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Chief of Party:
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
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TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The finished Topographic Sheet is to be accompanied by the following title sheet, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey.

Register No. 3834

State Alaska
General locality South Coast Among Islands
Locality Cape Felix G. Masson Passage
Chief of party E. H. Page, Jr.
Surveyed by N. G. Cottrell, T. W. Waas, T. Coombs
Date of survey October 1920
Scale 1:19,669

Heights in feet above
Contour interval . . . . feet.

Inked by . . . . . . Lettered by . . . . . . .

Records accompanying sheet (check those forwarded): Photographs, Descriptive report, Horizontal angle books, Field computations, Data from other sources affecting sheet . . . . . . . . . . . .

Remarks: No descriptive report submitted.
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
WASHINGTON August 11, 1924.

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS
Report on Topographic Sheet No. 3834
South Coast of Suemez Island, Alaska
Surveyed in 1920
Instructions dated March 16, 1920

Chief of Party, E. H. Pagenhart.

Surveyed by H. A. Cotton and W. T. Combs.

Inked by field party.

1. The records are defective in that the title sheet and descriptive report were not submitted.

2. The plan and character of the survey conform to the requirements of the General Instructions.

3. There were no specific instructions for this work. Its purpose was to correct the representation of the shoreline between triangulation points Nut and Tal, which is erroneously shown on T. 34S8 and T. 35S1. The survey is satisfactory for the purpose intended.

4. The specific instructions directed that a deficiency in the form of this sheet be supplied, but Capt. Pagenhart states that it was not practicable to do so.

5. A note on the sheet states that the scale is 1:19669 whereas the scale is 1:20340.

6. The junctions with the adjacent sheets are satisfactory.

7. No further surveying is required within the area of this sheet.

8. The character and scope of the surveying and field drafting are good.

9. Reviewed by E. P. Ellis, August, 1924.