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STATISTICS (III)

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles): 4.5 statute miles

Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore): 17.1 statute miles

Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore): 9.4 statute miles

Number of Recoverable Topographic Stations established: 11
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Leveling (to control contours): miles: 3.5
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Remarks:
FIELD INSPECTION REPORT
TO ACCOMPANY
QUADRANGLE T-8342
"RIOMAR"
N 2737.5 - W 8015/7.5
PROJECT Ph-9 (46)
30 JANUARY 1948

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA

Lying on the eastern edge of Indian River County, Florida; this quadrangle could best be characterized by its paucity of land area. There are only three and one-half square miles of land in the quadrangle. This includes most of that portion of the City of Vero Beach lying east of the Indian River.

Dense woods cover the bulk of the land area, with the exception of a narrow strip along the ocean, and the islands in the Indian River. The islands are very low in elevation, even marshy, for the most part.

In the southern part of the quadrangle, there is a small and rather exclusive residential community, known as Riomar. To the north of this community and at the east end of Beachland Boulevard, are a few beach hotels and cottages.

2. COMPLETENESS OF FIELD INSPECTION

All field work was done on two, nine-lens photographs, numbered 16367 and 16338. Field inspection for the area is believed to be adequate and complete.

3. INTERPRETATION OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS

Along with notes on the photographs, most of the details are self-explanatory. However, there is one item which needs clarification. In the northern portion of the quadrangle, along the ocean beach, there is no apparent line of demarkation between the area of palmetto brush and the heavily wooded area. This line should be drawn approximately two hundred feet back from the beach and parallel to it; from the vicinity of the most northerly house on the beach (a new building) north to the quadrangle limits.
4. HORIZONTAL CONTROL

Six U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey triangulation stations were recovered in the quadrangle, and one lost. Station SQUALL, 1851, was not identified on the photograph because of its proximity to station BEND, 1930, which was identified.

Two third-order horizontal control stations established by the U. S. Engineer Department were recovered and identified.

5. VERTICAL CONTROL

No vertical control stations were recovered within the area. One line of wye levels was run the length of the land area. The closure, 0.39 ft., was adjusted. All level points are shown on the photographs with a cross, labelled with the quadrangle designation letters "RR", and numbered consecutively.

6. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE

All contouring was done with planetable directly on the photographs, and are shown in violet ink.

The contours on photo No. 16367 are carried farther away from the center chamber than is desirable. The adjoining photograph, No. 16368, was being used on other work at the time of contouring.

There were no large vertical closures in planetable traverse.

Immediately adjacent to the ocean beach, and running parallel to it, is a comparatively high, sand ridge. All drainage runs westward from this ridge, gradually falling away to the Indian River.

7. MEAN HIGH-WATER LINE

The ocean beach is a comparatively steep slope, the entire length of the quadrangle, and places the mean high water line very close to the five-foot contour. It is identified on the photographs at intervals, by a red dashed line.

Along the Indian River, the mean high water line is generally very indefinite, obscured by mangrove, and complicated by tidal marsh.
8. **LOW-WATER LINE**

With the exception of a few small spoil islands in the Indian River, the mean low water line is very close to the mean high water line, and similarly, is very indefinite. Along the Atlantic Ocean it is identified at intervals by a green dotted line.

9. **WHARVES AND SHORELINE STRUCTURES**

All existing piers and shoreline structures are designated on the photographs.

10. **DETAILS OFFSHORE FROM THE HIGH-WATER LINE**

All offshore details appearing above the low water line have been noted on the photographs. There is a very shoal rock reef near the northeast corner of the Riomar Club Golf Course.

Riomar Beach, Vero Beach,

Particular attention is called to the remains of a wreck, or wrecks, about twelve hundred feet directly offshore from Vero Beach, in the ocean, as evidenced by an old boiler, which is plainly visible from the beach. No consistent information was available regarding the number of wrecks submerged in the vicinity; local estimates running from one to fifteen. This should be thoroughly investigated by the Hydrographic Party.

11. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION**

The ocean shoreline was not inspected from offshore.

One new landmark is recommended for charting because of its prominence from both the Atlantic Ocean and the Indian River. This is the elevated water tank located on the east side of the Indian River.

In the Indian River, along the Intracoastal Waterway, the two channel lights within the quadrangle were pricked direct on the photographs, and the four pile daybeacons were located by theodolite cuts from photo points. All of the above items are being submitted on Forms 524 and 567.

12. **HYDROGRAPHIC CONTROL**

No Hydrographic Control signals were required.
13. **LANDING FIELDS AND AERONAUTICAL AIDS**

No landing fields or aeronautical aids are located within the quadrangle. The red aero light on water tank (paragraph No. 11) could be shown as an obstruction.

14. **ROAD CLASSIFICATION**

All roads are classified according to Photogrammetry Instructions No. 10 and Amendment dated 24 October 1947.

15. **BRIDGES**

There is one bridge over navigable water; the Vero Beach bridge. Clearances are shown on photograph No. 16567.

