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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24938</td>
<td>3 Aug 49</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>MLW or 8' below MHHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24963</td>
<td>3 Aug 49</td>
<td>14:05</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>MLW or 8' below MHHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24974</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide data furnished by Mr. Wilcox of Tides & Currents, 26 Dec 50, as calculated from staff readings taken in area at about the time the photographs were exposed.
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TOPOGRAPHIC MAPPING PROJECT

PH-44 (49)

ALASKA, Gulf of Alaska

Kukak Bay
Summary to Accompany T-9302 and T-9303

Topographic maps T-9302 and T-9303 are two of a group of four similar maps in project Ph-44(49). T-9302 and T-9303 cover Kukak Bay and Shelikof Strait, Alaska Peninsula. T-9302 from latitude 58°12' to 58°22' and longitude 154°15' to 154°30'. T-9303 from latitude 58°12' to 58°24' and longitude 153°57' to 154°15'.

The field operations preceding compilation included field inspection of shoreline and recovery and establishment of horizontal and vertical control.

These maps were compiled in the Washington Office on the Reading Plotter from rectified nine-lens photographs using a contour interval of 100 feet and occasionally supplemented by a contour interval of 50 feet. The first 50 foot supplemental contour has been shown almost completely. The manuscripts were compiled on vinylite ruled with a polyconic projection at 1:20,000 scale on the N. A. 1927 Datum. A military grid, 2500 meter universal transverse mercator, was ruled on each manuscript.

A single descriptive report was prepared for this series of two topographic maps. Material relative to these maps will be filed as follows:

1. Division of Photogrammetry General Files

2. Bureau Archives
   a. Combined Descriptive Report for T-9302 and T-9303
   b. Cloth-backed lithographic print of T-9302 and T-9303 at manuscript scale.
2. Areal field inspection

The area generally is mountainous with many sections of rugged shoreline composed of large rock segments which have broken away from the cliffs close at hand. In other sections, the shore is composed of rather large smooth boulders, gravel, and a few intermittent sand beaches. At the south end of Kukak Bay large glacier streams have deposited material to make a low flat mud and sand area which at low tide drains off to extend about a mile beyond the high water line. For the most part the hills and mountains rise abruptly from the shore except that in the glacier stream areas the land slopes more gently to the mountains several miles inland.

A heavy growth of alder and grass covers most of the area up to about the 1000 foot level. Beyond that there is only scattered alder and the grass growth terminates at about 1500 feet.

The East-Foot mountain ridge extending west from Cape Hukshak has a moderate slope on the south side but drops off with a sheer cliff on the north side. Along the western shore of the bay the lesser bare rock mountain range in the foreground is separated from the next higher range by a deep gorge or chasm. The higher peaks in the background have some snow on them throughout the year. A steep glacier wall about 1000 feet high can be seen from seaward just below Kukak Volcano.

Throughout the area there are numerous odd shaped patches of volcanic sand presumably deposited during the 1912 eruption of Mt. Katmai. This sand is whitish in color and fine in texture. On the photographs it appears like snow.

Only trimetrogon photographs were available for field inspection at the beginning of the season. On 3 August 1949 nine-lens photographs were taken and prints became available to the field party in the early part of September. Some field inspection and control identification had been accomplished on the trimetrogon photographs but subsequently all of the information was transferred to the nine-lens prints.

The trimetrogon and nine-lens photographs when used in the field were covered with a sheet of Kodatrace cut to fit the trimmed photographs with enough overlap for securing over the ends with scotch tape. All field notes were made on the kodatrace overlay and later transferred to the photograph.

In the field one end of the kodatrace was freed and the photograph consulted directly before the notes were made on the overlay. No difficulty was experienced in recording the notes at a later date. It is believed that more notes can be made this way as long leaders can be utilized in crowded areas without cluttering up the photograph.

The quality of the nine-lens photographs was excellent. Field inspection was accomplished using a 20 foot dory with an improvised table board set across the gunwales about midships. A canvas dodger served to keep most of the spray off the photographs. The dory was powered with an outboard motor and boat launchings were made as necessary for measurements.
and closer inspection. About half of the field inspection was accomplished while running between points during the graphic control surveys. A red lead pencil was found best for making the notes on the blue appearing kodak trace.

