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Project No. (II): Ph-26(47) Quadrangle Name (IV):
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(II) = 21 Apr 48
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Manuscript Scale (II): 29,000

Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III): 20,000

Scale Factor (III): 1:1
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Applied to Chart No. Date: Date registered (IV):

Publication Scale (IV):

Geographic Datum (III): NA 1927 (Unadjusted)

Publication date (IV):

Vertical Datum (III):

Mean sea level except as follows:
Elevations shown as (26) refer to mean high water
Elevations shown as (g) refer to sounding datum
i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water
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Lat.: Long.: XXXXXXXXXX

Unadjusted
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State: Zone:
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MILITARY GRID = Universal Transverse Mercator, Zone 3.
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100% compiled on the Reading Plotter, model B,
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DATA RECORD

Field Inspection by (II): H.R. Spies  Date: Jun-Sep 1950

Planetable contouring by (II): None  Date:

Completion Surveys by (II): None  Date:

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location):

The MHWL is dated 1950. It was delineated on the plotting instrument guided by 1950 field identification of the shoreline on photographs.

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV):

Theodore L. Janson on the Ruling Machine  Date: 7 Mar 51

Projection and Grids checked by (IV):

Howard D. Wolfe  Date: 9 Mar 51

Control plotted by (III):

Frank J. Taroza  Date: 9 Jul 51

Control checked by (III):

Ruth Hartley  Date: 14 Aug 51

Radial Plot on Stereoscopic Instrument by (III):

Frank J. Taroza  Date: 2 Oct 51

Stereoscopic Instrument delineation by: Planimetry & Contours

Louis Levin and Orvis N. Dalbey  Date: 23 Mar 52

compiled by:

John B. McDonald  Date: 24 Apr 52

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III):

Louis J. Reed  Date: 26 Apr 52

Elevations on Manuscript checked by (II) (III):

Louis J. Reed  Date: 26 Apr 52
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Camera (kind or source) (III): USC & GS 9-lens model B, f=8.25 inches.

PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27623</td>
<td></td>
<td>12:21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thru</td>
<td></td>
<td>12:27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27629</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>thru</td>
<td>None **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27735</td>
<td>thru</td>
<td>14:51</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>appreciable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thru</td>
<td></td>
<td>14:54</td>
<td></td>
<td>tide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27738</td>
<td>22 Jul 50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>thru</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27742</td>
<td></td>
<td>14:58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27743</td>
<td></td>
<td>14:59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Mr Disney of Tides and Currents states that no tide exists in this area, for all practical purposes.

Reference Station: 
Subordinate Station: 
Subordinate Station:

Washington Office Review by (IV): Bernard J. Colner
Final Drafting by (IV): John H. Fraizer
Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV): W.O. Hallin

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): See remarks below
Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): See remarks below
Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): None
Control Leveling - Miles (II): None
Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): Recovered: Identified: None
Number of BMs searched for (II): Recovered: Identified: None
Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): Six
Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): One

Remarks:

Area (sq mi) = 69 34 23 58 27
Shoreline(mi) = 2 none 9 2 none
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TOPOGRAPHIC AND PLANIMETRIC MAPPING PROJECT
PH-28 (47)

ALASKA, Chukchi Sea, Kiwalik to Naokok

T-9402 to T-9474 are Topographic Maps  Scale 1:20,000

T-9475 to T-9496 are Planimetric Maps  Scale 1:20,000
Summary to Accompany T-9430 through T-9434

Ph-28(47) covers the eastern shore of the Chukchi Sea in Alaska and runs from Candle on the Kiwalik River on the south to Cape Beaufort to the north.

There are ninety-four topographic quadrangles (T-9402 to T-9434 and T-9436 to T-9496) in this project.

T-9430 through T-9434 are topographic surveys which contain the area in the vicinity of Cape Seppings.

Each map manuscript consists of one sheet, 7½ minutes in latitude and 20 minutes in longitude, at a scale of 1:20,000, with a contour interval of 50 feet. A cloth-bound lithographic print of each map at the compilation scale will be registered with the descriptive report in the Bureau of Archives.
1. Preface:
2-20:

See separate report entitled:

PROJECT REPORT
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH CONTROL AND INSPECTION
CAPE KRUSENSTERN TO POINT HOPE, ALASKA

Project Ph-28(47)       June to Sept 1950
Lorne G. Taylor, Chief of Party

Photogrammetric Engineer
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT (Plot E)

PROJECT PH-28(47)

SURVEYS T-9428 to T-9434 inclusive

21. AREA COVERED

This radial plot covers the areas of Surveys T-9428 to T-9434 inclusive. These are topographic surveys situated along the shore of the Arctic Ocean from Cape Seppings to Cape Thompson.

