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General locality: Vicinity of Pensacola Bay
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Surveyed by: See data sheet in the descriptive report
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Instructions dated: June 7, 1934

Remarks: Compiled on scale of 1:10,526 and enlarged and printed on scale of 1:10,000 by Photolithography. Scale factor 0.95.
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- STATISTICS -

on

SHEET, FIELD NO. 9, REG. NO. T-5430

PHOTOS, NO. 10 (U.S. N.A.S.) TO NO. 117

PHOTOS, NO. 10/31/34 TO NO. 117 2:00 P.M.

DATE OF PHOTOGRAPHS 7/11/34 TIME 7:30 A.M.

ROUGH RADIAL PLOT

| R. E. Wagner | 10/11/34 |

SCALE FACTOR (0.95)

| R. E. Wagner | 10/11/34 |

SCALE FACTOR CHECKED

| E. F. Hernandez, Jr. | 10/11/34 |

PROJECTION

| E. F. Hernandez, Jr. | 10/18/34 |

PROJECTION CHECKED

| R. E. Wagner | 10/18/34 |

CONTROL PLOTTED

| M. B. Gill, Jr. | 10/19/34 |

CONTROL CHECKED

| H. C. Smith | 10/20/34 |

TOPOGRAPHY TRANSFERRED

TOPOGRAPHY CHECKED

| M. B. Gill, Jr. | 10/22 - 10/31/34 |

SMOOTH RADIAL LINE PLOT

| E. F. Hernandez, Jr. | 10/31/34 |

RADIAL LINE PLOT CHECKED

| E. F. Hernandez, Jr. | 10/31/34 |

DETAIL INKED

| H. C. Moore | 11/6 - 11/12/34 |

| H. C. Moore | 11/22 - 12/11/34 |

PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF SHEET

| E. F. Hernandez, Jr. | 12/29/34 |

TOTAL AREA OF SHEET 25.6 sq. Statute Miles

AREA OF DETAIL INKED 19.55 sq. Statute Miles (Land Area)

AREA OF DETAIL INKED sq. Statute Miles (Shoals in Water Area)

LENGTH OF SHORELINE (more than 200 m. from nearest opposite shore) 14.7 Statute Miles

LENGTH OF SHORELINE (rivers and sloughs less than 200 m. wide) 11.1 Statute Miles

GENERAL LOCATION East Bay

LOCATION East Bay River to Longitude 86°53'118.8''

DATUM North American 1927 (Unadjusted)

| O1-504 | 138.7 |

| Latitude 30°26'42.9'' (142.0 m.) |

| Longitude 86°57'44.7'' (118.8 m.) |

STATION Rode 1934 (Unadjusted)
I. GENERAL INFORMATION:

Instructions dated June 7, 1934.

The information used in the compilation of this sheet was obtained from notes and sketches on the field photographs and from members of the field inspection party in areas in which the draftsman could not determine the exact nature of the detail from the photographs.

The names appearing on the overlay sheet were taken from old charts of this area, and from the notes made by the field inspection party.

The area covered by this sheet consists of East Bay, East Bay River and the town of Holley as the most important features. The rest of the area consists of sandy land covered with pine, scrub oak, round-leaved trees, and grass. There are a number of farms and orchards along Florida State Highway No. 10.

The portion of the sheet south of East Bay is mostly cut over land, and grass. There are marshy spots along the shore of East Bay, East Bay River, and along some of the streams and bayous.

The range of normal tide for this area is about one foot, and the difference between the high and low water lines is so slight that only the high water line was used in tracing.

The area covered by this sheet was traced from photographs made by the Aero Service Corporation's five-lens camera #HUI-35. Photos Nos. 99 to 117, taken at 7:30 a.m., July 11, 1934, running approximately east and west along Latitude 30°27'. A supplementary single lens flight made by the U. S. Naval Air Station, taken at 2:00 p.m., October 31, 1934, was used to check the shoreline from a little south of Station Rogue to Station Cedar, as this area was far out on the wing prints of the five-lens flight.

There was a change in the shoreline at the mouth of Tom King Bayou that occurred after the five-lens flight had been taken. This portion was traced directly from the U. S. Naval Air Station pictures as it showed up clearly on them.