It was impossible to use a mirror stereoscope in the dory and the small pocket simple lens type was resorted to. At that it was impossible to use the small stereoscope on the large nine-lens prints. More success was experienced when using the trimetrogon prints. At the first opportunity marked points were verified with the mirror stereoscope aboard the LESTER JONES.

A complete topographic survey was made of Cannery Passage obviating the need for photo inspection. See Descriptive Report, Sheet LJ-D-49.

3. Horizontal control

Prior to the nine-lens photography of 3 August 1949 all of the main scheme triangulation stations except UGYAK, YUGNT, and ORTO were marked on the ground with either white-cloth squares, 10 feet on a side, or by whitewash. Also, most of the hydrographic signals (located by graphic control) had been whitewashed. As a consequence practically all of the shore-side horizontal control has been identified positively by locating the white images on the nine-lens prints. Of these stations marked prior to photography only 00BE, 00ER, 00LE, and 00UE could not be marked directly. However, the last three named stations have substitute stations for identification. The bulk of the topographic stations were identified with the aid of the whitewash images.

Interior control stations were established by theodolite intersection. Most of these peaks have been identified and marked directly.

(a) List of Supplemental control established by plane table graphic control survey:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>Graphic Control</th>
<th>Marked on Photograph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>(LJ-A-49) T-71</td>
<td>2497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMT</td>
<td>(LJ-A-49) T-71</td>
<td>2497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Name</td>
<td>Graphic Control</td>
<td>Marked on Photograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>(LJ-B-49) T-7130 &amp;</td>
<td>24945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARN</td>
<td></td>
<td>24945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUT</td>
<td></td>
<td>24944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEB</td>
<td></td>
<td>24945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUO</td>
<td></td>
<td>24945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGG</td>
<td></td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCG</td>
<td></td>
<td>24945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOE</td>
<td></td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEL</td>
<td></td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND</td>
<td>Topo station—see Form 524, T-7130 &amp;</td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT</td>
<td></td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STY</td>
<td></td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP</td>
<td></td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUL</td>
<td></td>
<td>24944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAD</td>
<td>(LJ-C-49) T-7060a</td>
<td>24952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGN</td>
<td></td>
<td>24942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLY</td>
<td></td>
<td>24952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAW</td>
<td></td>
<td>24942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOP</td>
<td></td>
<td>24952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKI</td>
<td></td>
<td>24952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TON</td>
<td>(LJ-C-49) T-7060a</td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>(LJ-D-49) T-7060b</td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROY</td>
<td></td>
<td>24951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) No datum adjustment made by field party.
(c) All control established by C. & G. Survey.
(d) All stations required recovered and positively identified.
(e) No 'lost' stations.
(f) Practically all of the identification was positive.
4. Vertical control

During the observations for triangulation horizontal control, vertical control was established by measuring double zenith distances between main scheme stations and to all of the intersected mountain peaks. However, it was deemed more expedient to determine the elevation of some of the main scheme stations particularly in the south end of the bay, by plane table. Additional checked spot points on the lower hills were determined by plane table. Most of the intersected peaks will serve as both horizontal and vertical control. There are no bench marks for the establishment of level lines in this area.

Of the near peaks located only 'D' and 'J' were not identified on the field photographs. These two peaks and the inner ridge of peaks from Devils Deck thru Mt. Denison are not covered in the nine-lens photography.

However, those peaks have been indicated on several of the oblique trimetrogon photographs. On these they show as profile similar to a horizontal photograph.

5. Contours and drainage

There was no plan table contouring on this project.

6. Woodland cover

A very few evergreen trees are scattered about the area and there is a small stand of cottonwood trees just southeast of Apuligik Island on the mainland.

7. Shoreline and alongshore features

(a) In many areas of the photographs the mean high water line is not easily distinguished. The numerous short dashes appearing on the photographs are in most cases the result of an estimation in the field while cruising along the shore. Refer to graphic control sheets of this project for sections of mean high water line.

(b) No lower low water line is indicated on the field photographs. The graphic control and hydrographic surveys of this same project delineate these features.

(c) The legend 'broken rock' has been used often in the field inspection notes. This type of shore is composed of jagged rock sections of moderate size which have fallen away from the nearby cliff. These rocks lie on the surface in contrast to other sections of shoreline where the rock is outcrop.