22. METHOD–RADIAL PLOT

Map Manuscripts

Vinylite sheets with polyconic projections in black and Universal Transverse Mercator grids in red, at a scale of 1:20,000, were furnished by the Washington Office. No base sheets were required. The map manuscript for Survey T-9435 was furnished but was not used. There is no photographic coverage for this survey.

All control stations and substitute stations were plotted using beam compass and meter bar.

A sketch showing the layout of these surveys and the distribution of photograph centers and control is attached to this report.

Photographs

All photographs used are nine lens metal mounted photographs at a scale of 1:20,000. Twenty-five (25) photographs were used in this radial plot numbered as follows:

27623 to 27634 inclusive  27730 to 27733 inclusive
27670  27735 to 27738 inclusive
27672 and 27673  27742
27675

The symbols used on the photographs were given in special instructions for all radial plots using nine lens photographs which will be used later with a Reading Plotter.

Templets

Vinylite templets were made from all photographs using a master templet furnished by the Washington Office to adjust for errors due to chamber displacements. Radial lines were scratched on the templets with a sharp needle point and the scratches filled in with china marking pencil. Red pencil was used for all shoreline (rectification) pass points and black pencil was used for all other radial lines.
Closure and Adjustment to Control

The radial plot was constructed directly on the map manuscripts. A preliminary plot was constructed to determine whether there were any badly tilted photographs. The amount of tilt can be estimated by observing the displacement of the image points, indicated by red dots on the templets, of shoreline points and points of known elevation. Two of the photographs were found to be slightly tilted but the tilt was not enough to affect the plot seriously.

The final plot was started at the southern edge of these surveys where the positions of pass points and photograph centers had been established in the previous radial plot. The plot was extended northwestward holding all control points. As explained in a previous radial plot report, there was difficulty in holding pass point intersections in chamber No. 8. By permitting small triangles in this chamber it was possible to get a satisfactory radial plot. There were several photographs missing on the inshore flight. This flight was laid last.

Transfer of Points

The positions of all centers, pass points and control stations were pricked on the top templets and circled with 3 mm blue circles. The positions were established on the remaining templets and map manuscripts by drilling down through them with a small (0.01 inch) jeweler's drill. All points were circled on each templlet as it was removed and on the map manuscripts.

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

There is adequate control along the shoreline. In interior areas, especially in Survey T-9430 the positions established are weak and are indicated by green circles on the map manuscripts. There are two weak areas in the gaps where photographs have been omitted in the inshore flight.

Radial plot positions were established for STEEP, 1950, and UNDER, 1950. At both stations the substitute points were held in the radial plot. Attempt was made to prick the stations direct with the aid of K-20 photographs. The error is probably due to pricking, not positions. The radially plotted position was established to aid in rectification, if needed. A similar radially plotted position was established for TORUK, 1950. Photo Control Point No. 10, nearby, was held in the radial plot. The identification of this station is positive.

24. SUPPLEMENTARY CONTROL

No graphic control surveys were used in this radial plot.
25. PHOTOGRAPHY

Photographic coverage was adequate for all shoreline areas on these surveys. On the inshore flight there are two gaps in photography, probably caused by camera failure. In Surveys T-9433 and T-9434 it will be impossible to compile the inshore areas along this flight.

There are no badly tilted photographs and the definition of the photographs is good. Two collimation marks are missing on all the photographs. One is in chamber No. 8 which may have caused the errors noted in this chamber. The other is in chamber No. 3 but this did not appear to cause any errors in the radial plot.

26. VERTICAL CONTROL

There were several discrepancies noted during computations of elevations following the establishment of their position in the radial plot. The horizontal angles, observed for identification purposes in the field, were set with a steel protractor on the map manuscripts to verify the identification. The following discrepancies were noted:

PEAK 754 (Survey T-9431) - There is a difference of 6 meters in the two elevations obtained. The horizontal angle from KUKFUK, 1950 was about one degree off and may be to another point on this long flat ridge, possibly on a high point 1200 meters northwest from the point identified. The elevation obtained from KUKFUK, 1950, was rejected. The elevation from KIMIKFUK, 1950, was accepted but there was no check on it. This elevation should be used with caution.

