigation</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Landmarks</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Other Alongsore Physical Features</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Other Alongsore Cultural Features</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Physical Features</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Water Features</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Stereoscopic Instrument Contours</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Contours in General</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Spot Elevations</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Other Physical Features</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Cultural Features</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Railroads</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Other Cultural Features</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Boundaries</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Public Land Lines</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Miscellaneous Geographic Names</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Juncions</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Legibility of the Manuscript</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Discrepancy Overlay</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Descriptive Report</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Field Inspection Photographs</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Forms</td>
<td>E.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Reviewer</td>
<td>Joseph Steinberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Supervisor, Review Section or Unit</td>
<td>Joseph Steinberg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field Completion Additions and Corrections to the Manuscript**

Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

**Remarks**

Manuscript was not field edited.
FIELD EDIT REPORT
T-12079

The maps in this project were not field edited.
61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See summary accompanying Descriptive Report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Comparison was made with Registered Survey T-8126, 1:20,000 scale. There is some difference in the shoreline, along the creeks and bays, between these two surveys. It is believed that the difference is caused by photograph interpretation rather than erosion or a shift in datum.

Map manuscript T-12079 supersedes T-8126 and should be used for future nautical chart construction.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

Comparison was made with U.S.G.S. BERLIN, MD. quadrangle, 1:24,000 scale, edition of 1943. The two surveys are in good agreement.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

There are no contemporary hydrographic surveys within the area of this manuscript.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

Comparison was made with chart 1220, 1:80,000 scale, 12th edition, November 1, 1965. The chart and manuscript are in good agreement.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

This survey complies with instructions and meets the National Standard of Map Accuracy.
Reviewed by:

Lt. Blugnet

Approved by:

J. Bull

J. Bull, CAPT, USESSA
Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved by:

Charles Trauger

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch

Jack E. Guth

Chief, Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Chart Division
NOTES TO THE VERIFIER

There are no notes for the verifier for this survey.

The following photographs were examined during final review:
61-S-9054 thru 9056