# T-12648

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

PH-6409

Type of Survey SHORELINE (Photogrammetric)

Field Edited Map T-12648

#### LOCALITY

ALASKA

General locality ORCA INLET

Locality MILTON LAKE

1964-66

#### CHIEF OF PARTY

Chief of Party H. J. Seaborg Compilation Office P. A. Stark

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

USCOMM-DC 5087

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD T = 12648

				<del></del>				
PROJECT NO. (II):								
21423 (2)	PH-6409							
FIELD OFFICE (II):			CHIEF OF PARTY					
SHIP PATHFI	NDER			H. J. SEABOR	G			
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):			OFFICER-IN-CHAP	RGE				
PORTLAND, C	REGON			P. A. STARK				
INSTRUCTIONS DATED (II) (III):								
December 7,	, 1964 III							
					, ·			
METHOD OF COMPILATION (III):								
KELSH INSTR	RUMENT							
MANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):		STEREOSCO	OSCOPIC PLOTTING INSTRUMENT SCALE (III): 1:6000					
1:10,000		PANTOGRAPH SCALE: 1:10,000						
DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFIC	E (IV):	DATE REPO	PORTED TO NAUTICAL CHART BRANCH (IV):					
May 1977	,							
APPLIED TO CHART NO.	<u> </u>	DATE:		DATE REGISTERED	) (IV):			
				AUG.	1977			
GEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):		<del></del>	VERTICAL DATUM (III): MHW					
N A 4007			MEANISEA LEVEL EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS:					
N.A. 1927			Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water  Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum					
		Ì	i.e., mean low wat	er or mean lower low w	water			
					,			
REFERENCE STATION (III):								
SIMPSON 16.	1000							
LAT.:	LONG.:		<b>X</b> ADJUSTED					
60° 38¹ 38.51"	145° 49¹ 47.0	)6"	UNADJUSTED					
PLANE COORDINATES (IV):			STATE	ZONI	<u> </u>			
y = 2,427,366 FT. x	= 530,560 FT.		ALASKA		3			
ROMAN NUMERALS INDICATE WHETHER OR (IV) WASHINGTON OFFICE. WHEN ENTERING NAMES OF PERSONNEL		-			OFFICE,			

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II):		DATE:
None		
TEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE A	AND METHOD OF LOCATION):	
By Kelsh Instrumen	_	
DY KELSH INSTRUMEN	Т	
PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV):		DATE
A. E. ROUNDTREE		11-23-64
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV):		DATE
P. HAWKING	•	22
CONTROL PLOTTED BY ((ii):		DATE
R. H. Meyer		12-11-64
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):		DATE
W. MAGULA		12-11-64
RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTE	NSION BY (III):	DATE
W. HEINBAUGH		11_18_64
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):	PLANIMETRY	DATE
	R. H. MEYER	12-24-64
<u> </u>	CONTOURS	DATE
	None	
MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III):		DATE
DRAFTED FOR HYDRO SUPPORT:	12-29-641	
SCRIBING BY (III):		DATE
A. Shands		Nov. 1966
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):		DATE
D. N. WILLIAMS	1-14-65	
REMARKS: Field Edit		Sant 1915 and ble
Field Edit		Sept. 1965 and Ma

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

CAMERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):

	Duc	270 CD + DUE (111)		·- <del></del>	<del></del>	
NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE	s	TAGE OF TI	DE
1:30,000 CONTACT 64 S 6913 THRU 64 S 6914	8-25-64	1005	1:30,000	4 FT	ABOVE M.	L.L.W.
1:10,000 RATIO						
64 S 1913 AND 64 S 1914	13	п	1:10,000	4 FT	u .	# .
		TIDE (III)		RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	DIURN GPRING RANGE
REFERENCE STATION:	Cordova, Ala	8KA			10.0 FT	12.4
SUBORDINATE STATION:	ORCA				9.9 FT	12.4
SUBORDINATE STATION:						
WASHINGTON OFFICE REVIEW E	er (IV): Leo F. Beug	net Atlanti	c Marine Confer	DATE:	1 1967	1
PROOF EDIT BY (IV):	B. Phillips;	ROCKVILLE	, Md.	DATE:	e 197	7
NUMBER OF TRIANGULATION S	TATIONS SEARCHED FOR	(11):	RECOVERED:	IDENTIFI	ED:	
NUMBER OF BM(5) SEARCHED P	FOR (III):		RECOVERED:	IDENTIFI	ED	
NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PH	OTO STATIONS ESTABLIS	SHED (III): NON	£			
NUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOT	O HYDRO STATIONS ESTA	ABLISHED (III):	None			

