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**PHOTOGRAPHS (III)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>221144</td>
<td>29 March 1948</td>
<td>12:52</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>No perceptible tide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221145</td>
<td></td>
<td>12:53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22179</td>
<td></td>
<td>13:35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22180</td>
<td></td>
<td>13:36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tide (III) **

Reference Station: (Inshore quadrangle)

Subordinate Station:

Subordinate Station:

Washington Office Review by (IV): Everett H. Ramey

Final Drafting by (IV):

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Proof Edit by (IV):

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 65

Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 0.1

Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 1.2

Control Leveling - Miles (II): 61

Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): 5

Number of BMs searched for (II): 5

Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): None

Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): None

Remarks: *The periodic tide is negligible.*
Summary to Accompany T-8967

Topographic map T-8967 is one of 32 similar maps of Project Ph-20(47) and is located in the northwestern portion of the project. It shows the land adjacent to and north of the Pamlico River and shows the head of Broad Creek.

This is a graphic compilation project. The field operations preceding compilation included complete field inspection, the recovery of horizontal control and the delineation of contours on the photographs by planetable methods.

The manuscript was compiled at a scale of 1:20,000 and covers 7½' in latitude by 7½' in longitude. The entire map was field edited. The map will be published by the Geological Survey at a scale of 1:24,000 as a standard topographic quadrangle. Items registered under T-8967 will include a cloth-mounted lithographic print of the manuscript at a scale of 1:20,000, a cloth-mounted color print at a scale of 1:24,000, and the descriptive report.
FIELD INSPECTION REPORT
Quadrangle T-8967
35 30.0'/76 52.5'/7.5
Project Ph-20 (47)

E. R. McCarthy, Chief of Party

The field work for this quadrangle was done in accordance
with the Director's instructions, Project Ph-20 (47), field dated
23 July, 1948, and other instructions as noted herein. The report
is submitted under Instructions dated 30 September 1942. The
field work was accomplished by the following personnel:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Title</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Started</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milton B. Cram, Cartographer</td>
<td>Fourth Order levels</td>
<td>Dec. 1948</td>
<td>Mar. 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contours and Field Inspection</td>
<td>Dec. 1948</td>
<td>Mar. 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving Zirpel, Jr. Cartographer Survey Aid</td>
<td>Contours and Field Inspection</td>
<td>Mar. 1949</td>
<td>Apr. 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egmont Horn, Cartographic Survey Aid</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apr. 1949</td>
<td>May 1949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA

This quadrangle is located in the north central part of Beaufort
County, North Carolina, in an area where agriculture is the principal
industry. Tobacco, corn and small grain are the main crops. The
major part of the land is heavily wooded with many small scattered
cultivated areas. A part of the extreme southern portion of the
extensive swamp known locally as the J & W Dismal Swamp lies in the
northern section of the quadrangle.

Two main highways and one railroad traverse the area. State
Highway #32 extends in a northerly and easterly direction through
the southeastern part. State Highway #264 extends in an east-west
and a branch of the Norfolk Southern Railroad in a northeast-southwest
direction through the southern part. Secondary roads adequately
serve the area.

2. COMPLETENESS OF FIELD INSPECTION

Field inspection is believed to be adequate and complete.

3. INTERPRETATION OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS

No difficulty was encountered in the interpretation of the
photographs.
4. **HORIZONTAL CONTROL**

A search was made for all known horizontal control, and a report for each triangulation station submitted on Form 526. A sufficient number of stations was identified for control of the radial plot.

No supplemental control was established.

The following stations were established by the Corps of Engineers:

- C of E Monument 65 - 1942
- Pipe Station B - 1 1942
- Pipe Station B - 2 1942

5. **VERTICAL CONTROL**

Five bench marks were recovered, identified, and reported on Form 685-A.

Sixty-one miles of fly-levels were run to establish supplemental control for contouring. The largest closure was 0.9 foot and all closures over 0.3 foot were adjusted.

