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</tr>
<tr>
<td>16239</td>
<td>5 April 1946</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16025</td>
<td>5 April 1946</td>
<td>16:22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide from (III): See Shoreline Survey Compilation Report


Camera: (Kind or source) U.S. C. & G.S. 9-Lens 81/2" focal length.

Field Inspection by: J.S. Howell and E.L. Williams  date: 6/25/47 to 8/27/47

Field Edit by: Elgar T. Jenkins  date: 1-15 April 1949

Date of Mean High-Water Line Location (III): See Shoreline Survey Compilation Report.

March 1947

Projection and Grids ruled by (III) K.K. (Wash. Office)date: 19 Nov. 1947

" " " checked by: T.L. J.  date: 19 Nov. 1947

Control plotted by: R.A. Reece  date: 8 Dec. 1947

Control checked by: I.I. Saperstein  date: 8 Dec. 1947

Radial Plot by: R.C. Andrews  date: 8 April 1948

R.S. Pate  9 April 1948

Detailed by: W.W. Dawsey  date: July-Aug. 1948

Reviewed in compilation office by: J.A. Giles  date: July, 1948

Map Manuscript

Elevations on Field Sheet Sheet checked by: J.A. Giles  date: " 1948
STATISTICS (III)

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles): 54.2


Number of Accessible Hydrographic Stations established: 12

Number of Temporary Hydrographic Stations located by radial plot: None

Leveling (to control contours) - miles: 7

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (II) Field Party, (III) Compilation Party, or, (VI) the Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.

Remarks:
Summary Report to Accompany T-8739
(Topographic and Shoreline)

T-8739 is one of a series of 37 7½ minute quadrangles
in Project Ph-5 (1945) in North Carolina. It covers an area
which includes the upper part of North River, the North River
Bridge, Yard Creek, the upper part of Jarrett Bay and most
of the area known as the Open Grounds.

T-8739 is composed of two separate map manuscripts; i.e.,
one standard 7½ minute topographic quadrangle, 1:20,000 scale,
and one shoreline (S/2) manuscript at 1:10,000 scale which is
3-3/4 minutes in latitude and 7½ minutes in longitude.

The two Descriptive Reports, one covering the topographic
map and the other the shoreline map, have been combined.

The several mapping operations were as follows:

a) Mine-lens photography and laboratory processing,
   1:20,000 scale and 1:10,000 scale.

b) The field work included shoreline inspection,
   identification and establishment of horizontal and supplemental
   vertical control, planetable contouring, clarification of
   photographic detail, and geographic names investigation. All
   contouring was done on the 1:20,000 scale photographs, and
   all shoreline inspection and other pertinent notes were made
   on the 1:10,000 scale photographs.

c) Compilation by graphic methods.

A reduction of the shoreline manuscript (S/2) which is
limited to an alongshore zone approximately 200 meters wide
was traced directly onto the 1:20,000 manuscript.

All contours and interior details were compiled from
the 1:20,000 photographs directly.

d) Preliminary office inspection of topographic manu-
   script.

e) Field edit and completion.

f) Final review of the manuscripts to ensure completeness
   and conformance with specifications and project instructions
   and to include additions and corrections from the field edit
   survey.

g) Processing.

A 1:20,000 scale glass plate negative of the topographic
manuscript will be prepared for transmittal to the Geological
Survey.

T-8739 will be published and distributed by the Geological
Survey at a scale of 1:24,000 as a standard topographic quadrangle
in accordance with an agreement dated March 25, 1947.
Data pertaining to T-8739 will be filed and may be obtained as follows:

a) Filed in the Division of Photogrammetry
   1. Two map manuscripts (originals); 1:20,000 scale (topo), and 1:10,000 scale (shoreline), field edit and final review corrections applied.
   2. Field edit sheet.
   3. Form 524, topographic station descriptions (12)

b) Filed in the Bureau Archives
   1. Combined descriptive reports (topo and shoreline)
   2. A cloth-backed lithographic print of T-8739 at 1:20,000 scale, (topo).
   3. A cloth-backed lithographic print of T-8739 at 1:10,000 scale (shoreline S/2).