REMARKS:

т-12648


COMPILATION RECORD

COMPLETION DATE

REMERKS

Alongshore area for hydro	December 1964	Superseded			
Field edit applied subject to further verification	November 1965	Superseded			
Second Field Edit applied	May 1966				
Final Review	April 1967				

4



## SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-12648

Shoreline survey T-12648 is one of fifteen similar surveys in project PH-6409. The purpose of the surveys was to provide new shoreline for nautical charts and to provide photo hydro support data for hydrographic surveys to be made in the same area.

Field work preceding compilation consisted of recovery and/or establishment and recovery of horizontal control. There was no field inspection prior to compilation.

The manuscript was compiled by Kelsh instrument at 1:10,000 scale using the panchromatic photography of August 25, 1964. A cronaflex copy was provided for preparation of the hydrographer's boat sheet along with specially prepared ratio photographs for the location of hydro signals and field edit purposes.

The manuscript is a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 5 minutes 00 seconds in longitude which was scribed and reproduced on cronaflex. One cronar positive and one cronar negative are provided for record and registry.

#### FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

### MAP MANUSCRIPT T-12648

PROJECT 21423 (2)

None submitted. There was no field inspection before compilation.





		ROL RECORD	
)	-	REPORT CONT	
		DESCRIPTIVE	

SCALE FACTOR NONE	N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (1 Pt. = 3048006 meters) FORWARD (BACK)							17	8	DATE 12-11-64
SCALE OF MAP 1:10,000 SCAL	LATITUDE OR Y COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR X COORDINATE	60° 381° 38.51" 145° 491° 47.06"						, ,		CHECKED BY R.H.M.
)     	DATUM	N.A. 1927								
T NO. 21423 (2)	SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)	ALASKA 60145 Pg. 14								DATE 12-11-64
MAP T- 12648 PROJECT NO.	STATION	SIMPSQN 16, 1900								COMPUTED BY D.N.W.



#### Aerotriangulation Report Project 21423 Orca Inlet, Alaska

#### 21. Area Covered

The area covered by this report on aerotriangulation bridging encompasses the shoreline of Orca Inlet, Alaska (T-12648 through T-12652 and the northeast portion of T-12653).

#### 22. Method

Three strips of photography (64S 6892-98), (64S 6787-92) and (64S 6909-15) were bridged on the stereoplanigraph and were adjusted by IHM 1620 methods.

Plotting coordinates are furnished at 1:5,000 scale for T-12804, T-12805 and T-12806 and at 1:10,000 scale for T-12648 through T-12653.

Positions of the points were meaned when necessary.

#### 23. Adequacy of Control

Horizontal control provided was adequate as to location and quality to control the bridges within the limits of accuracy required by National Map Accuracy Standards. However, a portion of the shoreline of T-12653 cannot be compiled since control station, Travel 2, could not be held. Each attempt to use Travel 2 as the terminal point (Strip 3) resulted in large residuals for other control stations in that bridge. An adjustment of the bridge, with Orca Bay, South Bldg., West Gable (1955) as the terminal station, was made and the resulting foreshortened bridge held satisfactorily. No explanation of the failure of station Travel 2 to hold is apparent. The station should be re-identified to provide control for that portion of the shoreline.

#### 24. Supplemental Data

USGS quadrangles Cordova, Alaska (B-5, B-6, C-5 and C-6) scale 1:63,360, 1953 edition were used to provide vertical control where needed.

#### 25. Photography

Photography was adequate as to coverage, overlap and definition.

Approved by:

Submitted by:

John D. Ferrow, Jr.

W. Heinbaugh

#### COMPILATION REPORT

#### MAP MANUSCRIPT T-12648

#### PROJECT 21423 (2)

#### 31. DELINEATION:

PLANIMETRY WAS COMPILED BY KELSH INSTRUMENT.

#### 32. CONTROL:

ADEQUATE SUPPLEMENTARY CONTROL, BASED ON IDENTIFIED HORIZONTAL CONTROL, WAS ESTABLISHED BY AEROTRIANGULATION.