List of Control established by other Agencies:

- Boundary Monument? - 2nd order - no adjustment.
- 30.5 (U.S.G.S.) - 2nd Order - no adjustments.

6. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

Contouring was done by planestable methods on 1:20,000 nine-lens photographs. The contour interval was five feet. Elevations ranged from ten to over fifty feet, the highest area being found in the northern part, which is the southern limit of the J & W Dismal Swamp.

All traverses with more than three table set ups were closed. All closures were within specifications.

The drainage pattern is very definite. The land slopes toward the Pamlico River.

7. **MEAN HIGH-WATER LINE**

The short section of high-water line in Broad Creek shows plainly on the photographs.

*See item 35.*
8. **LOW-WATER LINE**
   The low-water line coincides with the high-water line.

9. **WHARVES AND SHORELINE STRUCTURES**
   Inapplicable.

10. **DETAILS OFFSHORE FROM THE HIGH-WATER LINE**
    Inapplicable.

11. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION**
    There are no landmarks nor aids to navigation in this quadrangle.

12. **HYDROGRAPHIC CONTROL**
    Inapplicable.

13. **LANDING FIELD AND AERONAUTICAL AIDS**
    There are no landing fields or aeronautical aids in this quadrangle.

14. **ROAD CLASSIFICATION**
    All roads were classified in accordance with Photogrammetry Instructions No. 10 dated 14 April 1947, as amended 24 October, 1947.

15. **BRIDGES**
    There are no bridges over navigable waters in this quadrangle.

16. **BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES**
    The field inspection of buildings and structures was completed in accordance with Photogrammetry Instructions No. 29 dated 1 October, 1948.
    Many of the dwellings were obscured by overhanging trees. These buildings as well as new structures erected since photography have been delineated in red ink.

17. **BOUNDARY MONUMENTS AND LINES**
    For description of all boundaries in the project, see Special Boundary Report, which was submitted on 14 February, 1949 by Mr. Wilbur H. Nelson, and supplement boundary report by Mr. A. J. Wraight, which
will be submitted (Filed in Div. of Photogrammetry)

Sec item 56

18. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

This is the subject of a special report that will be submitted by Mr. A. J. Waight, filed in Geographic Names Section, Div. of Charts.

Also see item 55848

20 May 1949
Submitted by:

Egmont Horn
Egmont Horn
Cartographic Survey Aid

Approved
20 May 1949

E.R. McCarthy
Chief of Party
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

21. AREA COVERED

This report is on Photogrammetric Plot No. 3 of Ph-20(47) North Carolina. This plot comprised six quadrangles: T-8966, T-8967, T-8968, T-8977, T-8978, and T-8979.

The sketch on page 15 of this report shows the arrangement of the quadrangles, junction with previous photogrammetric plots of this project, centers of the photographs used, and the control identified for use in this plot.

The projections for the quadrangles in this plot are polyconic at 1: 20,000 scale and are 7° 30' in latitude and longitude. The 10,000-foot intervals of the North Carolina Lambert Co-ordinate System are ruled on the projections.

22. METHOD

This photogrammetric plot was laid using hand templetts in the radial plot method.

The base grids, upon which this radial plot was laid, were of vinylite ruled with 10,000-foot intervals at 1: 20,000 scale. Sufficient grids were joined to encompass all the control identified for this radial plot as shown in the sketch on page 15. A part of the base grid layout was that carrying the results of Photogrammetric Plot No. 1 of Ph-20(47) along the junction with this plot.

All the horizontal control recovered or established by the Field Party was plotted on the projections and checked. Substitute stations identified and located for controlling the radial plot were plotted graphically unless the substitute station was more than 1,000 feet from the main station, or more than one instrument set-up was made; in which case position computations were made and the station plotted conventionally and checked.