There was no overlapping flight north or east of this sheet, so detail in that area had to be traced from the wing prints with no check as to its accuracy. As Photo No. 99 was the last of the flight at the east end of the sheet, there were too few radial cuts
to determine accurately the radial points in this area, and as
there was considerable distortion due to the tilt of this photo-
graph and those adjacent, the detail is subject to some error.

II. CONTROL:

(A) Sources.

The following sources of control were used in
the compilation of this sheet:

(a) Triangulation by M. H. Reese, 1934.
(b) Transit traverse by G. B. Grunwell, 1933
(Geological Survey).

The geographic positions of all stations were
computed directly on the North American 1927
Datum. See map.

(B) Errors.

The control used for this sheet was adequate
and no errors were found.

(C) Discrepancies.

There were no discrepancies found on this sheet.

III. COMPILATION:

(A) Method.

The usual five lens radial line method of plotting
was used in the compilation of this sheet.

(B) Adjustment of plot.

The scale of the photographs was fairly uniform,
although there was a good deal of distortion due to
tilt, necessitating considerable adjustment of photos.

Some difficulty was encountered in plotting the
shoreline from Station Cedar to a little south of
Station Roge. This was probably due to the fact that
Roge could only be picked in the five-lens flight on
the extreme end of the wing print of Photo No. 111.
The radial intersection fell consistently inward from
the water area as the shoreline approached Roge, which
would have placed the plotted position of Roge on the
celluloid about 25 meters in the water.

To correct this, a single lens flight taken by
the U. S. Naval Air Station of this portion of the
shoreline was used, a radial plot being drawn using
definite points from the five-lens flight as control for the northern part, and points transferred from field sheet No. 5 for control for the southern portion. The shoreline was traced from Tom King Bayou to a short distance north of Roge from Photo No. I.II; around Roge, from the single lens flight. While this shoreline is probably accurate to within five meters in most parts, there might be an error of almost 15 meters in one or two spots. There is a doubt that Station Roge is picked correctly on the photographs due to indefinite location on the field sketch. This may contribute to the possibility of error, along with the fact that the shoreline of this area has not been shown on any of the field prints.

(c) **Interpretation.**

Only the graphic symbols as approved by the Board of Surveys and Maps (1932) were used in the compilation of this sheet, except for the symbol (\(\text{?}\)) used to denote brush. A single broken line denotes sand trail; broken double line shows passable motor roads; and a full double line, good motor roads.

(d) **Information from other sources.**

None.

(e) **Conflicting names.**

On U.S.C. & G.S. Chart No. 1265 the eastern part of East Bay between Longitude 86°52'30" and 86°56'1" is indicated as East Bay River. In local use this is accepted as East Bay, East Bay River starting at 86°52'30" going east.

The following names were obtained from local inhabitants by the field inspection party and are mentioned on the overlay sheet: Tom King Bayou, Hiawatha, and Deer Creek.

IV. **COMPARISON WITH OTHER SURVEYS:**

The junctions of this sheet with sheet No. T-5478 to the south and sheet No. T-5481 to the northwest are satisfactory.

A close comparison with the previous survey as shown on Chart No. 1265 is difficult due to difference of scale. The general shoreline is substantially the same, the main difference being the roads that have been built since the previous chart. Florida State Highway No. 10 is the main new, paved road through the district.
V. LANDMARKS:


VI. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER SURVEYS:

To the best of my knowledge, this sheet is complete in all detail of importance for charting purposes, and no further survey is deemed necessary.

Submitted by: H. C. Moore,
Draftsman.

Approved by: Mr. H. Reese,
Chief of Party.

This map is believed to have a probable error of 0.3 to 0.5 mm for intricate points and 0.3 to 0.8 mm for all other detail.

F. Reed Jr.
Bgg.

9-21-35.
MEMORANDUM TO ACCOMPANY SHEET NO. T-5430. (Field #9).

The shore line and the topographic features in the vicinity of the shore line on this sheet were transferred to the aluminum topographic sheet of Lieut. Rittenburg's. Lieut. Rittenburg instructed his plane table party to check the shore line and other features at each set-up and each signal located. A very few small errors were discovered by the plane table party and these have been rectified. The shore line from Station Roge to Station Cedar, which was in question as mentioned in the descriptive report, has been verified by the plane table party. Therefore, it is considered that the shore line, as shown on this sheet, is correct.