#### 33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

None.

#### 34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE:

CONTOURS NOT APPLICABLE.

DRAINAGE WAS COMPILED BY KELSH OPERATOR USING U.S.G.S. QUAD-RANGLE AS A GUIDE.

#### 35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS:

Shoreline and alongshore details were delineated from office interpretation of the photographs as no field inspection was available.

#### 36. OFFSHORE DETAILS:

None.

#### 37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS:

None.

#### 38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:

NONE .

#### 39. JUNCTIONS:

SATISFACTORY JUNCTION . WAS MADE WITH T-12649 ON THE EAST AND T-12651 ON THE SOUTH. THERE IS NO CONTEMPORARY SURVEY ON THE NORTH AND WEST.

#### 40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:

#### 46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

COMPARISON WAS MADE WITH U.S.G.S. 15 MINUTE, CORDOVA (C-5) ALASKA QUADRANGLE, SCALE 1:63360, EDITION 1951.

#### 47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

COMPARISON WAS MADE WITH THE FOLLOWING NAUTICAL CHARTS:

8525, SCALE 1:30,000 July 27, 1964 8520, SCALE 1:80,000 JULY 20, 1964 8551, SCALE 1:200,000 APRIL 15, 1963

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY:

NONE.

! TEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD:

NONE.

APPROVED:

P. A. STARK, COR, C&GS

PORTLAND FIELD OFFICER

SUBMITTED:

DONNEL N. WILLIAMS

CARTOGRAPHER

#### 48. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES LIST:

The approved geographic names listed below were furnished by the Washington Office on U.S.G.S. Cordova (C-5) Alaska 15 minute quadrangle, scale 1:63,360, edition 1951.

RAGING CREEK ROGUE CREEK SIMPSON BAY 49. Notes to the Hydrographer:

None

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY **C&GS FORM 1002** PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW T-10368x 126h8 2 TITLE 3. MANUSCRIPT NUMBERS 1. PROJECTION AND GRIDS 4. MANUSCRIPT SIZE DNW DNW DNW DNW CONTROL STATIONS 6. RECOVERABLE HORIZONTAL STATIONS
OF LESS THAN THIRD-ORDER ACCURACY
(Topographic stations) 5. HORIZONTAL CONTROL STATIONS OF THIRD-ORDER OR HIGHER ACCURACY 7. PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS DNW None 8, BENCH MARKS 9. PLOTTING OF SEXTANT PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT 11. DETAIL POINTS None None DNW DNW ALONGSHORE AREAS (Nautical Chart Data) 12. SHORELINE 13. LOW-WATER LINE 14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC. 15. BRIDGES DNW DNW DNW None 18. OTHER ALONGSHORE PHYSICAL FEATURES 16. AIDS TO NAVIGATION 17. LANDMARKS 19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES DNW DNW DNW DNW PHYSICAL FEATURES 20. WATER FEATURES 21. NATURAL GROUND COVER 22. PLANETABLE CONTOURS DNW DNW None 23. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT CONTOURS 24. CONTOURS IN GENERAL 25. SPOT ELEVATIONS 26. OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES None None None DNW CULTURAL FEATURES 30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES 27. ROADS 28. BUILDINGS 29. RAILROADS DNW DNW None DNW BOUNDARIES 31. BOUNDARY LINES 32. PUBLIC LAND LINES None None MISCELLANEOUS
33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES 34. JUNCTIONS 35. LEGIBILITY OF THE MANUSCRIPT DNW DNW DNW 38. FIELD INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS 36. DISCREPANCY OVERLAY 37. DESCRIPTIVE REPORT 39. FORMS DNW DNW DNW DNW 40. REVIEWER SUPERVISOR, REVIEW SECTION OR UNIT Donnel N. Williams Leo F. Beugnet 41. REMARKS (See attached sheet) FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT 42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43. ISUPERVISO L. Neterer Reviewed by C. Bishop A. C. Rauck 43. REMARKS



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

EN

REVINORENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ABAINISTRATION

Memorandum

TO

Chief, Photogrammetry Division

DATE: May 7, 1966

In reply refer to:

FROM :

Commanding Officer USCAGS Ship MODGSON

SUB ECT:

Field Edit - Orca Inlot

Project 21423 (2) Job PH-6409

Photographs, manuscripts and callids for the above project are returned under separate cover. The required field edit has been accomplished and apppropriate notes made on the photographs and oxalids. Upon re-compilation the advance manuscripts and photos should be returned to Seattle processing office for application of shoreline to smooth sheets and final signal location.