(d) In most cases the elevations of bluff have been estimated and refer to the approximate height of an overhanging or steep bluff just back of the mean high water line.
(a) The only wharf in the area is that belonging to the Mainland Fisheries at the north end of Cannery Passage. This wharf is to be enlarged sometime in the future although it only is of semi-permanent nature being erected on set piles.

(b) There are no submarine cables in this area.

(c) The two twin black tanks shown on topographic sheet LJ-9-49 are small and of no importance as they sit upon a hillside and are not conspicuous.

8. Offshore features

A great many of the offshore high water rocks have been surveyed on the graphic control sheets. Others have been indicated on the field photographs.

Limits of foul areas and some lower low water line were surveyed on the graphic control sheets. The remainder of the lower low water line has been defined by the hydrographic survey.

All of the offlying and important reefs were surveyed either by plane table, or sextant and plotted on the hydrographic sheet. On some of the photographs, reefs have been noted but only for identification and the field inspection is not complete or necessary because of contemporary surveys. For those reefs close inshore no attempt was made to refer them to the datum plane. Where the note on the photograph simply says 'reef' and is not surveyed otherwise it indicates a reef awash at some stage of the tide but not baring at high water. Usually these reefs are very rough and only the note 'bare at HW' would apply. The above would also apply to rocky ledges where there are no further notes.

9. Landmarks and aids

Landmarks and aids are covered in the Report of Landmarks for Charts, Form 567 for this project and the report on the graphic control survey.

10. Boundaries, monuments, and lines

This area is within the boundary of the Katmai National Monument.

11. Other control

Only one recoverable topographic station (L&W) was necessary to supplement the triangulation control.

12. Other interior features

There are no other interior features already noted.

13. Geographic names

The special report on geographic names will be submitted with the records of this survey.
14. Special reports and supplemental data

All of the following reports and data refer to the survey operations of the LESTER JONES in Kukak Bay during 1949:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>When submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Season's Report</td>
<td>About 16 January 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Triangulation Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Descriptive Report, hydrographic sheets LJ-2149 and LJ-1149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Descriptive Report, topographic sheet LJ-D-49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Landmarks for Charts, Form 567</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Coast Pilot Report</td>
<td>10 November 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Chart letter</td>
<td>10 November 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Information for Notices to Mariners</td>
<td>10 November 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Geographic Names Report</td>
<td>About 16 January 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Special Pictorial Report</td>
<td>About 20 January 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. Abstract of Elevations, Main Schoene Stations</td>
<td>With this report Geodesy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m. Abstract of Elevations, Mountains and Peaks</td>
<td>With this report Geodesy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n. Horizontal K-20 photographs showing mountain peaks</td>
<td>About 20 January 1950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Photograph list

(a) All field inspection notes will be found on the following list of nine-lens prints:

24939
24942 - 24949 inclusive
24951 - 24954
24956
24967
24973
(b) Supplemental and duplicate field inspection notes will be found on the following list of trimetrexon prints:

103V  177-VT
104V  177-IT
190ET  179-VT
458VT  179-IT
462VT  481-VT
463VT  481-IT

Approved and forwarded,  Respectfully submitted,
Date: 1/1/62  Place: Seattle, Wa.

John Bowie, Jr.  Harold J. Seaborg
C.O.D.R., C&GS  LIEUT. C.O.D.R., C&GS

COLEG., SHIP LESTER JONES
PHOTOGRAHMETRIC PLOT REPORT
Project Ph-44(49)
Surveys T-9302 and T-9303

21. AREA COVERED

This radial plot covers the areas of topographic surveys Nos. T-9302 and T-9303 and a part of Survey T-497, into which the radial plot was extended as far as photographic coverage was available. This area includes all of Kukak Bay and shoreline between Cape Nukshak and Cape Kulik, along Shelikof Strait opposite Kodiak Island, Alaska.

22. METHOD—RADIAL PLOT

MAP MANUSCRIPTS — Vinlylite base sheets, with polyconic projections ruled at a scale of 1:20,000, were furnished by the Washington Office. No map manuscripts were needed. All control stations were plotted on the base sheets using beam compass and meter bar. The two substitute stations were plotted using a steel protractor.