This sheet was originally compiled using the triangulation computed from the field computations of the first order arc. When the adjusted positions of the first order arc were received, it was discovered that there was a considerable change between the field positions and the adjusted positions. The projection of the compilation has been shifted to the datum obtained from the adjusted computations. The manner in which the factor was obtained is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>N.A. 1927 FINAL POSITION (UNADJUSTED)</th>
<th>CORR'N</th>
<th>N.A. 1927 FIELD POSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bayou, East Bay 1934</td>
<td>30 28 48.407 86 58 40.008</td>
<td>1490.6 1067.1</td>
<td>-3.7  -6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grilla 1934</td>
<td>30 27 48.327 86 56 47.178</td>
<td>1488.1 1258.8</td>
<td>-3.7  -6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar 1934</td>
<td>30 27 14.862 86 56 10.887</td>
<td>457.6 290.5</td>
<td>-3.7  -6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roje 1934</td>
<td>30 26 04.504 86 57 41.927</td>
<td>138.7 1119.8</td>
<td>-3.5  -6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escribano 1934</td>
<td>30 30 49.689 86 58 53.565</td>
<td>1530.1 1428.2</td>
<td>-3.9  -6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Average Correction: Lat. -3.7; Long. -6.6)

M. H. Reese, Chief of Party, C. & G. S.
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

Survey No. T-5480
Chart No. 1265

FLORIDA

Date: June 18, 1935

Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. X
Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. R
Under investigation. Q

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Name on Survey</th>
<th>Name on Chart</th>
<th>New Names in local use</th>
<th>Names assigned by Field</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>East Bay</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Tom King Bayon</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Holley</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Hiawatha</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Deer Creek Dean CV.</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision 5/8/42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>East Bay River</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Miller Bayon (on sheet = U.S. B. Decision 5/8/42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Poplar Creek</td>
<td>Trib. Miller Bayon</td>
<td>From N.E. mouth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Miller Pt</td>
<td>Just W. of Miller Bayon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 names added 6/3/42 by Heck

Approved names: Including in 1944
Comparison with graphic control sheet

(a) T 6320 a (1935), scale 1:20,000

Covers entire area. No discrepancies inshore line. The entire shoreline of T 5480 was transferred to T 6320 a prior to the plane table survey.

There are several docks along the eastern arm of East Bay which are not shown on T 6320 a.

There is a difference of about 10 m. in the position of the eastern of two docks at 30° 26.7', 86° 54.3'. Examination of the photographs substantiates the position as shown on T 5480.

All detail on T 6320 a within the limits of the compilation is shown on T 5480 except temporary stations and the magnetic meridian.

Comparison with previous topographic surveys

(a) T 2161 (1894), scale 1:10,000

Covers entire area except for a small portion in the extreme western part.

The change in the shoreline has not been great, not being over about 40 m. at the most except at the entrance to the eastern arm of East Bay where changes have taken place in the south shore ranging up to about 125 m.

None of the docks shown on T 2161 a is now in existence.

All bluffs now in existence are shown on T 5480.

T 5480 is considered adequate to supersede T 2161 for the area covered.

(b) T 797 (1860), scale 1:20,000

Covers western part of area and only that adjacent to water.

Considerable change in the shoreline along East Bay has taken place ranging up to about 200 m. in some places.

The small bayou at 30° 27.3', 86° 55.7' is called Perillo Bayou on T 797.

T 5480 is considered adequate to supersede T 797 for the area covered.
Comparison with contemporary hydrographic survey

H 5834a (1935), scale 1:20,000

No conflicts with hydrography were found.

There are some small docks (and pilings) along the eastern arm of East Bay which are not shown on H 5834 a, to which the verifier's attention has been called.

Comparison with charts

Chart No. 1255, scale 1:80,000

Covers only that portion of area adjacent to water.

For further discussion see page 4 of descriptive report of T 5480 and comparison with previous topographic surveys in this review.

Landmarks and Aids to Navigation

There are no landmarks or aids to navigation in this area on the chart and none have been submitted.

