<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Survey</th>
<th>Topographic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field No.</td>
<td>Ph-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office No.</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCALITY**

- State: Louisiana
- General locality: Gulf Coast
- Locality: Rigolets

**CHIEF OF PARTY**

P.L. Bernstein, Chief of Field Party
I.R. Rubottom, Tampa Photo, Office

**DATE**

July 31, 1959
DATA RECORD

T-9789

Project No. (II): Ph-68(50)  Quadrangle Name (IV): Lake Borguis

Field Office (II): Gulfport, Miss.  Chief of Party: P. L. Bernstein
Photogrammetric Office (III): Tampa, Fla.  Officer-in-Charge: Ira R. Rubottom

Instructions dated (II) (III):
- Supplement 1: 14 August 1951
- Supplement 2: 15 October 1951
- Supplement 3: 15 February 1952
- Supplement 4: 10 March 1952

Copy filed in Division of Photogrammetry (IV)

Method of Compilation (III): Graphic

Manuscript Scale (III): 1:20,000  Stereoscopic Plotting Instrument Scale (III): Inapplicable

Scale Factor (III): None

Date received in Washington Office (IV): JAN 5 1954
Date reported to Nautical Chart Branch (IV): JAN 10 1955

Applied to Chart No.  Date:  Date registered (IV): 2/4/57

Publication Scale (IV):

Geographic Datum (III): N. A. 1927

Vertical Datum (III):
Mean sea level except as follows:
Elevations shown as (2d) refer to mean high water
Elevations shown as (2g) refer to sounding datum
i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water

Reference Station (III): PIKE 1931, 1952

Lat.: 30° 09' 58'' 985 (1816.3 m.)  Long.: 89° 41' 11'' 405 (386.5 m.)

Plane Coordinates (IV):

\[ Y = \]
\[ X = \]

Roman numerals indicate whether the item is to be entered by (II) Field Party, (III) Photogrammetric Office, or (IV) Washington Office.

When entering names of personnel on this record give the surname and initials, not initials only.
Areas contoured by various personnel
(Show name within area)
(II) (III)
DATA RECORD

Field inspection by (II): C. H. Baldwin  Date: March 1952

Planetary contouring by (II):  C. H. Baldwin  Date: March 1952
B. F. Lamont, Jr.

Completion Surveys by (II): G. Varmaoe  Date: Oct. 1956

Mean High Water Location (III) (State date and method of location): February 1952
Air Photo Compilation

Projection and Grids ruled by (IV): J. A. (W.O.)  Date: 11 April 1952

Projection and Grids checked by (IV): H. D. W. (W.O.)  Date: 11 April 1952

Control plotted by (III): I. I. Saperstein  Date: 10 October 1952

Control checked by (III): R. J. Pate  Date: 15 October 1952

Radial Plot or Stereoscopic Contour Extension by (III): M. M. Slavney  Date: 1 December 1952

Stereoscopic Instrument compilation (III): Planimetry  Date:

Contours  Inapplicable  Date:

Manuscript delineated by (III): R. Dossett  Date: August 1954

Photogrammetric Office Review by (III): J. A. Giles  Date: 8 Sept. 1954

Elevations on Manuscript checked by (III): J. A. Giles  Date: 8 Sept. 1954
PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
<th>Ratio of Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33508</td>
<td>April 21, 1951</td>
<td>0905</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33509</td>
<td></td>
<td>0904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33510</td>
<td></td>
<td>0903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33511</td>
<td></td>
<td>0823</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33481</td>
<td></td>
<td>0824</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33482</td>
<td></td>
<td>0825</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33483</td>
<td></td>
<td>0919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33513</td>
<td></td>
<td>0920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33514</td>
<td></td>
<td>0921</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33515</td>
<td></td>
<td>0811</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide (III)

From predicted tides

Reference Station: PENSACOLA
Subordinate Station: LONG POINT, LAKE BORONE

Washington Office Review by (IV): [Signature]

Final Drafting by (IV):

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Proof Edit by (IV):

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 55.9
Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 30
Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): None
Control Leveling - Miles (II): None
Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): 74 Recovered: 37 Identified: 29
Number of BMs searched for (II): 63 Recovered: 40 Identified: 22
Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): 16
Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III): 0

Remarks:

Ratio of Ranges Mean Range Spring Range
0.8 1.0 1.0

Date: Feb 3rd, 1959
THE FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

IS BOUND WITH T-9791
COMPILATION REPORT T-9789

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT.

Submitted with report to T-9791.

31. DELINEATION.

Compiled graphically.

The photographs were of reasonably good scale.

32. CONTROL.

Reference Photogrammetric Plot Report.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA.

None

34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE.

No difficulty was encountered with the contours.