A sketch showing layout of surveys and distribution of control and photograph centers is attached to this report, page 18.

PHOTOGRAPHS — Thirty-two (32) metal-mounted, nine-lens photographs, taken with the U.S.C.& G.S. nine-lens camera, focal length 8¼ inches, were used in this radial plot. They were printed at a scale of 1:20,000 and are numbered as follows:

24938 to 24957 incl.
24963 to 24974 incl.

The symbols used on photographs were given in special instructions for projects in which the nine-lens plotter is used and do not follow standard instructions. A 4 mm circle is used to designate control stations and pass points and both 4 mm and 6 mm circles for photograph centers. A 3mm circle is used for positions on templets and base sheets.

TEMPELTS — Vinlylite templets were made of all photographs using a master templet to adjust for errors resulting from paper distortion and chamber displacements during printing.

CLOSURE AND ADJUSTMENT TO CONTROL

A preliminary plot was first constructed to determine the relative amount of tilt in the photographs. The tilt was observed by noting the displacements of image points of shoreline pass points and low control stations. The image points were represented on the templets by small red dots near the radial lines. The templets were arranged in groups having the same apparent amount of tilt. Except in the southerly flight, Nos. 24970 to 24974, there were no badly tilted photographs found. Since there was sufficient control in the Kukak Bay area so that any templet could be laid individually, the radial plot was begun in this area with
the eastern halves of the two northerly flights. The most nearly vertical
templates were laid first, then slightly tilted templates next. Those with
most tilt were laid last on top. There was no difficulty in constructing
the plot in the Kukak Bay area.

The western half of Survey T-9302 had no control, except two high
peaks which are suitable for vertical control only. Before the radial
plot was extended across this area, the third flight, Nos. 24963 to 24969,
was laid. Although there are only two control stations south of Cape
Ugyak, one at Cape Gull and one at Cape Kuliak, the irregular shoreline
allowed the selection of many shoreline pass points. With these two
stations, GULL, 1908 and KULIAK, 1908, and pass points from Kukak Bay photo-
graphs, a good plot was easily constructed with this third flight. The
radial plot was then extended west across Survey T-9302 beyond the control
in Kukak Bay. After considerable adjustment a fair radial plot was obtained
but the two peaks were not held. The error was about 1.5 mm on each. This
could be due to a combination of weak position, inaccurate identification
and lateral displacements due to tilting which made it impossible to estab-
lish good intersections on the radial lines on these high points.

The most southerly flight was laid last since these photographs are
half, or more, water areas. They were found to be considerably or badly
tilted and did not add anything of value to the radial plot.

TRANSFER OF POINTS - The completed radial plot was placed on a light
table and the positions of all points, including control and centers,
were pricked on the top templates. These points were circled with a 3 mm
blue circle. The positions were then established on all templates and on
base sheets by drilling down through to the base sheets with a small
jeweler's drill (.013 inch diameter). All points were circled on each
template before removal from the plot and also circled on the base sheet
with 3 mm circle.

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

There was abundant control in the Kukak Bay area, from Nukshak Point
to Cape Ugyak. South of Cape Ugyak there are only two control stations,
GULL, 1908 and KULIAK, 1908. But it is believed that a good radial plot
was obtained along shoreline and all points to Cape Kuliak are within
required accuracy for shoreline delineation. In the western half of Survey
T-9302, the radial plot was extended beyond control. PEAK C, 1949 and
PEAK Z, 1949, are near the western edge but cannot be relied on for
horizontal control due to weak position and high elevation. (Peak C is
nearly a mile high). A fair radial plot was obtained after considerable
adjustment and pass points in the western edge of Survey T-9302 are be-
lieved to be within 1 mm of their correct position.

There was only one horizontal control station, JONES, 1949, (except
for some peaks) which could not be held in the radial plot as originally
identified. The radially-plotted position was about 7 mm south of the
geographic position. It was misidentified in the field. The point
identified was probably a rock outcrop which shows as a white spot. The station was marked with white cloth 10 feet square prior to photography. After the radially-plotted position was obtained, this white spot was readily identified at the geographic position when re-examined with a stereoscope.

