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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34979 thru 81</td>
<td>2/14/52</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>4.2' above MLW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35004</td>
<td></td>
<td>1004</td>
<td></td>
<td>inside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-3664 thru W-3669</td>
<td>10/19/56</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td></td>
<td>interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-3725 thru W-3732</td>
<td></td>
<td>0915</td>
<td></td>
<td>interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-3816 thru W-3823</td>
<td></td>
<td>0954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 above MLW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tide (III)
From Predicted Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of Ranges</th>
<th>Mean Range</th>
<th>Spring Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference Station: Mayport, Florida
Subordinate Station: St. Augustine Inlet

Washington Office Review by (IV): S.G. Blankenbaker
Date: OCT. 23, 1958

Final Drafting by (IV): Mary E. Taylor
Date: MAY 8, 1959

Drafting verified for reproduction by (IV):

Proof Edit by (IV):

Land Area (Sq. Statute Miles) (III): 35
Shoreline (More than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 9
Shoreline (Less than 200 meters to opposite shore) (III): 17
Control Leveling - Miles (II): 65.7
Number of Triangulation Stations searched for (II): 15 Recovered: 5 Identified: 5
Number of BMs searched for (II): 1 Recovered: 1 Identified: 1
Number of Recoverable Photo Stations established (III): 3 (AZ·MKS)
Number of Temporary Photo Hydro Stations established (III):

Remarks:
SUMMARY to ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-9908

Topographic map T-9908 is one of twelve similar maps in Project PH-82. The project covers the St. Augustine-Daytona Beach area of the Florida coast extending from latitude 29° to latitude 30°. Map T-9908 is in the middle of the project in the Beverly Beach area.

This is a graphic compilation project. Field work in advance of compilation included complete field inspection and complete planetable contouring. A vertical accuracy test was run in this quadrangle. (Page 9 of Field Inspection Report)

The map was compiled at 1:20,000 scale. Nine-lens photographs taken in 1952 were used in field and office work. "M" camera photographs taken in October 1956 were used in field edit work (April 1957) and in the application of field edit data. The map was corrected to the date of the new photography.

The map will be published by the Geological Survey at 1:24,000 scale. Items registered under T-9908 will be a Descriptive Report, a positive impression on coroner of the scribed copy of the manuscript and a lithographic print of the Geological Survey quadrangle.
FIELD INSPECTION REPORT
Quadrangle T-9908
Project Ph-82(51)

The phases listed below are in addition to those shown on Pages 2 and 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Title</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henry R. Spies,</td>
<td>Shoreline Inspection</td>
<td>May 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carto. Surv. Aid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John S. Winter,</td>
<td>Vertical Control</td>
<td>April 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carto. Surv. Aid</td>
<td>Horizontal Control</td>
<td>April 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section Corners</td>
<td>May 1952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. AREAL FIELD INSPECTION

The quadrangle is sparsely inhabited with no towns or villages within its limits. Florida State Highway A1A and the Intracoastal Waterway are the principal means of traffic. The Old Kings Road and numerous sand roads serve the remaining sections. That portion, which lies between the Intracoastal Waterway and the Old Kings Road, is used as a State Game Refuge. This, like the one at Dinner Island, is owned by private individuals and can only be used by the State as long as it has the owner's permission. The portion west of the Old Kings Road is owned by Rayonier Incorporated. This property is under the jurisdiction of their Fernandina, Florida branch office. Their property is fenced and can be entered by obtaining keys at the Fire Lookout Tower.

The Lehigh Cement Company has recently constructed a plant near the southern limits of this quadrangle. The Field Editor's attention is invited to this area as numerous changes will occur by the time of the Field Edit.

Other construction noted was near the intersection of the St. Joe Canal and the Intracoastal Waterway. Truck farmers were constructing new ditches and clearing a part of the swamp and marsh land. This area should also be thoroughly checked during the Field Edit.
The chief industries of the area are cattle-raising, pulpwood-cutting and truck-farming.

The field inspection was accomplished in accordance with instructions for planimetric maps on the control set of photographs (1952). During the course of contouring in 1954, numerous changes were noted and made on the contour photographs.

The photographs were easily interpreted. Some scale factor was encountered and the necessary adjustments were made.

3. **HORIZONTAL CONTROL**

(a) No supplemental control was established.

(c) There were no stations recovered within the limits of this quadrangle, which were established by other agencies.

(d) Inapplicable.