The drainage consists principally of bayous and their tributaries. They have been delineated according to photographic interpretation.

35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS.

The major portion of the shoreline is apparent and has been delineated according to photographic interpretation.

All piers, catwalks and alongshore structures indicated by the field inspection, or observed photographically, have been delineated.

With reference to the catwalks shown, it was noted that the field inspector did not so label them. A member of the field party, however, is presently in this office and has corroborated the compiler's photographic interpretation of this feature.

36. OFFSHORE DETAILS.

None
37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS.**

Attention is called to the slight difference in the true bearing of the two range lines RIGOLETS and LAKE BORGNE.

The bearings as given by the Light List are as follows:

- **RIGOLETS** = 277° 42′
- **LAKE BORGNE** = 261°

An inverse computation gives the following:

- **RIGOLETS** = 278° 04′
- **LAKE BORGNE** = 261° 08′

One line, west RIGOLETS 1H 1917, was submitted by field inspection.

38. **CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS.**

Sixteen (16) Forms 524 are being submitted for recoverable topographic stations.

Nine (9) of these are being listed under Item 49 as an aid to the hydrographer.

39. **JUNCTIONS.**

A satisfactory junction has been made with T-9792 on the south and T-9790 on the east. There is no contemporary survey to the west or north.
40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY.

No statement.

41. PUBLIC LAND LINES.

A study of "Quad Rigolets" (1935) indicated that section lines in the area south of RIGOLETS were sketched. No starting point was recovered by the field inspection party for application of the General Land Office plotted plat to the manuscript. Application has been left to the discretion of the Washington Office.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS.

A comparison has been made with Planimetric Map T-5312, compiled from aerial photographs dated November 28, 1932, scale 1:20,000 and Topographic Quadrangle "RIGOLETS, LA", scale 1:31,680, compiled by U. S. G. S. in 1935. The most outstanding differences noted were the "INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY RIGOLETS - NEW ORLEANS CUT and dredged canals on the north and south sides of RIGOLETS at the FORT PIKE bridge.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS.

A comparison has been made with USC&GS Nautical Chart No. 1268, scale 1:80,000, published September 1940, and bearing a print date of 4/3/53. No discrepancies were noted.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY.

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD.

None.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED

Rudolph Dossett
Carto Photo Aid

Ira R. Rubottom, Chief of Party
48. GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST.

APPLE PIE RIDGE

BAT JAUNE
BAT JAUNE POINT
BATOU BAT JAUNE
BATOU EUGENE

LITTLE RIGOLETS (Referred to as Blind Rigolets in Project Names Report. Old name is approved since it is used in Court files. See map for decision.)

CATFISH POINT
CHALON BAYOU
CORRIDOR BAYOU
COUNTERFEIT PASS

CURTIS DITCH (OMIT)

DEER ISLAND
DEERIE BAYOU (shown on T-9762, to S.)
DESSERT ISLAND
DOUBLE BAYOU LACOON

EAST DOUBLE BAYOU
EAST MIDDLE RIVER
EAST MOUTH
EAST PASS

FAWN BAYOU
FOSTER BAYOU
FORT PIKE
FORT PIKE BRIDGE
FOSTER BAYOU
FREDERICK BAYOU
FRITCHIE MARSH

GEOBEGAN CANAL
GEOSE POND
GOOSE POND BAYOU
GRAND COIN BAYOU
GRAND COIN POCKET
GRAVES DITCH
GRAND COP POINT

HOG ISLAND
HONEY ISLAND
HOSPITAL PASS

*Feature not mapped because the dredging of the Intercoastal Waterway is thought to have destroyed much of it.
GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST (CONTINUED)

INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ROGOLETS - NEW ORLEANS CUT

JOHNSON ISLAND
JOHNSON PASS

LAKE BORINE
LAKE PONCHARTRAIN
LAKE ST CATHERINE
LITTLE DEEDIE LAKE
LITTLE LAGOON
LILY LAGOON
LOUISIANA
LOUISVILLE AND NASHVILLE RAILROAD
LOWER BLACK BAYOU

MIDDLE RIVER
MILL BAYOU

ORLEANS PARISH

PEARL RIVER
PEARLINGTON BAYOU
PERDIDO BAYOU
POITEVANTS DITCH
PREVOST ISLAND

RABBIT ISLAND
RICHARDSON BAYOU
ROGOLETS (PASS)
ROGOLETS (TOWN)

SALT BAYOU
SAWNMILL PASS
SEVEN LAGOONS
SOES LAGOON
STATE HIGHWAY 2
STUMP BAYOU
STUMP LAGOON
ST CATHERINE PASS
ST TAMMANY PARISH