The following peaks could not be held as originally pricked on the office photographs:

PEAK G, 1949 - radially-plotted position falls 1.2 mm southeast of geographic position. It was repricked on another high point at the correct position. T-9303

PEAK H, 1949 - radially-plotted position falls 1.0 mm west of geographic position. This is a ridge with several high points of equal elevation. It was pricked same as field identification. The correct point was repricked on the photographs. T-9307

PEAK L, 1949 - radially-plotted position falls about 1.0 mm southwest of geographic position. No good reason was found for this discrepancy. Re-examination failed to reveal a definite high point at the true position. A good definite intersection of radial lines was not obtained due to some tilt in the photographs. T-9309

PEAK U, 1949 - radially-plotted position falls 1.5 mm north of the geographic position. This is a definite sharp peak and cannot be repricked. There is probably some error in the position of the station. A good intersection of radial lines was obtained. T-9309

PEAK C, 1949 - radially-plotted position falls about 1.5 mm east of geographic position. Due to tilt in photographs and its elevation of almost a mile, no definite intersection of radials was obtained. The geographic position is probably in error also. It could not be repricked to improve the position. T-9309

PEAK Z, 1949 - radially-plotted position falls about 1.5 mm north of the geographic position. It was identified in the field on another peak about a mile to the west. By coincidence, a peak selected as a pass point in the office is PEAK Z, 1949. This, as with PEAK C, is probably an error due to weak position and tilt. T-9309

When control stations were repricked, they were marked on the photographs with a 4 mm blue circle.

24. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

There were four graphic control surveys available in the Kukak Bay area, T-7123a and T-7123b at a scale of 1:20,000 and T-7060a and T-7060b at a scale of 1:10,000.

Thirty-one hydrographic signals and one recoverable topographic station (LAND, 1944) were identified on the photographs. The positions for these were transferred from the 1:20,000 graphic control sheets to the base sheets for a comparison with the radial plot. They were not used as control for the radial plot. Where the discrepancy was less than 0.5 mm no
attempt was made to find the reason. Two signals were in error by 1 mm or more. TENT, 1949, falls about 1.5 mm west of the graphic control survey position. Since identification is positive and position falls in water there must be an error on the graphic control sheet. This signal is about 500 meters from station KUKAK, 1949.

END, 1949 falls about 1.0 mm east of graphic control survey position. Identification is difficult and indefinite. This could be due to identifica-
on the photographs. It was pricked same as on field photograph.

The radially-plotted positions of all hydrographic signals were marked on the base sheets by a 3 mm blue circle whether or not they agreed with graphic control survey locations, which are circled with small (about 1 mm) red circles.

25. PHOTOGRAPHY

Photographic coverage was adequate and definition was very good. Except in the most southerly flight, (Nos. 24970 to 24974) there were no badly tilted photographs. Most photographs appeared nearly vertical and those which were tilted most are probably of less than one degree of tilt. They were better than photographs previously used in this type of terrain. The southerly flight appeared to have considerable tilt but they were mostly in water areas and did not affect the radial plot north of Cape Kuliak. They could have been disregarded for this radial plot. No tilt determination was made. These tilted photographs may be used in studying shoreline de-
tails between Cape Kuliak and Cape Gull in case delineation of this area is made but it is recommended that they not be used in establishing positions of shoreline detail since it is unlikely that an accurate rectification could be made.

26. Prior to photography, the triangulation stations in this area were marked by 10 foot squares of white cloth. These appeared as small white spots on the photographs and pricking of control was a simple but accurate process. The saving in time of pricking control is probably 75% or more since usually it involves considerable stereoscopic study in this rough terrain. There is further saving in time, which cannot be estimated, in laying the plot since pricking is positive and can be held without question unless misidentified as was JONES, 1949 in this project. There would be considerable saving in field work also, since one man could do the identifica-
tion and also eliminate establishing substitute stations. The necessary field party which searches for and recovers stations could mark stations.

There is additional time saved in computing and plotting substitute stations in the office. It is also quite likely that a more accurate radial plot results from this method of identification. The marking of control prior to photography is highly recommended, particularly in rough and undeveloped areas such as Alaska.