(e) A search was made for all known control points. Stations reported as "destroyed", "lost" or "not recovered" are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIKES PRAIRIE</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMPBELL</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEADON</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELEON</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELORES</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESOLAS</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESOTO</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAILOVER</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERNANDEZ</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEONARDO</td>
<td>1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALA COMPA</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **VERTICAL CONTROL**

(a) Only one bench mark exists within the limits of the quadrangle, namely, CB-41 (Fla. Geod. S.), third order.

(b) Sixty-six miles of fly-levels were run with a Wild semi-precise level, beginning and closing on bench marks of third-order or higher accuracy, or on previously established level points. The greatest error of closure was 0.41 foot. The line was adjusted.

(c) The first and last fly-level points are 08-1 and 08-61. The first four points are found in the level book of quadrangle T-9906. Level points 08-5 to 08-12 are in the level book of quadrangle T-9907.
5. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE

The contouring was accomplished by standard planerable methods on 1:20,000 scale nine-lens photographs, at an interval of five feet.

The beach section of the quadrangle is composed of numerous small sand dunes. These dunes attain a height of about twenty-five feet. There is little shifting of the dunes as a growth of scrub is found on most of them. The western portion of the area is generally flat. The highest elevations are about thirty feet.

The field editor’s attention is invited to a large area in and around the Lehigh Cement Plant. All contours may have to be revised during the field edit. Considerable excavation and clearing has taken place since the original contouring.

Drainage is toward the Intracoastal Waterway. Many of the swamps have no definite drainage. The St. Joe Canal, which runs from the Intracoastal Waterway to the Florida East Coast Railway, has been excavated recently and has considerably improved the drainage.

The drainage and swamp limits were delineated in accordance with instructions stated in the Director's letter, dated 11 August 1952.

A vertical accuracy test in this quadrangle is indicated in red on Photograph No. 34981-A. Two lines, each approximately one-half mile in length, were run. Twenty-eight points were tested. None of the points were in error as much as a half contour interval; 7% were in error two feet or less; 14% were in error one foot or less; and, 79% were correct as shown.

6. WOODLAND COVER

The cover was classified (1952) in accordance with instructions for planimetric maps. During the course of contouring in 1952, the swamp limits were revised on the contour prints, and numerous areas were outlined in purple.

The area between the Atlantic Ocean and the Intracoastal Waterway is predominantly scrub-oak and palmetto, with scattered palm trees in the low areas. The area immediately west of the
Intracoastal Waterway is low and heavily wooded with gum, maple, water oak, magnolia, cypress and willow. The western portion of the quadrangle is composed of slash pine with scrub-oak and palmetto undergrowth, interspersed with isolated swamps of various sizes.

7. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE FEATURES

The shoreline of the entire project was inspected by Mr. Henry R. Spies, Cartographic Survey Aid. See Special Report submitted in November 1952, a copy of which is filed in the Field Inspection Report of Quadrangle T-9911. One copy filed in the Project Completion Report.

8. OFFSHORE FEATURES

No offshore features were noted. For the accuracy of the location of the low-water line, see Heading No. 7 above.

9. LANDMARKS AND AIDS

For the nautical landmarks and aids, see Special Shoreline Report mentioned in Heading No. 7 above. The Field Editor's attention is invited to a new stack being constructed at the Lehigh Cement Plant. The plant is near the northern limit of Quadrangle T-9909.

10. BOUNDARIES, MONUMENTS AND LINES

A Special Report on Boundaries was submitted in April 1953.

A large number of section and grant corners were recovered and identified on the control set of photographs. Form M-2226-12 is submitted for sixteen of these corners.

11. OTHER CONTROL

No topographic or photo-hydro stations were established.
12. OTHER INTERIOR FEATURES

Roads, buildings and structures were classified.

There are no bridges, cables or airfields within the quadrangle.

13. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

This will be the subject of a special report, which will be submitted at a later date.

14. SPECIAL REPORTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Forwarded</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report On Boundaries for Project Ph-82,</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>4/17/53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dated March 1953</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Pilot Notes</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>1/26/53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoreline Report</td>
<td>Officer in Charge</td>
<td>1/26/53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records, descriptions of stations,</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/11/53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 June 1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph K. Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cartographer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16 June 1954
Approved and Forwarded:

