**U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY**
**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**DESCRIPTIVE REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Survey</th>
<th>Planimetric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field No.</td>
<td>Ph-42(49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office No.</td>
<td>T-9368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCALITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General locality</td>
<td>Chukchi Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Point Lay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**1949**

**CHIEF OF PARTY**

R.A. Earle, Chief of Party
H. A. Paton, Balto. Photo. Office

**LIBRARY & ARCHIVES**

**DATE** December 16, 1957
DATA RECORD

T- 9368
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Scale Factor (III): 1,000
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Date registered (IV): 10-8-56

Applied to Chart No. Date:
Publication Scale (IV): Publication date (IV):

Geographic Datum (III): Barrow, 1945  Vertical Datum (III): MHW (Approx)
Correction to NA 1927 (Fres): 
available: LAT 73 30.37
Long. 159

Reference Station (III): Palmer, 1949  Mean sea level except as follows:
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The difference between Point Barrow, 1945 Datum and preliminary N.A. 1927 Datum is Lat. plus/minus 42 m. and Long. minus 159 m.

*The difference between Preliminary NA 27 Datum and the NA 27 Datum (adjusted) positions are within plotting tolerance. Therefore, the compilation can be used without applying any additional Datum correction.
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Radial Plot or Stereoscopic Control extension by (III): F. J. Tarcza Date: 2-15-50

J. Steinberg 8-24-51

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III): Contours

Manuscript delineated by (III): L. A. Senasack Date: 2-17-50

J. Honick 9-3-52

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): E. L. Williams Date: 10-15-52

Elevations on Manuscript checked by (II) (III):
PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KAS - 3</td>
<td>7-23-49</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>1:40,000</td>
<td>approx 0.6' below MLLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7-26-49</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>approx 0.9' below MLLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR-158</td>
<td>6-22-49</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR-159</td>
<td>07-01-49</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR-163</td>
<td>08-03-49</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR-163</td>
<td>08-03-49</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide (III)
From actual observations at
Reference Station: Point Lay Camp, Kasegaluk Lagoon, Alaska

Ratio of Ranges | Mean Range | Spring Range
----------------|------------|--------------
0.5             |            |              |

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 175 sq. mi.
Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 149 st. mi.
Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 126 st. mi.
Control Leveling - Miles (II):
Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): None
Number of BMs searched for (II): Recovered: Identified: 11
Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): None
Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): None (see item 38)

Remarks:
* of the 13 stations established in 1949, 11 were identified.
Summary to Accompany
Planimetric Map T-9368

Ph-42(49) is that part of continuing project CS-320 (which includes the whole Arctic Coast of Alaska) extending from 69° 07' 1" to 70° 49' 11"; i.e., from the north limit of Ph-28(47) to the south limit of Ph-27(47).

Ph-42(49) has 17 maps, T-9361-69; T-9371-75; and T-9402 and T-9403. T-9368 includes that part of Kasgaluk Lagoon which lies between Utukok and Kokolik rivers.

Field work consisted solely of control establishment in 1949, without benefit of photograph coverage. The area was photographed by the Navy in July 1949. Prior to laying the radial plot, the control was pricked on the 1949 vertical photographs by the aid of oblique pictures of the control station sites. These obliques were taken by our own field party with a K-20 camera at 1000 feet elevation, August 1949.

After all the maps in the project have been reviewed, reproduced, and registered, a Completion Report will be written and filed in the Bureau Library under the project number. This report will include a brief text describing the project; any important correspondence; copies of the various instructions and special reports; statistical data; and a list of the data not bound with the Completion Report, but filed elsewhere.
SUPPLEMENTAL PHOTOGAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT
PROJECT Ph-42(49)
SURVEY T-9368

27. SUPPLEMENTAL RADIAL PLOT

This supplemental radial plot was run for the control of photographs covering additional interior area of this survey.

The photographs used to extend the original 1:40,000 scale plot are single lens, 9" x 9" contact prints, scale 1:20,000, taken by the U. S. Navy with a 6" focal length camera. Eighty-three photographs were used in the plot and are numbered as follows:

BAR 163-025 thru 030
159-119 thru 113
159-063 thru 069
159-049 thru 041
159-007 thru 014
158-211 thru 202
158-163 thru 172
158-152 thru 141
158-096 thru 087
158-045 thru 051

A sketch showing the layout of control stations and photograph centers is attached to this report.

All control stations and pass points pricked on the 1:40,000 scale photographs used in the original plot were transferred to the 1:20,000 photographs. Additional pass points and conjugate centers to extend the plot were also pricked on the 1:20,000 photographs.

Templets, scale 1:40,000, were made of the 1:20,000 scale photographs by drawing rays midway between centers and image points.

The plot was laid directly on the partially detailed manuscript over a light table, in order to see more clearly the existing control stations and pass points from the original plot through the templet.

No triangulation stations were found in the area to be controlled by this supplemental plot. The templets for those photographs which could be laid using triangulation stations and pass points established in the original plot were laid first. Extension of the plot was carried easterly only as far as it was believed possible for mapping accuracy.