Respectfully submitted
27 June 1950

Frank J. Tarcza
Cartographic Engineer

Approved and forwarded

Hubert A. Paton
Condr., USCGG3
Officer in Charge
31. Delineation:

Topographic compilation on the two manuscripts of this report was delineated on the Reading Plotter, Model "A", using metal-mounted 9-lens photographs. 1949 field inspection was used as a guide in mapping the high water line and offshore detail. The field inspection was complete from Cape Nukshak on the north to Cape Ugyak on the south. The shoreline was completed to the north and south of this section by instrument delineation.

The land area of T-9303 was mapped in its entirety, but a portion of T-9302 has not been completed due to a lack of photograph coverage and necessary control in the area. The mapped areas have been indicated in red on the Map Layout Sketch, page 5, this report.

32. Control:

Reference side-headings 3, 4, and 23, where both horizontal and vertical control are discussed at length.

All triangulation stations were transferred to the manuscripts from the base sheets used in laying the radial plot. These positions were then checked against their geographic positions and made to agree perfectly.

Graphic control points were also transferred from the base sheets to which they had been copied from the field survey sheets. An attempt was made to hold all of them during compilation, but two did not agree with surrounding stations and have been shifted slightly to new positions; the two stations were TOM, 1949, and END, 1949.

Both graphic control and triangulation stations are shown on the manuscripts in proper symbols and names.

The principal source of vertical control for contouring purposes was furnished by the MHW line converted to MSL. Additional points of elevation were supplied on some of the triangulation stations, peak stations especially. A majority of these peak stations were not held in horizontal position during the radial plot, but the elevations given for all of them were held during the compilation. More area could have been contoured if more peaks had been positively identified; several additional elevations would have made it possible to have made use of existing photograph coverage.

33. Supplemental Data:

Nothing can be added here to supplement side-headings 14 and 24 of this report.
34. Contours and Drainage:

Photograph quality could have been better; over-exposure of the film resulted in deep shadows in which contouring was difficult. Further, considerable snow existed in the elevated areas, and this added to the contouring problems of the instrument operator. Attention toward improving these conditions would not only tend to increase the efficiency of the instrument compiler, and the accuracy of his product, but might mean making it possible to work certain areas which otherwise might have to be left blank.

35. Shoreline and Alongshore Details:

Field inspection was adequate and is reflected in the shoreline compiled on the manuscripts. More detailed inspection is desirable but it is realized that the rugged nature of this area has prevented such quality. The low-water line is not complete but has been shown where indicated by field inspection. Low-water and shoal lines beyond the limits of inspection are instrument delineated.

36. Offshore details:

There is nothing unusual to report here.

37. Landmarks and Aids:

Reference chart letter No 72, 1950, filed in Nautical Charts Division, Washington Office. This is the report of Landmarks mentioned in side-heading 9 of this report.

38. Control for Future Surveys:

No additional topo or photo-hydro stations were established during instrument compilation.

39. Junctures:

Junctures are in agreement.

40. Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy:


46. Comparison with Existing Maps:

USGS, KAMISHAK BAY - KATMAI REGION, ALASKA, Alaska Map 16, 1:250,000, 1938, 200 ft contour interval.
47. **Comparison with Nautical Charts:**

USCGS, Alaska - South Coast, KODIAK ISLAND, No 8556, 1:350,000, Feb 1938 (1st Edition), last correction date of 28 August 1950.

48. **Geographic Name List:**

See separate sheet following.

49. **Notes for the Hydrographer:**

None.

50. **Compilation Office Review:**

See separate form following.

Submitted by:

[Signature]

Orvel N. Dalbey,
Cartographer-Photogrammetric

Approved and Forwarded by:

[Signature]

Louis J. Reed, C.E.
Stereoscopic Mapping Section
Photogrammetric Engineer
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

T. 9302 & 9303


CONTROL STATIONS

5. Horizontal control stations of third-order or higher accuracy ✓ 6. Recoverable horizontal stations of less than third-order accuracy (topographic stations) ✓ 7. Photo hydro stations ✓ 8. Bench marks ✓

ALONGSHORE AREAS
(Nautical Chart Data)

✓ = checked  ✓ = non-existent


PHYSICAL FEATURES


CULTURAL FEATURES


BOUNDARIES

31. Boundary lines ✓ 32. Public land lines ✓

MISCELLANEOUS


40. [Signature]
Supervisor, Review Section or Unit

Louis J. Reed, Chief
Stereoscopic Mapping Section
Photogrammetric Engineer

FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT

42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

Compiler

Supervisor

M-2623-12

43. Remarks:
I recommend that the following objects which have not been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks becharted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by L. Martin Gazik

S. V. Griffith
Chief of Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>Alaska, Shelikoff Strait, Kukak Bay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARTING NAME</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small, lighted, locally maintained</td>
<td>Lite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This aid was located and inspected by the survey party for T-7123b.