J. E. Waugh

J. E. Waugh

CDR; USCG

Chief of Party
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX)</th>
<th>LATITUDE OR y-COORDINATE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE OR x-COORDINATE</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET, OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>N.A. 1927 - DATUM DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS</th>
<th>FACTOR DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-3040 p. 142</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42.047</td>
<td>1294.6 (1552.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. O. 1934</td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>58.484</td>
<td>1574.3 (190.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comp from REBAUT p. 147</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52.197</td>
<td>1259.2 (1587.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>38.922</td>
<td>1601.9 (13.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1607.1 (210.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1047.9 (567.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-3040 p. 142</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49.485</td>
<td>1246.4 (601.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58.102</td>
<td>1950.9 (664.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-3040 p. 142</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.531</td>
<td>1523.6 (323.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>57.162</td>
<td>1563.5 (51.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1486.8 (360.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03.8 (1610.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1599.3 (76.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>816.9 (1030.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1599.3 (76.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-3040 p. 143</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.531</td>
<td>841.8 (1005.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>57.162</td>
<td>1564.2 (51.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1219.9 (627.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>951 (1520.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71.0 (1544.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 FT. = 0.3048006 METER

The Photogrammetric Plot Report is part of the descriptive report for survey T-9943.

31. Delineation
   This manuscript was delineated by graphic methods.

32. Control
   The density and placement of horizontal control was adequate.

33. Supplemental Data
   The final names standard dated 8/9/54 on the Matanzas quadrangle was used for geographic names.
   Copies of the following plate were used for the delineation of the public land lines:
   
   T-10 S, R 30 E (pages 38 and 39.)
   T-10 S, R 31 E (page 42.)
   T-11 S, R 30 E (page 40.)
   T-11 S, R 31 E (pages 43 and 44.)

34. Contours and Drainage
   No comment.

35. Shoreline and Alongshore Details
   The shoreline inspection was adequate. The low water line is based on data furnished by the field party.

36. Offshore Details
   None.

37. Landmarks and Aids
   Forms 567 were submitted by the field party for one nonfloating aid to navigation to be charted and seven to be deleted.
38. **CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS**

Forms 524 are being submitted for three azimuth marks identified by the field party.

39. **JUNCTIONS**

Junctions have been made with surveys T-9907 to the north, T-9970 to the west and T-9909 to the south.

40. **HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY**

No comment.

41. **PUBLIC LAND LINES**

All section and grant lines have been delineated on this manuscript by the following method: Copies of latest plats of the townships were made on vinylite at a scale of 1:20,000. These plats were then oriented over the manuscript holding the identified section corners, Grant Corners, and sections of survey lines. Much adjustment was necessary along township lines, especially where the surveys were of different dates. Where the section lines crossed water and marsh areas, the distances between section corners were not recorded on the plats. These distances were assumed to be the theoretical distances (60 chains) when the plats were made, but considerable adjustments were necessary when using them to establish land lines.

46. **COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS**

Comparison was made with the A.M.S. Matanzas quadrangle, scale 1:50,000, edition of 1948.

47. **COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS**

Chart 843, scale 1:40,000 published January 1952, corrected to 9/8/56.

Items to be applied to nautical charts immediately: None.

Items to be carried forward: None.

Respectfully submitted
17 October 1956

Judson Y. Council
Carto. Photo. Aid

Approved and forwarded

**William F. Deane**

Comdr. C&GS
Baltimore District Officer
T-9908

Geographic Names.

Atlantic Ocean

Big Mulberry Branch
Bon Terra

Flagler County
Florida

Fox Cut
Graham Swamp
Intracoastal Waterway

Little Mulberry Branch
Long Creek
Matanzas River
Old Kings Road

St. Joe Canal
St. Joe Road
Silver Lake

Roads:

AIA
201 (see above) out - see Field Edit Report

Names approved 3-3-57

L. Heck
FIELD EDIT REPORT
Project 24170(6082)
Quadrangle T-9908

The field edit of this quadrangle was accomplished during the month of April, 1957.

51. METHODS

The inspection of the quadrangle was accomplished by traversing all roads by truck, walking to other areas which required special attention, and by skiff along the waterways. Instructions were followed in accordance with letter to Baltimore District Office, dated 9 November 1956, 731-mkl. Standard surveying methods were used for other corrections and additions.

All additions, corrections and deletions have either been indicated on the field edit sheet, referenced to the field photographs or answered directly on the discrepancy print. A legend, describing the colored inks used, is shown on the field edit sheet. Purple ink was used for additional information on the photographs. Some of the original field inspection was done in purple ink, but since all of the field edit corrections are shown on the 1956 photographs, it was felt that the compiler would have no difficulty in differentiating between the original field inspection and the field edit surveys.

One 1:20,000 scale print is submitted as a field edit sheet.