When T-8739 (topographic) is published, a cloth backed copy of the printed map at 1:24,000 scale will be registered. The shoreline map manuscript will not be published.
FIELD INSPECTION REPORT
T-8739 (34° 45' / 76° 30')
Project Ph-5(45),
Sub-Project B

Riley J. Sipe, Chief of Party

All phases of field work were completed in accordance with the Director's Instructions, Project Ph-5(45), Field, and Instructions Project Ph-5(45), Field, Supplement 1, dated 11 December 1946, except for deviations herein noted.

The field work on this quadrangle was completed by the following personnel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name &amp; Title</th>
<th>Field Work</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. A. Stewart</td>
<td>Vertical Control</td>
<td>1/8/47-1/31/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engr. Aid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. R. Smith</td>
<td>Vertical Control</td>
<td>6/27/47 - 6/28/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engr. Aid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. L. Williams</td>
<td>Contours</td>
<td>6/25/47 - 8/27/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engr. Aid</td>
<td>Interior Inspection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. S. Howell</td>
<td>Shoreline</td>
<td>2/11/47 - 3/20/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topo. Engr.</td>
<td>Horizontal Control</td>
<td>2/7/47 - 2/10/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. A. Horn</td>
<td>Shoreline</td>
<td>9/2/47 - 9/5/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photogram.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Description of the Area.

The major portion of the land area within the limits of this quadrangle lies approximately 9 miles northeast of Beaufort, North Carolina.

In the southwest corner of the quadrangle the North River has its headwaters. The southeastern limits of the quadrangle is fringed by Jarrett Bay.

The two principal settlements in the area are Smyrna and Willis-ton, North Carolina. There is some farming done, but the occupation indulged in by the majority of the inhabitants is fishing.

U. S. Highway #70 is the main access road into the area.

A considerable amount of the land area in this quadrangle is referred to locally as the "Open Grounds." For the most part, this is a tangled mass of underbrush and briars, generally quite swampy. There are some stands of timber in the "Open Grounds" which would be of some value if it was more accessible.

2. Completeness of Field Inspection

It is felt that the field inspection is complete and adequate. All
pertinent data was delineated on the photographs.

3. Interpretation of the Photographs

Since photography was of a recent date no difficulty was encountered in the interpretation of the photographic detail.

4. Horizontal Control

All horizontal control within the quadrangle was searched for. Four stations were identified on the photographs for control of the radial plot.

5. Vertical Control

One third order level line was run in this quadrangle, along route #70, and bench marks were established about one per mile along this line. From these bench marks fly levels were run and spot elevations were established to be used in contouring. The maximum error of closure accepted, on the fly level lines, was 1 foot.

6. Contours and Drainage

Contouring was done on 9 lens, 1:20,000 scale photographs, by plane-table methods. The quadrangle overall has a continuous 5 foot contour with a few scattered and isolated 10 foot contours and a couple of depression 5' contours. The natural drainage in the quadrangle is easily identified on the photographs. In the northern section of the quadrangle the area is extremely flat and the natural drainage of the land has been augmented by man made drainage ditches.

7. Mean High Water Line

The mean high water line was inspected from a skiff, by walking the shoreline, and by observation from points of vantage. Both fast and apparent shoreline were encountered. The tide range is this area is limited and fluctuates primarily with the wind rather than the normal tidal influence.

8. Low Water Line

The low water line was not delineated. Tide was at a high stage during the time the party was in the field in this area.

9. Wharves and Shoreline Structures

All wharves and shoreline structures not discernable on the photographs were delineated and appropriately labeled.

10. Detail Off-Shore from Mean High Water Line

Details noted off-shore from mean high water line consisted of duck
blinds, piles, remains of a marine railway, and a cattle fence. All these objects were located on the photographs.

11. Landmarks and Aids to Navigation

There is one landmark in this quadrangle named "CHIMNEY" on Chart 1233. It has been noted on the photograph, and reported on Form 567. There are no aids to navigation within the limits of this quadrangle.

12. Hydrographic Control

In accordance with the project instructions the existing horizontal control was supplemented by permanently marked Topographic Stations in order to have horizontal control at not more than one mile intervals. Natural objects were selected in lieu of setting disks wherever possible.

13. Landing Fields and Aeronautical Aids

There are no landing fields or aeronautical aids in this quadrangle.

14. Road Classification

All roads have been classified in accordance with Photogrammetry Instructions No.10, dated 4/14/47.

15. Bridges

There are two bridges over navigable waters in this quadrangle. The vertical and horizontal clearances were measured with a steel tape and checked with the "List of Bridges Over Navigable Waters in the United States."