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by

Department of Commerce
U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey
Review Section, Div. of Photogr.,
Washington, D.C.
Oct 24, 1952
T-9302. Geographic Names.

Alaska
Shelikof Strait (for title)

Kukak Bay
Aguchik Island
Hidden Harbor
Katmai National Monument
Third Judicial Division

Names underlined in red are approved. 12-5-52.
Alaska
- Shelikof Strait
- Cape Gull
- Kaflia Bay
- Cape Uzyak
- Kulichkof Island
- Kukak Bay
- Cannery Passage
- Aguligik Island
- Tiny Island
- Devils Cove
- Kukak Point
- Little Beach (just north of Kukak Point)
- Nugnat Rocks
- Cape Nukshak
- Nukshak Island

*Katmai National Monument (8-3-52)*

Names underlined in red are approved. 8-3-52.
62. **Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-7060a</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-7060b</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-7123 a &amp; b</td>
<td>1:10,000</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above plan tabale surveys cover shoreline and foreshore features in the KUKAK BAY area and there is agreement in this area with T-9302 and 9303.

For a more complete coverage of shoreline and foreshore features together with complete interior topographic information, T-9302 and 9303 supersede the above listed surveys as basic topographic surveys for nautical chart purposes.

63. **Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies.**

KAMISHAK BAY - KATMAI REGION, Alaska Map 16 USGS, 1:250,000, 1938.

There are several holidays in the above map in the area common to the present surveys, and, except for the shoreline about KUKAK BAY, all contours, drainage and shoreline are shown with approximate symbolization on the USGS map.

64. **Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-7822</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unreviewed copies of T-9302 and 9303 were used as a base for the shoreline of H-7822.

Some additions of ledge and minor changes in approximate LW line delineation were made to T-9302 and 9303 during review without effecting the agreement with the hydrographic survey.

65. **Comparison with Nautical Charts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8851</td>
<td>1:100,000 (approx.)</td>
<td>Aug. 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8556</td>
<td>1:350,000</td>
<td>Apr. 1952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above charts include the latest information available from H-7822 and T-9302 and 9303.

A light located by T-7123b and shown on T-9303 is not shown on either of the above charts. Form 567, dated October 24, 1952 was submitted recommending the charting of this light.
66. Miscellaneous.—Two monumented tidal benchmarks established in 1949 at about 58° 20.1' latitude and 156° 07.0' longitude were not field identified for location by photogrammetric methods.

67. Adequacy of Results.—Since there was no field edit of these sheets no opportunity was available to make horizontal and vertical accuracy tests in the areas of these two photogrammetric surveys.

Fourteen triangulation stations served to satisfactorily control T-9303 horizontally. Three stations not held in the radial plot, due to misidentification or some other cause, had no effect on the horizontal accuracy of the map. For particulars see the triangulation diagram in the layout sketch on page 18 and the paragraphs concerning horizontal and vertical control in the Field Inspection Report, pages 8-10; in the Photogrammetric Plot Report, pages 14-16; and in the Compilation Report at page 19.

T-9303 conforms to project instructions and Bureau standards.

Eleven triangulation stations are concentrated in the eastern half of T-9302 and of these nine were held in the radial plot to give adequate control in this portion. The western half does not meet the same horizontal accuracy since the only two triangulation stations in this half could not be held in the plot. For particulars see the same references listed at the end of the second paragraph under this item, Item 67.

T-9302 is adequate as a basic topographic survey for charting at the scale now published in this area and conforms to project instructions, at scale 1:1,200,000 or smaller.

Reviewed by:

L. Martin Gazik

L. Martin Gazik

APPROVED

L. C. Landy 22 Dec. 54

Chief, Review Section

Div. of Photogrammetry

W. H. Schmidt

Chief, Nautical Chart Branch
Division of Charts &D

Earl O. Heaton

Chief, Div. of Coastal Surveys