Aug. 21, 1935
Frank G. Phinney

Approved
K. T. Adams

[Signature]

H. D. Reed

[Signature]
REVIEW OF AIR PHOTO COMPILATION NO. T-5480

Chief of Party: M. H. Reese
Compiled by: H. C. Moore

Project: Florida Compilation
Instructions dated: June 7, 1934

1. The charts of this area have been examined and topo-graphic information necessary to bring the charts up to date is shown on this compilation. (Par. 16a, b,c,d,e,g and i; 28; and 64)
   (a) Low water line not shown. (b) Yes. (c) No bridges of importance to navigation. (d) Yes. (e) Yes. (g) Yes.
   (i) Yes.

2. Change in position, or non-existence of wharves, lights, and other topo-graphic detail of particular importance to navigation which affect the chart, is discussed in the descriptive report. (Par. 28; and 66 g,n)
   There have been no changes of importance to navigation since previous surveys.

3. Ground surveys by plane table, sextant, or theodolite have been used to supplement the photographic plot where necessary to obtain complete information, and all such surveys are discussed in the descriptive report. (Par. 65; and 66 d,e)
   No such additional surveys were necessary.

4. Blue-prints and maps from other sources which were transmitted by the field party contain sufficient control for their application to the charts. (Par. 23)
   None were submitted.

5. Differences between this compilation and contemporary plane table and hydrographic surveys have been examined and rectified in the field before forwarding the compilations to the office and are discussed in the descriptive report.
   No contemporary planetable or hydrographic charts are available.

6. The control and adjustment of the photo plot are discussed in the descriptive report. Unusual or large adjustments are discussed in detail and limits of the area affected are stated. (Par. 12b; 44; and 66 c,h,l)
   Yes.

7. High water line on marshy and sandy coast is clear and adequate for chart compilation. (Par. 16a, 43, and 44)
   Yes.

NOTE: Strike out paragraphs, words or phrases not applicable and modify those requiring it. Paragraph numbers refer to those in the Topographic Manual. Refer also to the pamphlet "Notes on the Compilation of Planimetric Line Maps from Five Lens Air Photographs."
8. The representation of low water lines, and legends pertaining to them is satisfactory. (Par. 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41)

Except for sand bars, the low water line was too close to the high to be shown.

9. Recoverable objects have been located and described on Form 524 in accordance with circular 30, 1933, circular letter of March 3, 1933, and circular 31, 1934. (Par. 29, 30, and 57)

Submitted by Lieut. L. E. Rittenburg.

10. A list of landmarks was furnished on Form 567 and instructions in the Director's letter of July 16, 1934, Landmarks for Charts, complied with. (Par. 16d, e, and 60) (Note submitted)

Submitted by Lieut. L. E. Rittenburg.

11. All bridges shown on the compilation are accompanied by a note stating whether fixed or draw, clearance, and width of draw if a draw bridge. Additional information of importance to navigation is given in the descriptive report. (Par. 16c).

Yes.

12. Geographic names are shown on the overlay tracing. The accepted local usage of new names has been determined and they are listed in the report, together with a general statement as to source of information and a specific statement when advisable. Complete discussion of place names differing from the charts and from the U. S. C. S. Quadrangles is given in the descriptive report, together with reasons for recommendations made. (Par. 64, and 66k)

Yes.

13. The geographic datum of the compilation is N. A. 1927 Um and the reference station is correctly noted. adjusted-

14. Junctions with adjoining compilations have been examined and are in agreement. (Par. 66j)

Yes.

15. The drafting is satisfactory and particular attention has been given the following:

Yes.

1. Standard symbols authorized by the Board of Surveys and Maps have been used throughout except as noted in the report.

2. The degrees and minutes of Latitude and Longitude are correctly marked.
3. All station points are exactly marked by fine black dots.

4. Closely spaced lines are drawn sharp and clear for printing.

5. Topographic symbols for similar features are of uniform weight.

6. All drawing has been retouched where partially rubbed off.

7. Buildings are drawn with clear straight lines and square corners where such is the case on the ground.

(Par. 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48)

16. No additional surveying is recommended at this time.

17. Remarks:

18. Examined and approved:

[Signature]

M. H. Reese
Chief of Party

19. Remarks after review in office:

Reviewed in office by: [Signature] D. G. Jones

Examined and approved:

[Signature] K. T. Adams
Ass't Chief, Section of Field Records

[Signature] D. L. Gilbert
Chief, Division of Charts

[Signature] Fred. L. Peacock
Chief, Section of Field Work

[Signature] A. J. White
Acting Chief, Division of Hydrography and Topography.