Seventeen photographs, on which field edit information has been shown, are listed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>56-W-3664</th>
<th>56-W-3726</th>
<th>56-W-3816</th>
<th>56-W-3959</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3665</td>
<td>3726</td>
<td>3816</td>
<td>3959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3666</td>
<td>3728</td>
<td>3817</td>
<td>3960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3668</td>
<td>3729</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>3961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3821</td>
<td>3962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3822</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3823</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

52. ADEQUACY OF COMPILATION

The compilation was adequate with the few exceptions and additions that are indicated by the field edit data.

The quadrangle, as a whole, has changed very little since the original field inspection. The property, owned by the Lehigh Portland Cement Company near the southern limits of the quadrangle, is changing from day to day. It is in a most active status and will in all probability continue to be for many years.

The road along the St. Joe Canal has been previously
shown as route 201. This road is not regarded as a state road
and should not be assigned a route number.

All roads, which are owned by the paper company of
Rayonier Incorporated, are sand graded roads. The property is
fenced and the gates are locked. These roads have been
classified as private.

One new town has been incorporated since the original
field inspection; namely BEVERLY BEACH. A legal description is
submitted with the field edit data. The limits are delineated
on photograph 56-W-3816. No monuments were found, but two
points on line were recovered. The description is included
in the Boundary Report.

All fixed aids to navigation were re-located during the
field edit. These aids were identified on the photographs by
the direct method with the exception of Matanzas River Daybeacon
108, which was located by angle and distance. Form 567 is
submitted for all aids to navigation within the limits of this
sheet.

Form 526 is submitted for two triangulation stations;
WEAVER, 1934 and CANAL, 1934. Two new reference marks were
set at CANAL, 1934 since all of the old reference marks
including the azimuth mark had been destroyed. The azimuth mark
at WEAVER, 1934 should be shown on the sheet. The geodetic
azimuth is 339°04'30.8" and the distance is 2244.0 feet.

Four grant corners were identified on the photographs.
Form M-2226-12 is submitted for each.

The classification of woodland was changed in several
areas. The 1956 photographs show these features much clearer,
as a whole, than the nine-lens photographs. The areas, shown
as scrub during the original field inspection, have been
classified as "M". Many of the sections, which appear as Open,
have been re-forested.

53. MAP ACCURACY

The horizontal positions of the map detail appear to be
good. No standard vertical accuracy test was requested and none
was made.

The contours were visually checked and were found to
adequately depict the terrain. Since the original contouring,
spoil banks have been made along the Intracoastal Waterway and
along the beach section some new construction is in progress.
These man-made changes have been re-contoured and shown on the
photographs.
The contours at the Lehigh Cement Company have not been altered. Since this area is most active and changing from day to day, it was felt that the present contours adequately express the terrain.

54. RECOMMENDATIONS

None

55. EXAMINATION OF PROOF COPY

Mr. D.D. Moody, registered land surveyor of the State of Florida and a resident of the area for fifty years, has agreed to examine a proof copy of this quadrangle for possible errors. Mr. Moody's address is: 401 North Anderson Street, Bunnell, Florida.

All geographic names were verified as shown on the advance print of the manuscript, with the exception of route 201 mentioned under heading 52 of this report. One new name, BEVERLY BEACH, is recommended.

26 April 1957
Submitted by:

[Signature]
Joseph K. Wilson
Cartographer

Ira R. Rubottom
CDR, USC&GS
Chief of Party
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

T-9908


ALONGSHORE AREAS

(Nautical Chart Data)


PHYSICAL FEATURES


CULTURAL FEATURES


BOUNDARIES


MISCELLANEOUS


40. [Signature]

Reviewer

[Signature]

Supervisor, Review Section of Unit

41. Remarks (see attached sheet)

FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT

42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.

J. Honick
Compiler

F. Tarca
Supervisor

43. Remarks:
REVIEW REPORT T-9908
TOPOGRAPHIC
OCTOBER 1958

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

1268   1:20,000   1872
4037   1:20,000   1923
4066   1:20,000   1924

T-9908 supersedes these prior surveys for nautical charting purposes in common areas.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

Mantanzas, Florida (AMS) 1:50,000 1946

The quadrangle was copied from USGS Mantanzas quadrangle (1937). Planimetry was revised from 1942 and 1943 photographs. The map is outdated.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

None

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

843    1:40,000   1942 revised 11/25/57
1244   1:80,000   1930 revised 4/14/58

Sections of the Intracoastal Waterway and other planimetric details are not shown in their correct geographic position on chart 843. Shoreline and planimetric changes and new positions of some aids to navigation furnished by this survey have not been applied to the chart.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

This map complies with the National Standards of Map Accuracy and Bureau requirements.