Control to be used in the main radial plot was transferred from the quadrangle projections to the base grids by matching the plane coordinate grid lines of the quadrangle with those of the base grids. Identified control that fell outside the projection limits was plotted on the base grids in the conventional way and checked.
The photographs furnished for this radial plot were nine-lens at approximately 1: 20,000 scale, numbered as follows:

- 22126 - 22133 inclusive
- 22141 - 22149
- 22176 - 22183
- 22222 & 22223
- 22227 - 22229 inclusive
- 22232A
- 22233 - 22239 inclusive
- 22337 - 22340

In accordance with instructions for 1947 photographs numbered 19558 to 22340, master calibration template 21682 was used for correcting transforming errors and paper distortion.

Pass points were selected in a regular scheme to assist in strengthening the plot and densely enough to provide ample control for cutting in detail points.

The templates used were vinylite.

This radial plot was continued north from the junction with Photogrammetric Plot No. 2 of Ph-20(47) for quadrangles T-8987 and T-8988. Development of the plot was done from rigidly fixed templates through those less strongly fixed and finally bridging those with the least control.

The final laydown of this plot gave tight intersections on pass points, all of which were fixed by cuts from four or more photographs to give strong fixes. The quality of intersections for pass points, azimuths to photograph centers, and on control indicate that this radial plot may be called strong.

Compilation of some of the quadrangles has progressed to areas in which it is possible to radially cut in some Aids to Navigation by using the pass points as control, and then checking the location with theodolite cuts furnished by the field party. Excellent agreement from the two methods serves as a spot check on this radial plot.
Forty-eight horizontal control stations were identified for use in this radial plot; all gave tight intersections but four did not hold their field geographic position. These are discussed under Item 23 (ADEQUACY OF CONTROL).

Intersections for all points located by the radial plot were circled on the plot before transfer to the map manuscripts. The map manuscripts were superposed on the plot with the grid coordinate lines of the map manuscripts matching those of the base grids for transfer of the photogrammetric points and photograph centers.

Before assignment for delineation an extra check was made of the plot by examining all the photographs in place under the map manuscripts. The dates of completion of the photogrammetric plot for the map manuscripts are:

T-8977 and T-8978 on December 16, 1949
T-8966 on December 23, 1949
T-8967 on December 29, 1949
T-8968 and T-8979 on December 30, 1949

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

Forty-eight horizontal control stations were recovered and identified for use in this plot, of which forty-four were held on the radial plot. The four stations not held as originally submitted by the field party were Substitute Station TRIPP, 1933; Substitute Station R.M. 1 UPPER, 1933; Substitute Station GERARD, 1935; and Substitute Station DURHAM, 1933; and are discussed below.

(a) Substitute Station TRIPP 1933 (No. 38 on the sketch) on T-8978, "Positive" in accuracy of identification, gave a radial plot position 0.75 mm (15 meters) SSE, of the geographic position ascertained by the field party. The field print and control station identification card for this station were returned to the field party on July 20, 1949. A new substitute station for TRIPP, 1933 was identified and located on July 26, 1949. This station held its field position on the radial plot.

(b) R.M. 1 UPPER 1933, "pricked direct", (No. 39 on the sketch) on T-8978, classified "Positive" in accuracy of identification, gave a radial plot position 6.0 mm (120 meters) east of the geographic position for the reference mark. The control station identification card and field print were returned to the field party on July 20, 1949 with the suggestion that the wrong bush had been pricked. On July 26 a substitute station for R.M. 1 UPPER, 1933 was identified and located in the field; this station held on the radial plot.
(c) Substitute Station GERARD 1935 (No. 40 on the sketch) on T-8978, classified "Positive" in accuracy of identification, gave a radial plot position .5mm (10 meters) east of the geographic position ascertained by the field measurements. The control station identification card and field print were returned to the field party on January 4, 1950 for investigation. A new Substitute Station GERARD 1935 was identified and located, and received in this office on January 23, 1950. The radial plot having by then completed a check was made by first cutting in the new substitute station on the map manuscript using pass points for control, and then plotting the new station conventionally. The plotted position and "cut in" position coincided within 0.2mm. (4 meters).

