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CONTROL: The control was a scheme of third order triangulation -
Unalaska Datum - Field Computations.

DESCRIPTION OF COAST: The shores are rocky with offlying rocks and
kelp. The south-eastern and eastern shores
of Skagul Island are very foul and the kelp is very thick. Skagul
Island is rolling grassy hills 90 to 100 feet high covered with grass.
Ogliuga Island is comparatively flat and marshy. There is a landing
strip and temporary huts on the northern shore. It was planned that
all huts and poles be removed. Some of the equipment was removed
while the survey was in progress. It was planned that the landing
strip and tower would be left on the island.

SURVEY METHODS: Standard topographic methods were followed. Triang-
ulation station GUL, 1944 was plotted on the sheet
and the azimuth GUL to UCIDAK was drawn on the sheet using a steel
protractor. With these starting data, station ITEM was located by a
plane table azimuth and a distance taped with a 75 meter wire. Using
this base all other signals were located by graphic triangulation
supplemented by rod readings where needed.

DISCREPANCIES: It was found that the initial azimuth was drawn on
the sheet 10° in error in a clockwise direction.

The distances between signals, as compared with distances that were
later determined by triangulation, were too great by approximately
7 meters per mile.

The adjustment was made by swinging the topography counter-clockwise
about GUL and reducing the distances so that the topographic positions
and triangulation positions of the following stations would be in
agreement: GUL, TAG, TOWER, ZED, RADAR (USED), BAC, ITEM, OBO, CAB,
HAD, PAD, and YOKE (USED). The cuts are left in pencil on the sheet.
Magnetic Meridians were drawn on the sheet with declinometer No. 244 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Declination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>8/12/44</td>
<td>08° 04'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>8/9/44</td>
<td>07° 50'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMI</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>7/24/44</td>
<td>07° 29'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWIN</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>7/25/44</td>
<td>06° 49'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Landmarks: There are no landmarks of sufficient prominence for charting purposes.

Submitted January 10, 1945:

Edward B. Brown, Jr.,

Approved and forwarded:

L. C. Wilder,
REPORT ON INSPECTION OF PHOTOGRAPHS

TANAGA ISLAND AND THE DELAROF ISLANDS

ALEUTIAN ISLANDS

1944
REPORT ON INSPECTION OF PHOTOGRAPHS

Tanaga Island and the Delarof Islands

ALEUTIAN ISLANDS

1944

This short report covers the inspection of the following photographs:
Nos. 453 to 461, Ogliuga and Skagul Ids. by MV E. LESTER JONES; Nos. 79
to 116, Cape Sasmik to inner part of Tanaga Bay (south side) and Cape
Sasmik to Cape Amagialik (north part) by MV PATTON; and the balance by
MV DERICKSON.

All other photographs of this general area are being retained aboard
the MV DERICKSON as inspection was incomplete or for the most part not
undertaken. The numbers are as follows: 485, 117 to 145, 146 to 168,
441 to 445, 201 to 225, 462 to 469, 472 to 480, and single lens photos
of Amatignak Id.

All points circled and notes entered on photographs in 1944 are in blue
ink. Late instructions for inspection of photographs were not avail-
able in the field. No person walked the high waterline, nor was it
followed closely by boat. In lieu thereof where it appeared indefinite
on the photographs, it was examined. The low water or reef line was
checked in but a few places. An attempt was made to check the outer
offlying rocks. If there is any question regarding the photographs of
the outer coast of Tanaga Id., Lt. Comdr. Pierce may be consulted although
I believe Lt. Comdr. Ricketts made the inspection. Most of the inspection
of Ogliuga and Skagul Ids. was made by Lieut. Stone. Lt. Comdr. Roberts
can also be consulted for this area if necessary.

The topography of the north and east shores of Tanaga Bay was run in
by personnel of the MV PATTON. The Seattle Processing Office has the
graphic control topographic sheets of the south side of Tanaga Bay and
also that of Skagul and Ogliuga Ids. These sheets or tracings might be
needed in the compilation although there is little shoreline on the
Skagul-Ogliuga Id. sheet. I believe the descriptions of stations in
the area, south side of Tanaga Bay, contain no distances to offlying
rocks, etc. to assist in spotting the stations on the photographs.
The topographic sheet would be of assistance in this respect.

I would judge that the shoreline of the Skagul-Ogliuga Id. area would
be desired in the field this coming season if hydrography is to be done
there. Some inspection was accomplished in the Ulake Island area but
control is not complete and photographs were not forwarded.

Respectfully submitted,

L. C. Wilder,
Commanding Officer,
USCG&SS DERICKSON.
Supplement to Descriptive Report T-6980

COMPILATION OF SHORELINE FROM AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

The planetable work included identification of control on existing Navy five-lens photographs and the field inspection of a few sections of high water line on these photographs. This field work was accomplished in the summer of 1944. The compilation of the shoreline from the aerial photographs was done in the Washington Office in August 1945.

A templet radial plot of this area was made from five-lens photographs directly on the planetable sheet. Two triangulation stations and seven topographic stations that were identified on the photographs in the field were used to control the plot. These stations could not be held exactly in the plot, probably because of distortion in the paper that the photographs were mounted on. The plot held all of the control within 1.0 millimeter.

The high water line was first inked on the photographs under the stereoscope. Only a very few sections of the high water line had been field inspected and the balance was interpreted by analogy. It is therefore subject to error in interpretation, particularly on flat or gently sloping ledges. Following the delineation of the high water line on the photographs, it was projected to the planetable sheet using the map projector and holding to points located by the radial plot.

As discussed above, it was not possible to make a precise radial plot with these photographs which have been mounted for some years and which are distorted. However, except for possible errors in shoreline identification, all areas are considered to be within one millimeter of correct geographic position.

Photographs Nos. 453 to 461, inclusive, were used.

L. C. Lande

L. C. Lande

[Signatures]

This survey is superseded by T-5005. No revision necessary.

R. H. Coates

May 1949

[Signatures]
### Nautical Charts Branch

**Survey No. 1980**

**Record of Application to Charts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Cartographer</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-20-45</td>
<td>8863</td>
<td>J. M. M.</td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name on Survey</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aleutian Islands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dylagof Islands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagul Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oglues Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagul Pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Names underlined in red are approved.

1/13/48: L. Heck