John B. Watkins, Jr.

cc: PACMARCEN



#### Sheet T-12906

New plados Salahalak A 416,000 Par film 5/11/66 MEG

Discrepancies as noted were verified in the field. See photo no. 6436942 1/5000. Please note the changes to the area about the small boat basin. To scale sketch has been made of the area as found in May 1966. Other changes are contemplated and it is recommended that a stereo triplet be obtained in mid-summer for undating. The HAW line is shown in purple about the fill area as requested.

#### Sheet T-12649

Discrepancies as noted were verified in the field and appropriate notes made in purple on photo no. 6486786 1/10,000.

#### Sheet T-12652

Discrepancies as noted were verified in the field and appropriate notes made in purple on photo no. 6486786, 6486787, 6486949, 1/10,000. Please note new MIML for western side of observation island.

#### <u>Shoot 1280&</u>

Discrepancies as noted were verified in the field. Applicable notes were made on the ozalid.

#### Sheet 12805

Discrepancies as noted were checked in the field. See also sheet T-12652.

#### Sheet T-12653

All discrepancies as noted were vorified on photo nos. 6437353, 6436891, 6487350, 1/10,000 and 6486943, 1/5000. All shoreline verified is shown in purple on the photos.

photos 000,011/ MB Pan.

In the area SM of station MAUD 2, 1964, photo no. 6486891 considerable activity by the Corps of Engineers makes WHW verification impracticable segrant le at this time. More recent photography is required. It is recommended that a stereo triplet be made of the area in mid-summer for final shoreline detail of this area. .

5/11/66 1021.

On photo no. 6486943 changes were made to shoreline from that shown in 1965 in red. These changes (1966) are shown in purple on the photo.

#### Sheet T-12648

All discrepancies as noted by the compiler were checked and appropriate notes made on the osalid with the exception of Simpson Bay. This area was not within the project limits.

#### Sheet T-12650

Discrepancies as noted were verified in the field. FRML was inked in purple on photo no. 6486952 and 6486953, 1/10,000.

#### Sheet T-12651

Discrepancies as noted were verified in the field. All references are made to photo no. 6486784, 1/10,000. Notes with "AL" indicate a lead line sounding at the applicable time. Shoreline verification is shown in purple on the photo. Several rocks not noted on the discrepancy sheet were added and applicable notes made.

#### REVIEW REPORT SHORELINE April 14, 1967

#### 61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See Summary accompanying Descriptive Report.

#### 62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Comparison was made with Registered Survey No. 3649, 1:20,000 scale, made in 1916. The difference in the shoreline between the two surveys, as shown on the Comparison Print, is the difference after an adjustment was made for the difference in datum between the two surveys. Survey 3649 is on the Valdez datum while T-12648 is on N. A. 1927 datum.

Shoreline survey T-12648 supersedes Registered Survey No. 3649 for nautical chart construction purposes.

#### 63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

Comparison was made with USGS CORDOVA (C-5) ALASKA quadrangle, 1:63,360 scale, edition of 1951 with minor revisions in 1962. The comparison was favorable, there were no outstanding differences in the two surveys.

#### 64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Comparison was made with a copy of boatsheet H-8854, HO-20-1-65. This hydrographic survey did not include the area of Simpson Bay. The source of the shoreline along the northerly coast of Orca Bay of the boatsheet is unknown. It is not in agreement with the Advance Manuscript of T-12648. It was also noted that there are no alongshore rocks shown on the boatsheet.

#### 65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

Comparison was made with Nautical Chart 8525, 1:30,000 scale, 8th Edition, March 14, 1966, revised November 14, 1966. The comparison of the shoreline of the two surveys has been shown on the Comparison Print. It was noted there are several alongshore rocks on the manuscript that are not shown on the chart. These have been indicated on the Comparison Print.

#### 66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

This survey complies with instructions and meets the National Standards of Map Accuracy.

There are no recommendations for future surveys.

Reviewed by:

Leo F. Beugnet

Approved by:

J. Bull, RADM

Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved by:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch

Chief, Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Nautical Chart Division