PEAK 739 (Survey T-9430) - There is a difference of 20 meters between the two elevations. Identification appears correct and horizontal angles check. No reason was found for this discrepancy. It is probable that one vertical angle is incorrect but in the absence of any evidence to indicate the error the elevations of this peak were rejected.

PEAK 749 (Survey T-9428). This peak was pricked as field identified. The horizontal angles intersected at another peak of equal elevation on the same mountain about 150 meters northwest. This peak was repricked and the new position used in computation of elevation.

At PEAKS NOS. 694, 695, 733 and 752 one elevation for each peak did not check with the other elevations. In each case the horizontal angle to the peak did not check. The elevations in error were rejected. All of these peaks had two other elevations which checked each other.

Respectfully submitted
8 October 1951

[Signature]

Frank J. Tarcza
Cartographer (Photo.)
COMPILATION REPORT

31. Delineation:

Contours and cultural features were delineated simultaneously on the Reading Plotter, model B. Of the five quads being reported herein, only one has its land area completely mapped; it is T-9432. The other four, in general, are not mapped in their back limits, in the area away from the coastline. This was caused by mapping photos having been flown generally parallel to the shoreline, and the inshore flight having two gaps in it, one extending across T-9433 northward into T-9431 and southward into T-9434, and the other gap being in the NW comer of T-9430. The map outline diagram on page 5 also outlines the back limits of compilation, showing the area mapped in red.

*Gap compiled June '53 using new 1942 photo 38068; T-9430 now complete.

32. Control:

Refer to side-headings 23 and 26 of the radial plot report, beginning on page 9. Except in the vicinity of gaps in the mapping photos, the horizontal control was considered to be adequate for radial plot purposes. Vertical control was furnished by a combination of sea-level datum at the shoreline, and elevations on inland peaks and lake surfaces as determined by field observations. There was a shortage of vertical control in the area covered by the inshore flight of photos, but this was overcome by extending verticals across a few models while holding to the shore flight.

33. Supplemental Data:

a. Graphic Control Surveys: None.

b. Hydrographic Surveys: None.

c. Plotting Instrument Photos: (metal-mounts):

   27623 thru 27629, 27735 thru 27738, and 27742 thru 27745, 38068

d. Field Inspection Photos:

   20690 thru 20694, 20927 thru 20934, and 20975.

e. Vertical Control Brochure:

   "TABULATION OF ELEVATIONS AND COMPUTATION OF ELEVATIONS
   BY MAP MANUSCRIPTS FOR VERTICAL CONTROL STATIONS IN THE
   AREA OF MAP MANUSCRIPTS T-9428 thru T-9434."

34. Contours and Drainage:

   Photograph quality was very good for contouring use and no areas of questionable contours remain.
35. **Shoreline and Alongshore Details:**

Shoreline inspection was adequate even though it was difficult to use; the inspection was made on 1947 photos at a scale of 1:30,000 and therefore was not directly transferrable to the 1:20,000 scale manuscripts. The inspection was used as a guide during instrument delineation and thereby is translated into map form. For the most part the shoreline in this vicinity is regular and offers no particular difficulty in delineation.

36. **Offshore Details:** None exist.

37. **Landmarks and Aids:** No aids exist; no landmarks recommended.

38. **Control for Future Surveys:**

a. Photo-hydro stations:

   T-9433  No. 151  on photo 20591

b. Photo-topo stations:

   T-9430  DENT, 1950  on photo 20593
   T-9432  AGRE, 1950  on photo 20592
   T-9433  GATE, 1950  on photo 20591

39. **Junctions:**

All junctions are in agreement; this is true since all adjoining quads have been compiled simultaneously. See quad layout on page 5 and note that no sheets exist landward and seaward from this group of quads.

40. **Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy:**

These maps are considered to meet national map accuracy standards in both respects. All contours meet the standards set for a 50ft interval; the 25ft contour is thought to be more accurate due to its nearness to a very well defined shoreline and sealevel.

46. **Comparison with existing Maps:**

"ALASKA RECONNAISSANCE TOPOGRAPHIC SERIES, SECOND JUDICIAL DIVISION, NOATAK, ALASKA, 1:250,000, USGS, 1951 edition."
47. **Comparison with Nautical Charts:**
   

   b. Provisional Chart, CAPE PRINCE OF WALES TO POINT BORROW, CHUKOHI SEA, Alaska–Arctic Coast, No. 9402, 1:750,000, May 1950, 1st edition.

48. **Geographic Name List:**
   
   See separate numbered page, following.

49. **Notes for the Hydrographer:**
   
   See separate unnumbered page, following.

