

Réconstiture Plan Toble abul le l'hotogrammeli jont his in Vant Diagd. on Diag. Ch No 1909

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey Topographic Graphic Control Field No. T-839. Office No.
LOCALITY
State Florida
General locality Florida West Coast
Locality Boca Ciega Bay
1948
CHIEF OF PARTY Ross A. Gilmore

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

DATE December 21,1948

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. T-8390

REGISTER NO.

StateFlorida
General Locality Florida West Coast
Locality Boca Ciega Bay
Scalel: 20,000 Date of survey September , 1948
Project Ph-38(48)
Chief of partyRoss A. Gilmore
Surveyed by James E. Hundley
Inked by James E. Hundley
Heights in feet above to ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions dated 7 September , 19 48
Remarks: Some shoreline detail was field inspected on single
lens 1: 20,000 scale photographs.
GPO 266853

PROJECT: PH-38(48) Date of Instructions: - 7 September 1948 DATUM: NA 1927 DATE OF FIEID WORK: 17 September 1948 -- 6 October 1948

<u>PURPOSE</u>: To locate existing aids to navigation and make major topographic revisions of the shoreline and adjacent detail.

METHODS:

There are no permanent fixed aids to navigation (steel of masonry structures) on this sheet requiring third order triangulation location.

All existing non-permanent fixed aids to navigation were located on the sheet by three or more planetable cuts.

Field inspection of the shoreline and adjacent detail was made in accordance with paragraph 8, Instructions—Project Ph-38(48), dated 7 September 1948.

Changes in shoreline detail has been shown on the following photographs: Nos. 19, 23, 95, 101, 102, 103, 157, 182, 255, 265 and 266. (268 4 269 a/so)

Where shoreline was field inspected and shown on the photographs it has been made with an accuracy of .5 millimeter of true position.

HORIZONTAL CONTROL:

Only those stations (Triangulation and Topographic) used in the course of work on this sheet were recovered. The following recovery notes are submitted:

Form 526 for triangulation station OYSTER 2, 1908, 1941.

Form 526 for triangulation station WATER TOWER, LAKEWOOD ESTATES, 1934

Form 526 for triangulation station FLAGPOLE ON CUPOLA, FLA MILITARY ACADEMY.

Form 526 for triangulation station GULFPORT, SILVER MUNICIPAL TANK, FLAGPOLE, 1934.

Form 526 for triangulation station TANK, DON CE SAR HOTEL, 1934.

Form 524 for topographic station ASP, 1941. Form 524 for topographic station GAL, 1941.

LANDMARKS: 1,569(54)

A complete landmark inspection was made for that part of this sheet covering the 1: 40,000 scale Intracoastal Waterway Charts.

The position of the following landmark was determined on the sheet, also identified on photograph No. 103. Form 524 is submitted for this landmark: i.e. PINELLAS COUNTY WATER COMMISSION SHIVER WATER TANK.

The positions of all other landmarks are shown on the sheet.

All landmarks have been reported on form 567 as a part of this report.

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES:

No systematic investigation of geographic names was required for this project. However a Coast Pilot Report submitted by Lieutenant Commander, John Bowie, Jr., 6 April 1948 recommends a change in name interregation of Coon Key to Boca Ciega Island. After a thorough investigation of the local populace it is found that the local usage of the name for this island remains Coon Key. Boca Cieaga Isle, not Boca Ciega Island, is merely a name appearing on a real estate sign board at the intersection of the road leading east to the island from the mainland on Long Key.

BRIDGES:

There were no discrepancies in the bridge data as published in the "List of Bridges over Navigable Waters of the United States" in the area covered by this sheet.

SUBMARINE CABLES:

All submarine cables across navigable waters in this area have been located in accordance with paragraph 15, Photogrammetry Instructions No. 27, dated September 7, 1948, reference sheet T-8390 and photograph Nos. 19 and 265.

COAST PILOT:

Not required. See paragraph 9-Instructions-Project Ph-38(48) dated 7 September, 1948.

DISCREPANCIES; AIDS TO NAVIGATION:

There were no discrepancies, noted in the field, with the published descriptions of the aids to navigation in the Light List or on Nautical Charts.

Approved and Forwarded:

Ross A. Gilmore, "/8/48

Chief of Party.

Submitted by,

James E. Hundley
Cartographer (Photo.

Topographer

1257 T-8390 27 44.7 J.E.H. 59.7 ft. 8.1 ft. 586 Rhoto. 82 44.7 Sept. 59.7 ft. 8.1 ft. 255 Rhoto. 82 44.7 L948	Bridge or Pewer Line Locality	Listed	Shown on Chart Fo.	Located	Lat.	Chacked	CLEARANCES Field determination		(predicted M.H. M.)	a.) Book
Corwe 92 1257 T-6390 27 44.7 J.E.H. 59.7 ft. 60.0 ft. 1255 15.55 (Mart 1257.		Back p.		•		Field	Horts.		Norts.	Vert.
92 1257 T-6390 27 44.7 J.E.H. 59.7 ft. 8.1 ft. 60.0 ft. 586 Photo. 82 44.7 Sept. 1948 ntal Clearance not shows on Chart 1257.	Clearmater Harbor					4		4		
255 [soutal clearance not shows on Chart 1257.	St. Petersburg (Core	26	1257		27 44-7	. :	59.7 ft.			8.0 ft.
Bote: Nortzontal Clearence not shows on Chart 1257.			\					,		
Boxes mortawheat creatence not another 1227.						4				
	Bote: Kor.	Lacental Cle	arance not	shower on Ch	urt 1257.	Y .				· · ·
			Ā							
										400

NAUTICAL CHARTS BRANCH

SURVEY NO. _*T-7105*

Record of Application to Charts

DATE	CHART	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
9-7-49	858	D.R. Engle	Before After Verification and Review
2-18-13	1257	Ear M Boy sig	Before After Verification and Review EXam No Con
			Before After Verification and Review
0			Before After Verification and Review
-			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
			Before After Verification and Review
<u> </u>			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		·	

M-2168-1

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.