16. **BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES**

All buildings to be shown have been circled in red ink. New buildings have been blocked-in, in red ink. Structures other than buildings have been noted on the photographs. Buildings, and other items to be deleted have been cross-out in green ink.

17. **BOUNDARY MONUMENTS AND LINES**

One section corner was recovered, and is identified on photograph No. 16567.

Corporate limits have been shown in black ink on photograph No. 16567 for that portion of the City of Vero Beach lying within the quadrangle.

Only a portion of one precinct line falls within the quadrangle. This line, between precincts four and nine of Indian River County, can be delineated from the Special Report of Boundaries for project Ph-9 (46), to be submitted by Joseph K. Wilson. In Project Report.

18. **GEOGRAPHIC NAMES**

Geographic Names for this quadrangle are included in a Special Report on Geographic Names for project Ph-9 (46), submitted by Joseph K. Wilson to the Washington Office on 8 January 1948. Filed in Geographic Name Section, Div of Charts.

19. **JUNCTION WITH ADJOINING QUADRANGLES**

A junction has been made with quadrangle T-3844 to the south, and quadrangle T-3841 to the west. There are no junctioning quadrangles to the east and north. All junctions are in good agreement.
SUBMITTED BY:

James H. Clark
Engineering Aid.

SUPERVISED:

William A. Rasure
Photogrammetric Engineer

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:

Ross A. Gilmore
Chief of Party
26. and 27. CONTROL AND RADIAL PLOT:

A special report prepared by M.M. Slavney, Photogrammetric Engineer, was submitted to the Washington Office on 17 May 1943.

28. DELINEATION:

The map manuscript was delineated in accordance with the latest instructions for Project Ph-9(46), and no difficulties were encountered.

Nine-lens photographs—16367 and 16368—used in the delineation of this map manuscript were clear and of good scale.

The field inspection was good. A few discrepancies have been noted on the overlay for the field editor.

30. MEAN HIGH-WATER LINE:

The mean high-water line was delineated from field inspection notes, from observation of the office photographs, and from Forms M-2226-12 and 526.

31. LOW WATER AND SHOAL LINES:

The low-water line was not delineated along the Atlantic Coast. (See Field Inspection Report, item No. 8)

On the Indian River shore the low water was delineated in accordance with field inspection notes.

Shoal lines were also shown in accordance with field inspection notes.

32. DETAILS OFFSHORE FROM THE HIGH-WATER LINE:

One boiler (remains of a wreck) was located by radial plot methods.

A very shoal rock reef is shown.

33. WHARVES AND SHORELINE STRUCTURES:

All wharves, piers, and other shoreline structures identified on the field photographs were delineated.
34. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION:

One landmark and two channel lights were located by radial-plot methods.

Four daybeacons were located by theodolite cuts from photo points. All cuts were satisfactory, with the exception of the radial from photo point No. 5 of Daybeacon No. 173.

These landmarks and aids to navigation are being submitted on Forms 524 and 567.

37. BRIDGES:

Vero Beach bridge is the only bridge over navigable water. The horizontal and vertical clearances furnished by the field inspector have been shown. Discrepancies were noted between these clearances and those listed in the U. S. Engineer's "List of Bridges Over the Navigable Waters of the United States," 1941. These discrepancies have been referred to the field editor.

38. SECTION CORNERS:

The only section corner is shown in accordance with field inspection notes. (See Field Inspection Report, item No. 17.) General Land Office plats proved to be of little aid in determining the section lines in the area covered by this quadrangle. Section lines have been tentatively constructed on the map manuscript in red pencil. A discrepancy print has been made for the field editor's use, and, upon its return from the field, the lines will be made permanent according to his findings.

39. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES:

Geographic names have been shown according to the Special Report on Geographic Names for Projects Ph-9(46), furnished by the Washington Office.

40. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING TOPOGRAPHIC QUADRANGLES AND OTHER MAPS:

No topographic quadrangles are available for comparison.
In comparison with U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey planimetric map No. T-4549 (which was delineated from photographs taken on 29 April 1928) a few features were found to be different on this map manuscript:

1. The addition of several islands in the Indian River south of Vero Beach bridge.
2. The addition of a shoal area north of the bridge.
3. The addition of two causeways connecting islands with the mainland.
4. The abandonment of the grove in the northern part of the map manuscript.
5. The addition of buildings.
6. The deletion of roads in Riomar.
7. The direction of the east-west streets in Riomar on both sides of Florida State Highway 502.

45. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A comparison was made with U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey nautical chart No. 845, bearing a print date of 3 March 1947. The only major changes noted were as follows:

1. The addition of a causeway in the Indian River.
2. A boiler (remains of a wreck) off the Atlantic Coast.
3. The absence of a pier in Jandrew Cove.

