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DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

To Accompany Topographic Sheet No. I

Indian River, Florida

INSTRUCTIONS

The work on this sheet was accomplished in accordance with Director's instructions for Project HT-343, dated Oct. 16, 1939.

EXTENT

This sheet covers both shores of the Indian River from Lat. 28° 30' southward to Lat. 28° 27'. The work covers the shoreline and water area only, and is a revision survey of previous air photo surveys.

CONTROL

The control used for this survey was triangulation executed by the party of F. L. Gallen in 1940.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

On the eastern shore (Merritt Island) of the river, the shoreline is generally marshy along the highwater line and breaks into higher land from forty to several hundred meters inshore. A large slough, with one foot of water, lies about in the center of the eastern shore and extends northward for approximately one mile. On the southern end of the same side lies the landing of Courtsey, where a small wharf has recently been constructed and a channel dredged westward to the main channel of the Inland Waterway. To the southward of this channel, which is marked by day beacons, lies a chain of sand and shell spoil banks, the dredgings from the channel. These are gradually washing away and in the course of time will probably disappear. At present they are very definite and can be seen for ten miles in either direction when the sun shines on them.

The western shoreline is a narrow sand beach, which breaks into a low bluff line. The tree line extends to the waters edge except on several flat points on the northern end, where a narrow strip of marsh extends offshore from five to twenty meters. The terrain inshore of the bluff is flat and is in a large part under cultivation.

SURVEY METHODS

After all signals had been located by three or more cuts from triangulation stations, the plan table was set up at these known points and the shoreline rodeed in. No traverses were run.

COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS

This sheet was originally intended as a graphic control sheet for locating hydrographic signals but since excessive discrepancies...
were noted in the shoreline it was considered necessary to relocate all shoreline data at the same time the signals were being located. No details back of the high water line were resurveyed. The original shoreline is shown on the sheet in black and the new location in blue. It will be noted that in places there was practically no change, while in others the difference amounted to as much as 30 meters. The new location of the entire shoreline should be used henceforth.

JUNCTIONS

Satisfactory junction on the northern end of this sheet was affected with sheet field letter S, 1941. The southern end of the sheet is the southern limit of the season's work, therefore no junction was made.

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

See special report on geographic names, F. L. Gallen, Season 1941.

LANDMARKS

Form 567, covering landmarks for the area of this sheet is submitted as a separate report. These landmarks are all aids to navigation with the exception of one building on the west shore at the southern end of the sheet. There are no natural objects sufficiently outstanding to warrant charting.

PLANETABLE POSITIONS

Recoverable planetable positions have been described on Form 524 and will be submitted separately.

MAGNETICS

Declinatiorie observations were obtained at four stations as indicated on the sheet.

STATISTICS

Statute miles of shoreline 16.6

Submitted by,

John C. Ellerbe

Approved,

I. E. Rittenburg
H. & G. Engineer
Chief of Party
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.84 807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.84 806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.71 806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.84 807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.84 807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.84 807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.84 807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name on Survey</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtenay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delespine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontenac</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merritt Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpe's</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature: by L. Heck 8/18/41
MEMORANDUM
IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

SURVEY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT
PHOTOGRAPHER

No. T 6825

received June 11, 1941
registered July 1, 1941
verified
reviewed
approved

This is forwarded in order that your attention may be directed to the matters as indicated below. Please initial in column 3 as an acknowledgement that your attention has been thus directed. The complete original records are available if desired. If you cannot give this your immediate attention, please initial, note, and forward to the next section marked, calling for the records at your convenience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTE</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Attention called to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RETURN TO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTE</th>
<th>Initial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>T. B. Reed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To: The Director  
U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey  
Washington, D. C.

From: The Inspector  
Boston Field Station

Subject: Review of Topographic Survey Sheet T-6825 (1941)

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter dated November 26, 1941, transmitting the review of Topographic Sheet Register No. T-6825 (1941), Indian River, Florida, vicinity of Courtenay.