(d) Substitute Station DURHAM 1933 (No. 30 on the sketch) in T-8979 was located and identified with a "Doubtful" classification in the field. The intersection for this station on the radial plot is 0.8mm (16 meters) west of the field position. The radial plot position is on the map manuscript as a pass point.

24. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Inapplicable.

25. PHOTOGRAPHY

All photographs are printed on postotype paper. Photographic coverage is adequate, flight overlap and end lap are very good. The flight outside the project limits insures the best possible coverage and junction with any work adjoining these quadrangles.

All the photographs are of good definition but not of particularly good scale. Scale of the photographs ranges from 1: 19100 to 1: 19450.

The tilt was computed for the most severely tilted photograph, number 22133, northwest of T-8966. Photograph 22133 was found to be tilted 1° 55' which gave an isocenter 3.5mm from the mechanical center. The isocenter was used for the radial plot and is on the map manuscript though a check was made with a templet from the same photograph using the mechanical center, and revealed only minor differences. Tilt on other photographs was small enough to be disregarded.

Generally the quality of transforming was satisfactory. Some collimation marks were obliterated and some chambers seemed rotated. Adjustments were made in these cases by examination of detail and study of adjoining chambers.
26. **GENERAL.**

A final check was made of all map manuscripts to insure the transfer of all pass points and control to the material limits of all manuscripts and "Dog Ears" for all photograph centers needed for compilation before releasing the manuscripts for compilation.

Approved and Forwarded:

Ross A. Gilmore, 2/3/50
Chief of Party.

Milton M. Slavney
Cartographer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR $y$-COORDINATE LONGITUDE OR $x$-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)</th>
<th>DATUM CORRECTION</th>
<th>N.A. 1927-DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)</th>
<th>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS FORWARD (BACK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C OF E</td>
<td>USE Pingtown A 10</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>35 36 53.619</td>
<td>1652.5 ( 196.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>587.6 ( 922.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76 59 23.349</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAW,</td>
<td>Use Pub. F. 23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>35 32 44.586</td>
<td>1374.1 ( 475.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1398.8 ( 112.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76 59 55.533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARRIS,</td>
<td>USE G. P. 264</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>35 30 48.338</td>
<td>1489.7 ( 359.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1313.2 ( 198.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76 57 52.112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPE STA B-1 USE</td>
<td>Pingtown A 2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>35 30 42.975</td>
<td>1324.4 ( 524.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>693.0 ( 819.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76 54 27.500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPE STA B-2 USE</td>
<td>Pingtown A 1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>35 30 41.861</td>
<td>1290.1 ( 559.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.9 (1473.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76 54 01.542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
31. **DELINEATION**

   The graphic method of delineation was used.

   The photographs used were of poor scale, which necessitated the establishment of more detail points than would otherwise have been needed.

   The field inspection was adequate.

32. **CONTROL**

   A sufficient number of well placed primary and secondary control points were established to insure the establishment of detail points.

   For a more complete discussion of control, reference the Photogrammetric Plot Report.

33. **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

   None used.

34. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

   Except for the poor scale of the photographs, which necessitated the use of the projector at times, no difficulty was encountered in the transference of the contours to the map manuscript. All drainage was delineated as shown by field inspector on the field photographs.

35. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS**

   Only a fractional part of the Pamlico River (in extreme southwest corner) appears on this map manuscript. *Also item 7*

36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

   Not applicable.

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

   None.
38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS

No supplemental control established.