16. Buildings and Structures

These subjects are adequately covered on the photographs.

17. Boundary Monuments and Lines

There are no Boundary Monuments or Lines in this quadrangle.

18. Geographic Names

Geographic Names will be the subject of a special report by Mr. A. J. Wright, Topographic Engr.

Approved:

Riley J. Hipe
Chief of Party

E. L. Williams
Engineering Aid

R. A. Horn
Photogrammetrist
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR y-COORDINATE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE OR x-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>DATUM CORRECTION</th>
<th>N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIMPSON, 1933</td>
<td>G.P. P. 601</td>
<td>N.A. 1927</td>
<td>34° 48.01\textdegree N</td>
<td>76° 36.08\textdegree W</td>
<td>507</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.4 (1802.5)</td>
<td>211.2 (1314.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C of E MON #24, 1943</td>
<td>C of E 481</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>34° 45.44.431</td>
<td>76° 31.10.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1369.1 (479.8)</td>
<td>257.3 (1268.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C of E MON #32, 1943</td>
<td>C of E 483</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>34° 47.14.039</td>
<td>76° 30.25.341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>432.6 (1416.3)</td>
<td>644.3 (881.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C of E MON #23, 1943</td>
<td>C of E 484</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>34° 45.44.043</td>
<td>76° 31.30.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1357.2 (491.7)</td>
<td>763.0 (762.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C of E MON #31, 1943</td>
<td>C of E 485</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>34° 47.06.602</td>
<td>76° 30.35.129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>203.4 (1645.5)</td>
<td>893.2 (632.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH, 1913</td>
<td>G.P. 460</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>34° 45.05.964</td>
<td>76° 37.19.689</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>183.8 (1665.1)</td>
<td>500.8 (1025.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 ft = 0.03048006 meter

COMPUTED BY: W.H. Shearouse
DATE: 3 April 1947

CHECKED BY: M.M. Slavney
DATE: 10 July 1947
26 and 27. CONTROL AND RADIAL PLOT:

A special report was prepared and submitted to the Washington Office by E.C. Andrews and R.J. Pate, on 20 May 1948. Filed in Div. Photogrammetry/General Files

28. DELINEATION:

This Topographic Manuscript was in part traced from the reduction of the 1:10,000 scale shoreline manuscript.

A slight difference was found between the shoreline plot and the 1:20,000 topographic plot from latitude 34° 48' to 34° 49', longitude...76° 37' to 76° 37' 30". The 1:10,000 shoreline reduction was deviated from slightly in the above mentioned area so that junction could be made with the adjoining quadrangle. It is believed that the 1:20,000 plot is a little stronger in this area, due to additional photographic coverage over the 1:10,000 shoreline manuscript. The 9-lens 1:20,000 scale photographs were generally of a poor scale except photograph number 16239 which was of a very good scale, and was used wholly for delineation in the area that it covered. The projector was used for correcting scale differences between the map manuscript and the photographs when necessary.

29. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

None was used.

30. MEAN HIGH-WATER LINE:

The mean high-water line was taken directly from the reduction of 1:10,000 scale shoreline manuscript. See item 30 of Compilation Report to Accompany Shoreline Manuscript.

31. LOW WATER AND SHOAL LINES:

The low-water line was shown as depicted on the Shoreline Manuscript. No duplication of shoal lines was considered necessary.

32. DETAILS OFF SHORE FROM HIGH-WATER LINE:

All details shown on the Shoreline Manuscript were transferred to the Topographic Manuscript. See Item 32 of Compilation Report to Accompany Shoreline Manuscript.
34. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION:

See item 34 of Compilation Report to Accompany Shoreline Manuscript.

35. HYDROGRAPHIC CONTROL:

See item 35 of Compilation Report to Accompany Shoreline Manuscript.

36. LANDING FIELDS AND AERONAUTICAL AIDS:

None

37. POLITICAL BOUNDARIES:

Item 17 of Field Inspection Report is in error. The following political boundary lines fall within the limits of this quadrangle and have been shown on the map manuscript: BEAUFORT, HUNTING-QUARTER, MERRIDON, SMYRNA, and STRAITS TOWNSHIPS.

Typ. lines have been shown on a later map of Carteret Co. dated 7 Feb 49

38. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES:

All Geographic Names furnished by the Washington Office were shown.

List of approved names attached to this Description Report.

44. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING TOPOGRAPHIC QUADS:

None available.

45. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

See item 45 of Compilation Report to Accompany Shoreline Manuscript.

Respectfully submitted,

W.W. Dawsey
W.W. Dawsey
Photogrammetric Aid

Approved and Forwarded:

Ross A. Gilmore
Lieut. Comdr. USCG
Chief of Party.
The township map of Carteret County, scale 1:80,000 is very inaccurate. When enlarged to the scale of the map manuscript, the various township lines involved do not plot in positions that appear to be correct, as it is believed that most township lines follow natural boundaries where possible. Since no legal descriptions were submitted for these boundary lines, this office has taken the responsibility of correcting the township lines to follow natural boundaries wherever possible. For example, the boundary line between BEAUFORT and STRAIGHTS TOWNSHIPS in NORTH RIVER has been constructed to follow the center of the river until it reaches TURNPIKE ROAD in Quadrangle T-8738. Actually the line (if plotted as shown on the county map) would leave the river approximately 400 millimeters north of Crabbing Creek and continue in a straight line across the marsh to TURNPIKE ROAD. Also, the township line between SMYRNA and HUNTING QUARTERS TOWNSHIPS has been changed accordingly. This line has been shown as a straight line from the upper reaches of the EAST FORK of SOUTH RIVER in Quadrangle T-8732 to the upper reaches of HOWLAND CREEK in Quadrangle T-8740. If the line were shown as plotted from the County map, it would leave the EAST FORK of SOUTH RIVER in Quadrangle T-8732 approximately 49 millimeters downstream from its upper reaches.

It is suggested that the field editor discuss these discrepancies with the Board of County Commissioners and advise this office regarding the same. It seems feasible that the Board, upon being thoroughly acquainted with the accuracy and completeness of our published quadrangles, would adopt them as their official maps for political boundaries.

william a. rasure
William A. Rasure
Photogrammetric Engr.

Approved andForwarded:

Ross A. Gilmore
Lieut., Comdr., USCG & GS
Chief of Party.

The County Commissioners submitted a map of Carteret Co., NC, on Feb. 7, 1949, from which all topographic lines have been corrected (corrections etc.) during final review. R.J.F. 2/6/50.
FIELD EDIT REPORT
Quadrangle T-3739
34-45.0' - 76-30.0'/7.5'
Project Ph 5(45)

E. R. McCarthy, Chief of Party

The field edit of this quadrangle was accomplished during the period, 1 April, to 15 April, 1949, by Elgan T. Jenkins, Cartographer. All work was performed in accordance with Field Edit Instructions dated 24 August, 1945, and Supplement 1, dated 4 February, 1946.

46 METHODS:

Features such as roads, structures, drainage and contours were checked by visual observation supplemented as necessary by planer methods.

The majority of additions and deletions was made directly on the field edit sheet. Some changes were shown on the photographs with a reference number of the photograph shown on the sheet.

Discrepancies were not settled directly on the discrepancy print, or referenced to the photographs, are discussed in the body of the report.

47 ADEQUACY OF THE COMPILATION:

The compilation of this quadrangle was adequate, most of the corrections shown on the field edit sheet were the results of natural and artificial changes, which occurred subsequent to the date of the field inspection.

There were some details overlooked by the compiler, that were shown by the Field Inspector. These details have been noted on the field edit sheet.

48 ACCURACY TESTS:

No accuracy tests were specified for this quadrangle. It is believed to comply with the horizontal and vertical accuracy specifications. Numerous visual observations indicate that the contour expression is satisfactory.

1 DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA

Item I of the field inspection report is partly in error. The many ditches and small streams affords this area a fair drainage. The larger portion of the ground is damp and spongy; however, it now supports a good growth of young pine. This area is a drained swamp and should not be shown by a swamp symbol.
2. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION

At 34-46.5/76-37.1, there is a building that should be shown as a landmark, which is reported on Form 567. This is in addition to the one discussed under Items 11 and 34 of the Field Inspection and Shoreline Compilation reports, respectively.

18 GEORGRAPHIC NAMES

During the time of field edit several geographic name discrepancies came to light. The name of the Small Creek at 34-45.3/76-30.8, shown as "Middens Creek" is in dispute. The proponderance of local information indicates that the name, "Pea Creek", is correct, and it has been so shown on the Geographic Name Sheet. However, because of the strong local opposition, it is recommended that no name be accepted until pending information is received and forwarded.