* See pages 25, 26 & 27 concerning Silver Lake Daybn. No. 5
Reviewed by:

S. G. Blankenbaker

Approved by:

E. C. Landis
Chief, Review & Drafting Section
Photogrammetry Division

W. Swanson
Chief, Photogrammetry Division

May 9, 1959

W. Swanson
Chief, Coastal Surveys Div.

W. Swanson
Chief, Nautical Charts Branch
Charts Division
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>FLORIDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTING NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SIGNAL NAME</th>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAYBEACON 18</td>
<td>Matanzas River Daybeacon</td>
<td>29 37.3</td>
<td>81 12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAYBEACON 21</td>
<td>Fox Cut Daybeacon</td>
<td>29 33.7</td>
<td>81 10.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT 23</td>
<td>Fox Cut Light</td>
<td>29 31.8</td>
<td>81 09.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAYBEACON 25</td>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon</td>
<td>29 31.5</td>
<td>81 09.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAYBEACON 27</td>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon</td>
<td>29 31.0</td>
<td>81 09.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAYBEACON 29</td>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon</td>
<td>29 30.6</td>
<td>81 08.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAYBEACON 31</td>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon</td>
<td>29 30.2</td>
<td>81 08.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be considered for charts.

The positions given have been checked after listing by Henry R. Spies.

Paul Taylor
Chief of Party.

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by individual field survey sheets. Information under each column heading should be given.
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated. The positions given have been checked after listing by H. R. Rudolph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Florida</th>
<th>Charting Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Chart Number</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Datum</th>
<th>Method of Location and Survey</th>
<th>Date of Location</th>
<th>Harbor Chart</th>
<th>Inshore Chart</th>
<th>Offshore Chart</th>
<th>Charts Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIGHT 19</td>
<td>Matanzas River Light</td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td>29 37</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>81 12</td>
<td>28.02</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fox Cut Light</td>
<td>[855(57)]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29 31</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>81 09</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by

"\[\text{redacted}\]"
I recommend that the following objects which have been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be charted on the charts indicated.

The positions given have been checked after listing by

R. Glaser

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTING NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SIGNAL NAME</th>
<th>LATITUDE*</th>
<th>LONGITUDE*</th>
<th>METHOD OF LOCATION AND SURVEY NO.</th>
<th>DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>CHARTS AFFECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matansas River Daybeacon (108)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>31.89</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matansas River Light (109)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>28.17</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake, Fox Cut Daybeacon (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.19</td>
<td>58.48</td>
<td>Plot 9908</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake, Fox Cut Light (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.07</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54.81</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.35</td>
<td>29.08</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.50</td>
<td>53.06</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52.20</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Daybeacon (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>04.29</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. The data should be considered for the charts of the area and not by individual field survey sheets. Information under each column heading should be given.
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
P.O. Box 8574
Arlington Branch
Jacksonville, Florida

To: Chief, Division of Photogrammetry
Coast and Geodetic Survey
Washington 25, D.C.

Subject: Position of Daybeacon, Project Ph-82, T-9908
(Reference your letter 24th Oct. 1958, 75-rrj)

The subject daybeacon was visited in accordance with your letter. The field edit photo identification is confirmed. The charted position of 155 yards to the north is incorrect.

Joseph K. Wilson
Sub Unit Chief
Cost Center 723

cc: Chief of Party

Pages 25, 26 and 27 refer to the geographic position of Silver Lake Daybeacon No. 5. Daybeacon No. 5 is shown on T-9908 in the position indicated on form 567 (page 24). This position was verified (30 Oct. 1958)
From: Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District
To: Commandant (OAH)

Subj: Silver Lake Daybeacon 5 (LL page 690)

Ref: (a) COMDT (OAH) ltr 006/5 dated 30 Sept., 1958

1. Reference (a) which was addressed to the Fifth District was forwarded to this office on 2 October 1958.

2. Subject Daybeacon has not been rebuilt since it was relocated on 8 October, 1952. At the time that it was moved and renumbered, the former Daybeacon 25 was missing. According to the records of this office this daybeacon was placed about 500 feet 013° from the Corps of Engineers Monument DEN-149. This position is the same as the charted position of Daybeacon 5.

R. C. Burke
By direction
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CHART</th>
<th>CARTOGRAPHER</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/27/60</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>E. Thomas</td>
<td>Considered completely applied until receiv.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before After Verification and Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.