50. **Compilation Office Review:**
   
   See T-2 form, numbered page, following.

Submitted by:

[Signature]

Orvis N. Dalbey
Cartographer–Photogrammetric

Approved and Forwarded by:

[Signature]

Louis J. Reed, Chief
Stereoscopic Mapping Section
Photogrammetric Engineer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name on Survey</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-9430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUKKOHI SEA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUKPUK RIVER</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIMIKPUK RIDGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUPSORUT HILL</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TINGOOK RIDGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9431</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIMIKPUK RIDGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9432</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCTIC OCEAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPE SEPPINGS</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUKKOHI SEA</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATCOOSEKRUK MT</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACROERUK MT</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGOALIK RIVER</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUSIKPOOK LAGOON</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9433</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASICKFUN RIVER</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUKKOHI SEA</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWROERUK MT</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAKPISCOOROOK RIVER</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOQQOSALOOK MT</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAIKTOK HILLS</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUTTEEGARUK MT</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUTTEEGARUK RIVER</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9434</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASICKFUN RIVER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAIKTOK HILLS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N.B. name used in title isn't applied on this map)

Names approved 3-24-54

L. Heck
49. Notes for the Hydrographer:

a. Photo-hydro stations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T-9433</th>
<th>Signal No. 151 identified on photo 20591</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-9430</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9431</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9432</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9434</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Photo-topo stations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T-9430</th>
<th>DENT, 1950 identified on photo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATOM, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9431</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9432</td>
<td>ACRE, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARMY, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FATE, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9433</td>
<td>GATE, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-9434</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

T-9430 \text{ through } T-9434

1. Projection and grids \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 2. Title \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 3. Manuscript numbers \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 4. Manuscript size \checkmark

CONTROL STATIONS

5. Horizontal control stations of third-order or higher accuracy \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 6. Recoverable horizontal stations of less than third-order accuracy (topographic stations) \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 7. Photo hydro stations \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 8. Bench marks \checkmark

9. Plotting of sextant fixes \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 10. Photogrammetric plot report \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 11. Detail points \checkmark

ALONGSHORE AREAS

(Nautical Chart Data)

12. Shoreline \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 13. Low-water line \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 14. Rocks, shoals, etc. \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 15. Bridges \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 16. Aids to navigation \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 17. Landmarks \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 18. Other alongshore physical features \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 19. Other alongshore cultural features \checkmark

PHYSICAL FEATURES

20. Water features \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 21. Natural ground cover \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 22. Planetary contours \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 23. Stereoscopic instrument contours \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 24. Contours in general \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 25. Spot elevations \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 26. Other physical features \checkmark

CULTURAL FEATURES

27. Roads \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 28. Buildings \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 29. Railroads \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 30. Other cultural features \checkmark

BOUNDARIES

31. Boundary lines \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 32. Public land lines \checkmark

MISCELLANEOUS

33. Geographic names \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 34. Junctions \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 35. Legibility of the manuscript \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 36. Discrepancy overlay \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 37. Descriptive report \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 38. Field inspection photographs \checkmark \hspace{1cm} 39. Forms \checkmark

40. \underline{\text{Reviewer}} \hspace{1cm} \underline{\text{Supervisor, Review Section or Unit}}

Louis J. Reed, Chief
Stereoscopic Mapping Section
Photogrammetric Engineer

FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT

42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

43. Remarks:

---

Compiler \hspace{10cm} Supervisor

M 2623-17
Review Report T-9430 through T-9434
Topographic Maps
March 24, 1954

62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys. - None

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies. -

- USGS Alaska Map, Noatak 1:250,000 1951 edition
- USGS Alaska Map, De Long Mountains 1:250,000 1951 edition
- USGS Alaska Map, Point Hope 1:250,000 1951 edition

Comparison not feasible due to great difference in scale.

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Survey. - None

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts. -

9400 1:1,587,870 June 1950
9402 1:750,000 May 1950

Scale difference precludes a satisfactory comparison.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys. - These maps comply
with project instructions and are adequate as bases for hydro-
graphic surveys and the construction of nautical charts.

Reviewed by:

[Signature]
E. L. Collier

APPROVED

[Signature]
L. C. Lande
Chief, Review Branch
Div. of Photogrammetry

[Signature]
W. D. Seaman
Chief, Div. of Photogrammetry

[Signature]
[Signature]
Chief, Nautical Chart Branch
Division of Charts

Chief, Div. of Coastal Surveys