39. JUNCTIONS

A junction has been made with Survey No. T-8966 on the west, T-8968 on the east and T-8978 on the south. There is no contemporary survey on the north. All junctions are in agreement.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY

No statement.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS

The only available map for comparison was U.S. Corps of Engineers quadrangle, PLYMOUTH, N. C., scale 1:125,000, compiled in 1942. The small scale of the quadrangle permitted only a general comparison, which disclosed no discrepancies worthy of note. This map, T-8967, supersedes the older survey for all mapping and charting purposes.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

A comparison has been made with U.S.C & G.S Nautical Chart No. 537, scale 1:40,000, published September 1937 (4th edition) and corrected to 12 January 1948.

Attention is called to two ponds shown on the chart which are not visible on the photographs. One of these is shown just northeast of U.S. 264 where the Norfolk Southern R. R. crosses. The other is located near the south boundary of the map manuscript on the east shore of Broad Creek.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD

Reference the two ponds already mentioned under this item. See Review Report (item 65)

Approved and Forwarded

Rudolph Dorseit
Cartographer (Photogrammetric)

Arthur L. Wardwell
Chief of Party
48. GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST:

ALLIGOODS
ALLIGOODS CHURCH
ASBURY CHURCH AND CEMETERY
BATH TOWNSHIP
BEAUFORT COUNTY
BEAVERDAM CHURCH
BEAVERDAM SWAMP
BETSYS ELBOW
BRADDY ROAD
BROAD CREEK
BUNYAN
DOUGLAS CROSSROADS
FIVE POINTS
FORK SWAMP
HALL SWAMP
HERRING RUN
J AND W DISMAL SWAMP
LIZZARD SLIPIT
LODGE ROAD
LONG ACRE TOWNSHIP
MIDWAY
MT. OLIVE CHURCH
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY
NORTH CAROLINA
N. C. STATE ROAD NO. 32
N. C. STATE ROAD NO. 92
PAMLICO RIVER
PINEY GROVE
PRIMITIVE BAPTIST CHURCH
ROSEMARY CHURCH
SLATESTONE
SLATESTONE ROAD
ST. LUKES CHURCH
TERRAPIN TRACK
U. S. HIGHWAY NO. 264
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP
WOODWARDS POND CHURCH
ZION CHURCH AND CEMETERY
CAMP LEACH ROAD
RIVER ROAD

Names approved
10-11-51

A. J. W.
49. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER

Not applicable.
PHOTOGRAFMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW
T 8967


CONTROL STATIONS

ALONGSHORE AREAS
(Nautical Chart Data)

PHYSICAL FEATURES

CULTURAL FEATURES

BOUNDARIES
20. Boundary lines JG

MISCELLANEOUS

40. Jesse A. Gillam
Supervisor, Review Section of Unit

41. Remarks (see attached sheet)

FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT
42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

43. Remarks:

M 2623-12
51. METHODS

The field edit of this quadrangle was accomplished by traversing all roads, via truck, and walking to other areas in which the reviewer requested information, and for a general check on the adequacy of the map compilation.

Corrections and additions were made both by visual inspection and standard surveying procedures.

Corrections and additions have been noted on the field edit sheet and field photographs, numbered 22144, 22145 and 22179. Deletions have been noted on the field edit sheet. All work shown on the photographs is properly referenced on the discrepancy print.

The reviewer's questions are answered on the discrepancy print whenever possible.

A legend appears on the field edit sheet indicating the different colored inks used for the various additions, corrections and deletions.

The revision of the Geographic Names investigation is shown in paragraph 48 of the compilation report, paragraph 55 of this report, and on the field edit sheet.

52. ADEQUACY OF COMPILATION

The map compilation is adequate and will be complete after field edit data has been applied.

53. MAP ACCURACY

No horizontal accuracy test was made in this quadrangle. However, the relative position of topographic features, such as roads, railroads and streams is good.