With reference to Paragraph 4a, prior to the time the survey was made, correspondence was had with the Office and permission obtained to ink the old shoreline in black so that it would not be obliterated while doing the field work. It was agreed that the black waterproof ink should be used and the present shoreline inked in blue.

F. L. Gallen,  
Inspector.

Left Adams wrote personal letter regarding color of shoreline but did not suggest blue for the new topography line.
DIVISION OF CHARTS
SURVEYS SECTION

REVIEW OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY
REGISTER NO. T-6825 (1941)
FIELD NO. I

Florida - Indian River, Courtenay and Vicinity
Surveyed in March 1941, Scale 1:10,000
Instructions dated October 16, 1939 (MIKAWE)

Plane Table Survey    Aluminum Mounted

Chief of Party - F. L. Gallen
Surveyed by - J. C. Ellerbe
Inked by - J. C. Ellerbe
Reviewed by - H. F. Stegman
Inspected by - H. R. Edmonston, October 17, 1941

1. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys
   a. The junction on the north with T-6824b (1941) is satisfactory.
   b. The adjoining survey on the south was not completed during the 1941 season.

2. Comparison with Prior Surveys
   a. T-1435 (1876); scale 1:20,000
      This early survey covers the entire area of the present survey. The maximum differences are on the west bank, northward of lat. 28°28', where the present survey shoreline is as much as 75 meters west of that on T-1435. This difference is believed to be due to the inaccuracy of the old survey rather than recession of shoreline.
      On the eastern shore differences of 10 to 25 meters were noted. Much of this shoreline is low and marshy and the differences are apparently due to natural changes and difference of interpretation. The present survey supersedes this survey.
   b. T-4532 (1928); scale 1:20,000
      This air photographic survey covers the entire area of the present survey. Southward of lat.
28°28' the shorelines agree within 10 to 20 meters. To the northward the differences are greater with a maximum of about 60 meters in lat. 28°29.5', long. 80°46.5'. The descriptive report of T-4532 states that it was controlled in part by the topography of T-1435 and that certain scale adjustments were necessary. It is evident that the shoreline differences are due largely to the lack of adequate ground control on T-4532. For this reason and because of its later date the present survey supersedes the shoreline of this air photographic survey.

3. **Comparison with Chart 844 (Latest print dated May 1, 1941)**

   a. **Topography**

   Topography on the chart originates with the air photographic survey T-4532 (1928) considered in paragraph 2b.

   b. **Aids to Navigation**

   The aids to navigation were considered in the review of H-6664 (1941).

   c. **Magnetic Meridians**

   The magnetic meridians were observed at four points. The values obtained agree closely with the charted value of 0°45' E.

4. **Condition of Survey**

   a. The inking of topographic details was satisfactory except as to color of shoreline. As received from the field the present survey shoreline was in blue and that of T-4532 (1928) inked in black. In the office the T-4532 shoreline was removed to avoid confusion, and the T-6825 shoreline was inked in black to permit photographic reproduction.

   b. The descriptive report is clear and satisfactorily covers all matters of importance.

5. **Compliance with Instructions for the Project**

   Satisfactory.

6. **Additional Field Work Recommended**

   This survey is satisfactory and no additional field work is required. When topography on this project is
resumed, southward of T-6825 (1941), the shoreline of the 1928 air photographic surveys should be left in pencil on the survey sheets. Shoreline revision can then be shown in black without causing confusion. If it is desired to ink the 1928 survey shoreline an overlay tracing should be used.

It is desirable that a list of signals in water areas such as piles, dolphins, temporary staker, etc., with appropriate notes as to their character and permanency, be included in the descriptive report (Paragraph 2(b) Field Memorandum No. 5, 1935).

7. Superseded Surveys

T-1435 (1876) in part.
T-4532 (1928) in part.

Examined and Approved:  

[Signatures]

Chief, Surveys Section  
Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Section of Hydrography  
Chief, Division of Coastal Surveys