The completed assembly was carefully turned over on the light table and the positions of all photograph centers and pass points that were located were circled on the manuscript.

The coverage was adequate but without additional control the plot extension could not be carried accurately to the limits of the survey.

Respectfully submitted

Joseph Steinberg
Photogrammetric Engineer
FIELD REPORT


PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

Refer to the photogrammetric plot report for Surveys T-9361 to T-9369, inclusive, which is part of the descriptive report for survey No T-9361.

A supplemental photogrammetric plot report for the area of Survey T-9368 is part of this report.

31. Delineation

This manuscript was delineated by graphic methods. The larger scale photographs were used in the Vertical Projector for application to the manuscript. There was no field inspection except in the immediate vicinity of the control stations.

Refer to item 31 of the report for Survey No. T-9361 for a discussion of tundra areas.

32. Control

Refer to the photogrammetric plot reports.

The eastern part of this survey was not delineated because of inadequate control.

33. Supplemental Data

The following maps were available for general information and for geographic names:

(2) U.S.G. & G.S. Chart No. 9400, scale 1:587,870 published 1-16-50 and corrected to 2-13-50.
(3) World Aeronautical Chart, Point Hope, Alaska (64), scale 1:1,000,000, third edition dated 12-8-48.

Large scale, low oblique K-20 photographs of six control stations were used to aid in photograph interpretation.
34. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

Contours - not applicable.

Drainage - Refer to item 34 of the report for survey No. T-9361.
The delineation of Kokolik River was taken from the photographs at the scale of 1:20,000 because complete coverage of the river is available at the same stage of water level.

35. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS**

No shoreline inspection was furnished. The delineation of the MHW and MLLW lines were based on office interpretation of the photographs.

36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

None

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

None

38. **CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS**

Four hydrographic signals were located by fourth-order triangulation in 1949. Forms 524 were submitted by the field party for two of these stations.

Refer to item 49 for a list of these stations.

39. **JUNCTIONS**

Junctions with surveys T-9365 and T-9366 to the north and with T-9369 to the south have been made and are in agreement.

40. **HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY**

The horizontal accuracy of the eastern part of this manuscript is considered weak because the radial plot in this area is extended a large distance beyond identified control.
41. - 45.
   Inapplicable.

46. **COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS**

   This survey was compared with the maps listed in item 33 and with the following:

   U.S.G.S. Preliminary Map, Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 4, scale 1:48,000 dated September, 1948 (sheet G-22)

47. **COMPARISON WITH CHARTS**

   This survey was compared with the following charts:

   (2) U.S.C. & G.S. World Aeronautical Chart Point Hope (64) scale 1:1,000,000 published 12-8-48.

   **Items to be applied to Nautical Charts Immediately:**

   None

   **Items to be carried forward:**

   None

Respectfully submitted

[Signature]
Joseph W. Vonasek
Carto. (Photo.)

Approved and Forwarded

[Signature]
Hubert A. Paton
Officer in Charge
48. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

Chukchi Sea
Kasegaluk Lagoon
Kokolik River
Kokolik Pass
Nikalik Creek
Point Lay *
Point Lay Village
Tungaitch Point
Kugaghaurak River

Names approved 7-5-46
L. Heck

* These names taken from Coast Pilot, Alaska dated 1-1-51.
49. **NOTES TO HYDROGRAPHER**

The following are the fourth order control stations in the area of this survey:

- BID, 1949
- JAP, 1949
- WAD, 1949
- POINT LAY SCHOOLHOUSE BELFRY, 1949
NOTES TO REVIEWER

According to Comdr. Paton the name Chukchi Sea is preferable to ARCTIC OCEAN in this area.
He also states that the long sand bars contain no appreciable amounts of gravel.
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW
T. 9368

1. Projection and grids
2. Title
3. Manuscript numbers
4. Manuscript size

CONTROL STATIONS
5. Horizontal control stations of third-order or higher accuracy
6. Recoverable horizontal stations of less than third-order accuracy (topographic stations)
7. Photo hydro stations
8. Bench marks
9. Plotting of sextant fixes
10. Photogrammetric plot report
11. Detail points

ALONGSHORE AREAS
(Nautical Chart Data)
12. Shoreline
13. Low-water line
14. Rocks, shoals, etc.
15. Bridges
16. Aids to navigation
17. Landmarks
18. Other alongshore physical features
19. Other alongshore cultural features

PHYSICAL FEATURES
20. Water features
21. Natural ground cover
22. Planetary contours
23. Stereoscopic instrument contours
24. Contours in general
25. Spot elevations
26. Other physical features

CULTURAL FEATURES
27. Roads
28. Buildings
29. Railroads
30. Other cultural features

BOUNDARIES
31. Boundary lines
32. Public land lines

MISCELLANEOUS
33. Geographic names
34. Junctions
35. Legibility of the manuscript
36. Discrepancy overlay
37. Descriptive Report
38. Field inspection photographs
39. Forms

40. [Signature]
Reviewer

41. Remarks (see attached sheet)

FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT
42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

[Signature]
Compiler

[Signature]
Supervisor

43. Remarks:
61. General.—South of Utukok River in the southwestern part of T-9366 (at 79° 01'41") there is a marked change in topography. Ridges, hills, and mesa-like remnants of a more elevated formation lie on the lower formation that constituted the "higher tundra" of the areas mapped to the north, where the drained ponds and very wet depressions formed the "lower tundra". This "lower tundra" was delineated by the inundation symbol in order to display the pattern caused by the contrast between the lower, more wet areas and the somewhat higher, less wet areas.