See Item 67
Vertical accuracy tests were made in two areas. One near the central part and the other near the southeastern part of the quadrangle. A total of 10,6 lineal miles of plane-table traverses were run to check 120 points on contours in areas totaling 1.7 square miles; 57 per cent of the points tested were in error one foot or less; 27 per cent were in error more than one foot, and less than two and one half feet (one half contour interval); 14 per cent were in error more than one half and less than one contour interval, and the remaining 2 per cent were in error more than one contour interval. These tests were run on the manuscript (Field Edit Sheet) and tabulated in the "Vertical Accuracy Test Report" for this quadrangle. All tested contours were corrected where necessary. See Review Report.

54. RECOMMENDATIONS

None

55. EXAMINATION OF PROOF COPY

It is believed that Mr. W. C. Rodman, registered surveyor of Washington, North Carolina, is best qualified to examine a proof copy of this work.

The following changes on the Investigation of Geographic Names are recommended:

Delete - Piney Grove School - This school no longer exists. Students now attend Finetown School.

Delete - Brick Kiln Road - This road falls on Quad. T-8966.

Delete - Magnolia School - This school no longer exists. Students now attend River Road School.

Add - Woodard's Pond Church.

Add - Mt. Olive Church.

The following persons verified the names of the two churches:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Yrs. Resident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bertha Jones</td>
<td>Route 2, Washington, No. Carolina</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Turnage</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Turnage</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The name St. Lucie Church is misspelled. It should be St. Lukeas Church.
56. BOUNDARY LINES


The township boundary lines as indicated on the Department of Agriculture Soil Survey Map for Beaufort County are erroneous according to the Beaufort County Tax Collector, Mr. R. D. Redditt of Washington, North Carolina.

The correct township boundary lines, taken from a map in the tax collector's office, were transferred to the field edit sheets. The dividing line between Longacre and Bath Townships falls on Quad. T-8968, and does not extend into Quad. T-8967 as indicated on the T-8968 Field Edit Sheet. Another copy of Quad. T-8968, indicating the correct township boundary line, is being forwarded.

57. JUNCTIONS

Satisfactory junctions have been made with Quadrangles T-8968, T-8978 and T-8966. There is no contemporary survey northward of the quadrangle.

19 January 1951
Submitted by:

James E. Hundle
Cartographer

19 February 1951
Approved by:

Harry F. Garber
Chief of Party
62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-1211</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1870-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-64,62</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-64,65</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey T-1211 shows two ponds (referred to under item 47) which are shown as swamp on this survey. Otherwise, except for changes in culture, no major differences were noted. This survey is to supersede these prior surveys for common areas for nautical charting purposes.

63. Comparison with Maps of other Agencies:

Plymouth, N. C. Quadrangle (planimeter, USE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:125,000</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No important differences were noted.

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys:

None

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts:

537 1:40,000 1937, revised 12 January 1948

See item 47. The two ponds mentioned do not exist. Shown as Swamp and Streams.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys:

This map meets the National Standards of Map Accuracy and complies with project instructions.

67. Vertical Accuracy Tests:

The results of the two tests were recomputed during this review allowing a shift for points tested of 0.61 mm (allowable tolerance at a scale of 1:24,000). All points shown on the field edit sheet were tabulated in this check, making 147 points in one area and 82 points in the other or a total of 229 points.
For the area of 147 points, 91% of the points were within one-half contour or better, 9% were in error one-half to a full contour and none were in error over one contour. For the area of 82 points, 95% of points were within one-half contour or better, 5% of points were in error one-half to a full contour and none were in error over one contour.

The discrepancy between the tabulations of the reviewer and the field editor may be due to the difference in number of points used and the shift in position allowed by the reviewer and not allowed by the field editor. It was also noted that five points were taken on a 15-foot contour incorrectly compiled as a 20-foot contour which would have effected the results for the area of 147 points.

Reviewed by:

Everett H. Ramey

S. V. Griffin 3/15/57
Chief, Review Section
Division of Photogrammetry

A. L. Edmonston
Chief, Nautical Chart Branch
Division of Charts

D. S. Reading
Chief, Division of Photogrammetry

Earl O. Kester
Chief, Division of Coastal Surveys