Respectfully submitted,

Enola N. Cross

Approved and forwarded:

Ross A. Gilmore
Lieut. Comdr. USCGS
Chief of Party.
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by

Enola N. Cross
Tampa Photogrammetric Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTING NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SIGNAL NAME</th>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY No.</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>CHARTS AFFECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Flashing light on black square daymark on pile dolphin</td>
<td>27° 40'</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>60° 22'</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Red.Plot.</td>
<td>1/22/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Red arrow on single pile</td>
<td>27° 38'</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>60° 22'</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>T-5442</td>
<td>1/30/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Black square daymark with yellow border on pile</td>
<td>27° 38'</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>60° 22'</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Red arrow on single pile</td>
<td>27° 38'</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>60° 22'</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>Black arrow on single pile</td>
<td>27° 38'</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>60° 22'</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Flashing light on red triangular daymark on pile dolphin</td>
<td>27° 38'</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>60° 22'</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and non-floating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by individual field key sheets. Information under each column heading should be given.
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by Enola N. Cross
Tampa Photogrammetric Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTING NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SIGNAL NAME</th>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY NO.</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>CHARTS AFFECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TANK</td>
<td>Elevated water tank, red light on conical top 140' above ground 148' above mean high water.</td>
<td></td>
<td>27° 39' 19&quot;</td>
<td>66° 27' 14&quot; 8&quot;</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>T-8842</td>
<td>1/21/43</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by individual field key sheets. Information under each column heading should be given.
FIELD EDIT REPORT
QUADRANGLE T-3842
PROJECT PH-9(46)

Field edit of quadrangle T-3842 was performed in accordance with Field Edit Instructions dated 24 August 1945, and Supplement I dated 4 February 1946. Actual field work was during the period 13 - 14 December 1946.

46. METHODS

Field edit was accomplished by riding out all passable roads; walking to other areas in which the reviewer requested information, or where the field editor suspected a weakness in the compilation.

Planetary and tape methods were used to locate corrections and additions not shown on the photographs. On the field edit sheet, red ink was used to show corrections and additions; green ink for deletions. Violet ink was used for all work on the regular discrepancy print, the section line discrepancy print, and the field photographs.

The reviewers questions were answered on the discrepancy prints whenever possible. Other work was shown on the field photographs or field edit sheet. All work shown on the photographs is referenced on the field edit sheet or the regular discrepancy print along with the photograph number.

47. ADEQUACY OF COMPILATION

The manuscript compilation is believed to be adequate with the corrections made by the field editor.

48. ACCURACY TESTS

No accuracy tests were required for this quadrangle. The map is believed to comply with standard horizontal and vertical accuracy specifications. However, information concerning the two nearest map accuracy tests to this quadrangle was not available to the field editor.

15. BRIDGES

All bridge information for the area covered by this report as listed in the U.S. Engineers "List of Bridges Over Navigable Waters in the U.S." dated July 1941 was verified in the field, all clearances were carefully measured with a steel tape, and the published descriptions and clearances were found to be correct, except for the following discrepancy in the vertical clearances of both spans of the swing bridge across Indian River at Vero Beach:
Published Vertical Clearance at MHW - 9.8 ft.
Minimum Existing Vertical Clearance at estimated MHW - 9.0 ft.

This minimum vertical clearance actually exists in only the 10 west feet of the west span and the 10 east feet of the east span. The minimum vertical clearance in the remainder of both spans is 9.8 ft. as listed in the "List of Bridges". This difference in vertical clearance is a result of the way lateral stiffeners are attached underneath the bridge. See Review Report.

49. CONTOUR EXPRESSION

The contour expression for the sheet is believed to be adequate.

50. EXAMINATION OF PROOF COPY

It is believed that the City Engineer of Vero Beach is best qualified to examine a proof copy of this quadrangle.

Submitted by:

Stanley J. Hathorn
Cartographer

Approved and forwarded:

George E. Morris, Jr.
Chief of Party
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name on Survey</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USGB 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracoastal Waterway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USGB 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida No. 502</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beachland Boulevard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(according to descriptive report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ermin Cove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark Point</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Mar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Mar Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farley Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vero Beach Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritz Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethel Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifford Cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jandrow Cove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macfollers Cove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riomar Country Club Golf Course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Names underlined in red are approved. 7/20/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Division of Photogrammetry
Review Report of
Topographic Map Manuscript T-8842

Subject numbers not used in this report have been adequately covered in other parts of the descriptive report.

11 Landmarks and Aids to Navigation

Form 567 submitted during Field Inspection is filed as Chart Letter No. 513 (1948) in the Nautical Chart Branch, Division of Charts. Carbon copies are attached to the Descriptive Report.

15 Bridges

A copy of the letter to the USE District Engineers describing the discrepancy found by the Field Editor is filed as Chart Letter No. 63 (1949) in the Nautical Chart Branch, Division of Charts.

28 Detailing

The land lines are not very reliable. They have been shown with the dash line symbol.

43 Comparisons with Previous Surveys

Comparisons were made with:
T-1630 (1882) 1:20,000
T-4549 (1930) 1:20,000

48 Accuracy

This map complies with national map accuracy standards.

51 Overlay

An overlay has been prepared showing control, road classification etc. This map will be edited and published by the U. S. Geological Survey.

52 Application to Nautical Charts

This survey has not been applied to nautical charts prior to review.
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