The name placement of the small creeks "Jump and Run" and "Middens", is shown on the field edit sheet in their corrected location.

The creek in 34-45.5/76-34.5 shown as "S. Leopard", is better known as "Gillikin Creek", which latter name is recommended.

The name of the small creek at 34-46.6/76-34.0, which is shown on the compilation as "Gillikin", is unknown locally. No one contacted had heard of a name for this creek, so it is recommended the name be deleted.

These names were all checked with reliable local residents, and are in constant local use. A list of names of local residents is shown on the field edit sheet as references.

49 MISCELLANEOUS:

This sheet was reviewed by Mr. H. E. Willis, a resident of Smyrna for 35 years. Mr. Willis has fished and hunted for twenty years in this area. He could find no errors in the compilation.

Submitted:
15 May, 1949

Approved:
15 May, 1949

E. R. McCarthy
Chief of Party
**Diag. Cht. No. 1283 & 1234-2**
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**LOCALITY**
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</tr>
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<td>Locality</td>
<td>North River</td>
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</table>
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**CHIEF OF PARTY**

R.J. Sipe, Chief of Field Party
G.E. Morris, Jr., Tampa Photo, Office
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## PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16183</td>
<td>7 April '46</td>
<td>11:19</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>2.0 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>11:23</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>11:24</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
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<td>86</td>
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<td>2.0</td>
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<td>11:13</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide from (III): Beaufort, N.C.  
Reference Station Hampton Roads  
Mean Range: 2.5  
Spring Range: 3.0

Camera: (Kind or source) U.S.C.&G.S. 9 Lens 8:25 inch Focal Length

E.L. Williams  
Field Inspection by: R.A. Horn  
Feb-Mar '47  
date: June-Aug '47
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date: 6 Oct. '47  
date: 6 Oct. '47

Radial Plot by: M.M. Slavney  
Detailed by: W.W. Dawsey  
Reviewed in compilation office by: J.A. Giles  
date: 27 Oct. 1947  
date: Oct-Dec '47  
date: Jan. 1948

Elevations on Field Edit Sheet  
checked by: None - Shoreline Survey  

Date:
STATISTICS (III)

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles): 12

Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore): 55.9 Statute miles

Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore): 6.0 Statute miles

Number of Recoverable Topographic Stations established: 12

Number of Temporary Hydrographic Stations located by radial plot: None

Leveling (to control contours) - miles:

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by, (II) Field Party, (III) Compilation Party, or, (VI) the Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials (not initials only).

Remarks:
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by the

Wm. J. Reasey
Tampa Photogrammetric Office

E. R. McCarthey
Chief of Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>Charting Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Charting Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Datum</th>
<th>Method of Location and Survey No.</th>
<th>Date of Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>29' above ground</td>
<td>34-46 879 76-37 158 1027</td>
<td>T-8739</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids or landmarks for charts.
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by W.W. Dawsey, Tampa Photogrammetric Office.

Riley J. Sipe, Chief of Party.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>NORTH CAROLINA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARTING NAME</td>
<td>Red Brick chimney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNAL NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>METHOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 ft. high</td>
<td>34 45</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>76 30</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22' MHW</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating objects as well as the charted positions of floating objects shall be plotted on the chart to a scale suitable for the size of the area and not by...
I recommend that the following objects which have (have not) been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on (delete from) the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by Roscoe J. French

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>CHARTING NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY NO.</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>CHARTS AFFECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>SPIRE</td>
<td>Methodist Church</td>
<td>34 1'7 22'1 76 30 927</td>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Williston, N. C.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plot 2-7=50</td>
<td></td>
<td>1233.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating
26 AND 27. CONTROL AND RADIAL PLOT:

A special report has been prepared by Photogrammetric Engineer M.M. Slavney and was submitted to the Washington Office 17 November, 1947. Filed in Div. of Photogrammetry General Files.

28. DELINEATION:

The nine lens 1:10,000 scale photographs were used for cutting in all detail points for delineation. The scale on these photographs was comparatively good. The field inspection was adequate, except for (1) one road which was classified as road 5 on T-8739 and road 4 on T-8740. This should be checked by the field editor.

29. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

None available.

30. MEAN HIGH-WATER:

The mean high-water line was defined clearly enough on the field photographs for accurate delineation.

31. LOW-WATER AND SHOAL LINES:

The low-water and shoal lines were identified satisfactorily for delineation.

