DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

THIS MAP EDITION WILL NOT BE FIELD EDITED
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Job No.       CM-8000

Map Classification
Class III Final

Type of Survey
Shoreline

LOCALITY

State
NEW YORK

General Locality
LAKES ONTARIO

NIAGARA RIVER TO ROCHESTER

Locality
ROCHESTER

1980 TO 19

REGISTRY IN ARCHIVES

DATE

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1976-449-246*
# Descriptive Report - Data Record

**Photogrammetric Office**
Atlantic Marine Center
Coastal Mapping Division, Norfolk, VA

**Officer in Charge**
Max Ethridge

## Instructions Dated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Office</th>
<th>2. Field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerotriangulation</td>
<td>August 1, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment - Change No. 1</td>
<td>August 18, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilation</td>
<td>May 5, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memo (Registration Part I)</td>
<td>Dec. 9, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memo (Registration Parts II &amp; III)</td>
<td>May 13, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Preamarking</td>
<td>March 25, 1980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Datums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Horizontal:</th>
<th>2. Vertical:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1927 North American</td>
<td>International Great Lakes Datum (1955) Lake Ontario Low Water Datum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Map Projection

Transverse Mercator

## Scale

1:20,000

## History of Office Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control and Bridge Points</td>
<td>B. Thornton</td>
<td>Oct. 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereoscopic Instrument Compilation</td>
<td>P. L. Evans</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument: Wild B-8</td>
<td>R. Kravitz</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale: 1:20,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Delineation</td>
<td>P. L. Evans</td>
<td>May 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method: Smooth drafted</td>
<td>R. Kravitz</td>
<td>May 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale: 1:20,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Inspection Prior to Field Edit</td>
<td>P. L. Evans</td>
<td>May 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of Field Edit Data</td>
<td>R. Kravitz</td>
<td>May 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilation Section Review</td>
<td>R. Kravitz</td>
<td>May 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Forwarded to Photogrammetric Branch</td>
<td>L. O. Neterer, Jr.</td>
<td>Nov. 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Examined in Photogrammetric Branch</td>
<td>Robert Kelly (Signed)</td>
<td>Mar. 1983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*U.S. G.P.O. 1972-769382/582 REG. #6*
1. **Compilation Photography**

**Camera(s):**
Wild R.C. 10 "Z" (Z = 153.14 mm)

**Tide Stage Reference:**
See Remarks Below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number and Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Stage of Tide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80 Z(P) 6989-6991</td>
<td>June 5, 1980</td>
<td>11:18</td>
<td>1:50,000</td>
<td>*NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** The lake level at the time of photography was 246.01 feet or 3.2 feet above International Great Lakes Datum. Water levels were taken at Rochester, New York, gage on June 5, 1980.

2. **Source of Mean High-Water Line:**

Mean High-Water Line is not applicable. The shoreline was delineated from the above listed photography where the water interfaces with the land.

3. **Source of Mean Low-Water or Mean Lower Low-Water Line:**

Not applicable

4. **Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys** *(List only those surveys that are sources for photogrammetric survey information.)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Number</th>
<th>Date(s)</th>
<th>Survey Copy Used</th>
<th>Survey Number</th>
<th>Date(s)</th>
<th>Survey Copy Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5. **Final Junctions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Survey</td>
<td>TP-01058</td>
<td>No Survey</td>
<td>(1:10,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** This map has three 1:10,000 maps as insets within its boundary. TP-00900, TP-01066, and TP-01067
## HISTORY OF FIELD OPERATIONS

### 1. Field Inspection Operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPERATION</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chief of Field Party</td>
<td>R. Tibbetts</td>
<td>July 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Control</td>
<td>C. Middleton</td>
<td>July 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Control</td>
<td>C. Middleton</td>
<td>July 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks and Aids to Navigation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Source Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF INVESTIGATION</th>
<th>CLARIFICATION OF DETAILS BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Names Only</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Investigation</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Photo Numbers (Clarification of details)

None

### 4. Landmarks and Aids to Navigation

None

### 5. Geographic Names

None

### 6. Boundary and Limits

None

### 7. Supplemental Maps and Plans

None

### 8. Other Field Records (Sketch books, etc. DO NOT list data submitted to the Geodesy Division)

None
### I. MANUSCRIPT COPIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compilation Stages</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Marine Charts</th>
<th>Hydro Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compilation complete</td>
<td>May 5, 1982</td>
<td>Class III manuscript.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Review Class III</td>
<td>July 1982</td>
<td>Final Class III map</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No field edit performed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 1983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### II. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION

#### 1. REPORTS TO MARINE CHART DIVISION, NAUTICAL DATA BRANCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Chart Letter Number Assigned</th>
<th>Date Forwarded</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>March 1983</td>
<td>Landmark, for Charting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. FEDERAL RECORDS CENTER DATA