TROUT BAYOU
TOUMER MILL POND

UPPER BLACK BAYOU
U.S. HIGHWAY 90
U.S. Highway 190

(official form is St. Tammany)
48. GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST (CONTINUED)

WEEMS ISLAND
WEST DOBLE BAYOU
WEST MIDDLE RIVER
WEST MOUTH
WEST PEARL RIVER

Names approved
1-17-55. L. Heck.
49. **NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER.**

The following is a list of topographic stations that may be useful to the hydrographer:

- BANE 1952
- PONT 1952
- ALLY 1952
- DEAL 1952
- BODY 1952
- ACMB 1952
- DENY 1952
- DOCK 1952
- BOLT 1952
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>LOUISIANA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARTING NAME</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEACON</td>
<td>(PORT PINE AERO BEACON, 1952)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be approved by the Survey. They should be considered for revision at the time and occasion.

---

[Signature]

E. Dossett

Ira R. Rubottom

Chief of Party.
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by

**N. Doenett**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>LOUISIANA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARTING NAME</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST RIGOLETS</td>
<td>Abandoned Lighthouse 90 35 (317) (WEST RIGOLETS LIGHTHOUSE,1927)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>DATUM</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY NO.</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>MANNER CHART</th>
<th>OFFSHORE CHART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 10 25 869 6</td>
<td>69 45 947 7</td>
<td>H.A. 1927</td>
<td>Triang. 1952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the chart of the area and may be...
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by

R. Bossett

LA. Babottam
Chief of Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>LOUISIANA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARTING NAME</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH SHORE CHANNEL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT 2</td>
<td>RIOOLES ENTRANCE, red box on dolphin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT</td>
<td>RANGE REAR, daymark on black square pyramidal structure, slotted on range face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT</td>
<td>RANGE FRONT, daymark on white post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT</td>
<td>RANGE REAR, daymark on white post</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not for

---
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

T-9789

1. Projection and grids J.G.  
2. Title J.G.  
3. Manuscript numbers J.G.  
4. Manuscript size J.G.  

CONTROL STATIONS

5. Horizontal control stations of third-order or higher accuracy M.M.S.  
6. Recoverable horizontal stations of less than third-order accuracy (topographic stations) J.G.  
7. Photo hydro stations XX  
8. Bench marks J.G.  
9. Plotting of sextant fixes XX  
10. Photogrammetric plot report J.G.  
11. Detail points J.G.  

ALONGSHORE AREAS

[Nautical Chart Data]

12. Shoreline J.G.  
13. Low-water line J.G.  
14. Rocks, shoals, etc. XX  
15. Bridges J.G.  
16. Aids to navigation J.G.  
17. Landmarks J.G.  
18. Other alongshore physical features J.G.  
19. Other alongshore cultural features J.G.  

PHYSICAL FEATURES

20. Water features J.G.  
21. Natural ground cover J.G.  
22. Planetary contours J.G.  
23. Stereoscopic instrument contours XX  
24. Contours in general J.G.  
25. Spot elevations J.G.  
26. Other physical features J.G.  

CULTURAL FEATURES

27. Roads J.G.  
28. Buildings J.G.  
29. Railroads J.G.  
30. Other cultural features J.G.  

BOUNDARIES

31. Boundary lines J.G.  
32. Public land lines J.G.  

MISCELLANEOUS

33. Geographic names J.G.  
34. Junctions J.G.  
35. Legibility of the manuscript J.G.  
36. Discrepancy overlay J.G.  
37. Descriptive Report J.G.  
38. Field inspection photographs J.G.  
39. Forms J.G.  

40. Jesse A. Giles  
   William A. Ross
   [Reviewer]  
   [Supervisor, Review Section or Unit]

41. Remarks (see attached sheet)

FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT

42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

Compiler  
Supervisor

43. Remarks:
### Tide Computation

**Project No. Ph. 6867 T. 976 Y**

**Time and date of exposure:** 0820, 24 April 1957

**Reference station:** Pensacola, Fla

**Date of field inspection:** Feb 1957

**Subordinate station:** Long Point, Lake Borgne, La

**Diurnal Mean range:** 1.0

**Ratio of ranges:** 0.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Height x Ratio of ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High tide</td>
<td>11:58</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low tide</td>
<td>22:34</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of rise or fall</td>
<td>10:36</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>High tide at Ref. Sta.</th>
<th>Time difference</th>
<th>Corrected time at Subordinate station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:58</td>
<td>+1 35</td>
<td>13:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low tide at Ref. Sta.</td>
<td>22:34</td>
<td>+1 35</td>
<td>24:09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Ht. H. T. or L. T.</th>
<th>Tabular correction</th>
<th>Stage of tide above MLW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:58</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Feature bares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22:34</td>
<td>0.3, 0.8</td>
<td>Stage of tide above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feature above MLW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Computed by:** [Signature]

**Checked by:** [Signature]
Field Edit Report
Quad. T-9789

51. Methods. All roads were ridden out to check their classification and to visually check the planimetry and contours. The shoreline was inspected from a boat. The village of Rigolets was inspected by landing nearby in a boat and walking along the railroad.