32. DETAILS OFFSHORE FROM HIGH-WATER LINE:

All offshore details indicated on the field photographs have been shown on the map manuscript.

33. WHARVES AND SHORELINE STRUCTURES:

All piers and shoreline structures were depicted as shown on field photographs.

34. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION:

One landmark was recovered by the field inspector and reported on form No. 567 which will be submitted with the topographic quadrange. No non-floating aids to navigation were reported by the field party.

See 65. Review RIF
35. HYDROGRAPHIC CONTROL:
   See item 12 of Field Inspection Report.

36. LANDING FIELDS AND AERONAUTICAL AIDS:
   None.

37. BRIDGES:
   See field inspection report item 15.

38. BOUNDARY LINES:
   None. See item 17 of field inspection report.

44. Comparison with existing topographic quadrangle.
   None was available.

45. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:
   Comparison was made with nautical chart 420 – Beaufort Inlet and part of Core Sound – 1:40,000 scale, print date 20 July, 1946. Minor changes were noticed in the shoreline detail, but in general they compared favorably. Information on the map manuscript should supersede the nautical chart.

Respectfully submitted,

Webber W. Dawsey

Approved and Forwarded:

George E. Morris, Jr.
Chief of Party.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name on Survey</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jarrett Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wade Creek</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middens Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jump and Run</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smyrna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Highway #70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Grounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunting Quarter Twp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smyrna Twp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straits Twp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Twp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrimon Twp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otway Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markers Island Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward Creek Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North River Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Leopard Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Leopard Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillikin Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshallberg Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodville Baptist Church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williston Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name on Survey</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>K</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh Hen Point</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulcher Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crabbing Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(for title)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carteret County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Hill Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Decis. of BGN

Underlined names approved.

10-5-45.

a. f. l.
61. General Statement.—Shoreline detail is confined to the S/2 of T-8739 at 1:10,000 scale. A N/2 was not needed since there is no shoreline of consequence N of latitude 34°48'45". The compilation of these two manuscripts is the product of combined operations, the shoreline map being compiled first for the tide-water areas and then transferred after photographic reduction to the 1:20,000 topographic manuscript. The balance of interior detail and the contours came directly from the 1:20,000 photographs. Two separate and distinct radial line plots were laid down to control each of the manuscripts.

62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys.—

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1306</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1328</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5574</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8045</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1942</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This survey (T-8739) unqualifiedly supersedes common areas of the above listed surveys in all respects for nautical charting purposes.

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies.— None

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys.— None

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts.—

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>1:40,000</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233</td>
<td>1:80,000</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234</td>
<td>1:80,000</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A landmark "HOUSE" was recommended for charting by the field edit party. It is about 3/4 mile south of North River Bridge on Thomas Marsh and is shown on Form 567. No disposition was made of the landmark "SPIRE" at Williston near the upper end of Jarrett Bay. L. 382 (1915) refers to the position under 70936 OTZ N. C. in the field records. A church is still there (whether the same is not known), and a new position is shown on Form 567. It appears on the photographs to be visible from Jarrett Bay, although a large building lies in between the Church and the MHWL.

The list of geographic names will change those on the chart in three places, and add one.

No disposition is made of the rocks awash in Ward Creek where it narrows just south of the bridge, nor are sand shoals discernible in the area to the extent shown by the rock awash symbol.
66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys. The interpretation and exact limits of the cover (trees and swamp) is subject to controversy on this quadrangle. The reviewer has changed the limits shown by the compiler, and has given considerable weight to the field editors note regarding the drained area in the "Open Grounds". He said, "Young pine is coming in and the swamp symbol should not be used".

The darker tones on the photographs are more indicative of bottomland hardwoods near the river, and has been shown with the swamp symbol. Elevation is a factor in the exact delineation between trees and swamp, and has helped to give a better interpretation.

No horizontal or vertical accuracy tests were made on this survey.

This map complies with the project instructions and Bureau policy, and with the National Standards of Accuracy. It supersedes all other surveys covering the common area and should be used for further nautical chart constructions.

Reviewed by:

Roscoe J. French

APPROVED:

I. V. Griffith
Chief, Review Section
Div. of Photogrammetry

A. McDonald
Chief, Nautical Chart Branch
Division of Charts

D. A. Edwards
Chief, Div. of Photogrammetry

W. M. A. Kaife
Chief, Div. of Coastal Surveys