In the original compilation of T-9366, the "higher tundra" no longer was used in the same sense as formerly, but instead, included only those hills, ridges, and mesa-like remnants which rise probably at least 20 to 50 feet above the terrain formerly labeled "higher tundra". In other words, the term "higher tundra" on the original delineations for the maps south of Utukok River was in fact highest tundra. Thus, the term "lower tundra" in the areas of T-9366 and southward became expanded to include not only "lower tundra" but also the area formerly named "higher". This alteration in the use of the term "lower tundra" had the advantage of emphasizing salient features that might be noted from seaward; but had the disadvantage of losing the abandoned ponds and wet depressions which gave "pattern" to the maps to the north.

Now a new classification has been adopted for the area south of Utukok River (T-9366 to T-9375; T-9402, T-9403) in order to retain the pattern of the maps to the north. The term "drier tundra" is used to include both the former "higher tundra", and the hills, ridges, and mesa-like remnants described in paragraph 1, above; and the term "wat tundra" supplants "lower tundra". This means that the interior of southwestern T-9366 and all the maps southward have been redelineated with respect to tundra.

62. Comparison with Registered Surveys.—No earlier surveys of this area have been made.

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies.—

USGS Point Lay, Alas. (Recon.) 1:250,000 1951
Astronomical Datum.

The general shape of shoreline, drainage, and large ponds are similar, but their relation to the projection differs two minutes or more in longitude and 20" to 30" in latitude.
64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys.

H-7752 1:40,000 1949 Kukpovruk Pass

The shoreline from T-9368 is already applied to H-7752.

Two sand islands were added during review. They form a part of the delta of Kokolik River and probably are awash at higher high water. These added to H-7752 - J.T. Galbraith, verifier 12/1/47

H-7753 1:20,000 1949 Icy Cape to Utukok Pass

The shoreline has not been applied. This survey overlaps H-7754 between triangulation Roland and hydrographic station Dug, and is in conflict with H-7754. Conflict resolved.

H-7754 1:20,000 1949 Kukpovruk Pass to Kokolik Pass

The shoreline from T-9368 has already been applied. (see change noted under H-7752 above) Change added see above. 12/1/47

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts.


The small scale of the chart precludes more than a superficial comparison, but no conflict was noted except that some geographic names are absent on the chart.

66. Accuracy.-The sand bars are held by seven control stations and the mainland shore area by four stations evenly spaced, so that the shorelines on this map are as accurate as office interpretation can give. The interior has no control and can be said only to satisfy interior charting purposes.

Reviewed by:

Lena T. Stevens

APPROVED:

Chief, Review Branch
Div. of Photogrammetry

Chief, Nautical Chart Branch
Division of Charts

Chief, Div. of Photogrammetry
Dec 11, 1947

Chief, Div. of Coastal Surveys
HORIZONTAL DATUM ADJUSTMENT

CHUKCHI SEA, ALASKA

Corrections to Preliminary N.A. 1927 Datum from the various independent horizontal datums on the coast of the Arctic Ocean and Chukchi Sea in Alaska have been determined by the Division of Geodesy, being computed from field positions, allowing for closure in azimuth and length. This procedure was started at adjusted N.A. 1927 Datum stations at about the 63rd Parallel on the Canadian Boundary, following the 141st Meridian (IBC Datum) to the Arctic Ocean, thence westward through the Barter Island and Flaxman Island Datums, and southwestward through the Point Barrow 1945 Datum, to a connection with N.A. 1927 Field Datum in the area of Kotzebue Sound off Chukchi Sea. The position of the stations in this area is subject to further adjustment after more geodetic field work.

PLANEOMETRIC MAPPING PROJECT

Ph-42(49)

Cape Beaufort to Atanik

T-9361 through T-9369 and T-9371 through T-9375, T-9402 & T-9403

The correction from Point Barrow 1945 Datum to Preliminary N.A. 1927 Datum was computed for each map by multiplying the correction in seconds to the value of one second in latitude and longitude at the latitude of the reference station of each map. This correction was recorded with the following stamp:

The value of one second in meters varies from 11.030 m. (T-9402) to 10.276 m. (T-9361).

in the Descriptive Report on the first page of the data record, and on each manuscript near the title block.

See the Special Report on Corrections from the Point Barrow 1945 Datum to Preliminary N.A. 1927 Datum, filed with the completion report for Ph-42(49) for a Project Index showing the correction for each map in this project.
# Nautical Charts Branch

**Survey No. T9368**

Record of Application to Charts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Cartographer</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/24/85</td>
<td>9457</td>
<td>J.P. Walker</td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review Completely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.