Standard plane-table methods were used to test the accuracy of the contours.

Section line crossings along highway La. 2 were identified on the photographs by measurements along the road from identifiable topographic features.

Many features were identified on the photographs and cross referenced on the field edit sheet.

Field edit information is to be found on the following: Two Field Edit Sheets; the discrepancy print, the section line discrepancy print and one ratio print each of photographs nos. 55W-1589, 1590, 1591, 1592, 1598, 1599 and 1601.

Violet ink was used for all additions and corrections on the field edit sheets and the photographs. A legend appears on each field edit sheet.

52. Adequacy of the Compilation. After the application of the field edit information the compilation will be adequate and complete.

53. Map Accuracy. No horizontal accuracy test was made. Contours appear only in a small portion of the sheet. Twelve points on the contours were tested and all points were found to be in error less than one half the contour interval.

54. Recommendations. None offered.

55. Examination of the Proof Copy. Mr. Homer Fritchie, a local surveyor for approximately 30 years has agreed to examine a proof copy of the map. Mr. Fritchie’s address is Slidell, La.

No discrepancies in Geographic Names were noted.

Respectfully submitted,
31 Oct. 1956

George E. Varnadoe
Photo Engr
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SHEET</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>BRIDGE BOOK</th>
<th>FIELD</th>
<th>BRIDGE BOOK</th>
<th>FIELD</th>
<th>VERTICAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L &amp; N R.R.</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>153.75 Ft. Left</td>
<td>172 Ft. E.Drw</td>
<td>11.9 Ft.</td>
<td>12 Ft.</td>
<td>MHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FORT PIKE BR.</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>152 Ft. L &amp; R</td>
<td>146 Ft.L. 150 Ft.R.</td>
<td>14.6 Ft.</td>
<td>15 Ft.</td>
<td>MHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST PEARL RIVER</td>
<td>U. S. 90</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
<td>Vert. Lift</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94 Ft.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(12 Ft. ) (40 Ft.(Open))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U. S. 90</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
<td>Chick Pl Cable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96 Ft.</td>
<td>MHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST MIDDLE RIVER</td>
<td>U. S. 90</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77 Ft.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9 Ft.</td>
<td>MHW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDLE RIVER</td>
<td>U. S. 90</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77 Ft.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9 Ft.</td>
<td>MHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST MIDDLE RIVER</td>
<td>U. S. 90</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77 Ft.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10 Ft.</td>
<td>MHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITTLE RIGOLETS</td>
<td>L &amp; N R.R.</td>
<td>T-9789</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12 Ft.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 Ft.</td>
<td>MHW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>774</td>
<td>1859</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>773</td>
<td>1858</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5312</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of the above surveys are superseded by T-9789.

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies

Rigolets 1:31,680 Field Edited in 1935

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys

None

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts


The chart was revised using the advance manuscript T-9789 prior to field edit and final review.

Some small changes were made after the edit and the Chart Branch was informed at the time of review. Notations were made on the standard.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys

This manuscript complies with all instructions.

Land lines in the area south of Rigolets were taken directly from USGS Rigolets 1:31,680, 1935. No corners could be found in this area by the field editor. A notation to this effect has been made on the GS overlay.

Elevations on all but a few triangulation stations established by the Louisiana Geodetic Survey were deleted from the manuscript. Elevations on all of these stations are listed in the USE Publication "Rigolets Quad. La.". Only a few listed the USCGS as adjusting the elevation. These were shown on the manuscript. A check with the Geodesy Division revealed no knowledge of the accuracy of the remainder.
One vertical accuracy test was made by the field editor. All contours tested were within accuracy standards.

No horizontal accuracy check was made. The Photogrammetric Plot Reports states the radial plot was very good and control plentiful.

This manuscript complies with the National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Reviewed by

A. K. Haywood

Approved by

K. Lanier
Chief, Review and Drafting Section
Photogrammetry Division

T. S. Swanson
Chief, Photogrammetry Division
13 July 59

Chief, Nautical Chart Branch, Charts Division

Chief, Coastal Surveys Division
NAUTICAL CHARTS BRANCH

SURVEY NO. T-9789

Record of Application to Charts

Cht 878-Part Applied Dec 12 1955 PHA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CHART</th>
<th>CARTOGRAPHER</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.