1. [ ] BRIDGING PHOTOGRAPHS; [X] DUPLICATE BRIDGING REPORT; [X] COMPUTER READOUTS.
2. [ ] CONTROL STATION IDENTIFICATION CARDS; [X] FORM NO. 26 SUBMITTED BY FIELD PARTIES.
3. [ ] SOURCE DATA (except for Geographic Names Report) AS LISTED IN SECTION II, NOAA FORM 76-36C. ACCOUNT FOR EXCEPTIONS:
4. [X] DATA TO FEDERAL RECORDS CENTER. DATE FORWARDED: April 1983

### IV. SURVEY EDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second Edition</th>
<th>Survey Number</th>
<th>Job Number</th>
<th>Type of Survey</th>
<th>Map Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Edition</td>
<td>Survey Number</td>
<td>Job Number</td>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Edition</td>
<td>Survey Number</td>
<td>Job Number</td>
<td></td>
<td>IV.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

TP-00506

This 1:20,000 scale shoreline map is one of four maps in Part III of three parts of project CM-8000, Lake Ontario, Niagara River to Rochester, New York. The project has a total of thirteen maps.

This project encompasses the southern lake shore from Niagara River longitude 79°05'00" east to Rochester longitude 77°30'00".

Correspondence from the Chief, Photogrammetry Division dated May 13, 1982, calls for all thirteen maps to be registered as Class III Maps.

Field work prior to compilation was accomplished in May 1980. It consisted of the identification of horizontal control by premarking methods to meet aerotriangulation requirements.

Photographic coverage was provided in June 1980 for aerotriangulation using panchromatic film with the "Z" camera at 1:30,000 scale. The same photography was used for compilation.

Analytic aerotriangulation was performed at the Washington Science Center in November 1980.

Compilation was performed at the Atlantic Marine Center from office interpretation of the 1980 photography in May, 1982.

Final review was performed at the Atlantic Marine Center in July, 1982. Cancellation of field edit requires this map to be registered as a final Class III map.

The original base map and all pertinent data were forwarded to the Washington Science Center for final registration.
FIELD REPORT

JOB CM-8000

1. GENERAL

This report covers the premarking and photoidentification of horizontal control points as prescribed by project instructions. Panel array no. 1 was used on all stations on which a panel could be used, however, several deviations to this array were made and are so indicated on applicable NOAA Forms 76-53, Control Station Identification Card.

Recovery of horizontal control stations was limited to those needed to meet aerotriangulation requirements. Recovery notes are included for each station for which a search was made.

2. HORIZONTAL CONTROL

The following control stations were premarked or are to be photoidentified on the photographs.

Control Point No. 1  FORT NIAGARA (LSC) 1972. Station is paneled direct with array no. 1 with no wings. Sub points 1A, 1B, 1C were established for photoidentification in the event that the panel is not visible. It should be noted that the plane coordinates of the station and sub points are from a provisional constrained adjustment and are not final P.C.'s.
Control Point No. 2 RANSOMVILLE, BELLS AIRCRAFT TEST CENTER TANK 1958. Sub point 2A paneled direct with array no. 1.

Control Point No. 3 (E.T.) CASS 1972. Sub point 3A paneled with a 2 winged deviation of array no. 1.

Control Point No. 4 ST. MARY 1972. Station paneled direct with array no. 1 with no wings.

Control Point No. 5 THIRTY 1972. Sub point 5A paneled with array no. 1.

Control Point No. 6 BRIGHTON (LSC) 1972. Sub point 6A paneled with array no. 1. Note that P.C.'s for this station are from a provisional constrained adjustment and are not final P.C.'s.

Control Point No. 6 extra LAKESIDE (LSC) 1972. Station paneled direct with array no. 1 with 2 wings. P.C.'s for this station are from a provisional constrained adjustment and are not final P.C.'s.

Control Point No. 7 HAMLIN 1939/1969. Reference mark no. 3 is paneled with a variation of array no. 1 as noted on appropriate NCAA Form 76-53.
Control Point No. 8  PAYNE 2 1969. Station paneled direct with array no. 1.

Control Point No. 9  GREECE 1939. Station paneled direct with array no. 1 with 2 wings.

Control Point No. 10  SENECA 2 1925 / SENECA 3 1942 / SENECA 3 RM 3 1942-1969. Sub points 10A, 10B, and 10C were established for photoidentification, no panel.

Control Point No. 11  MILE 1939. Station is paneled direct with a deviation of array no. 1 as is indicated on NOAA Form 76-53.

Control Point No. 12  Sweet 1939. Station is paneled direct with a variation of array no. 1 as is noted on NOAA Form 76-53.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED

[Signature]
Robert S. Tibbetts
Chief, Photo Party 62

SUBMITTED 7/9/80

[Signature]
Clifton S. Middleton Jr.
Surveying Technician
Photogrammetric Plot Report
Lake Ontario, New York
CM-8000
November 1980

21. Area Covered

The area covered by this report extends from Lake Ontario at Fort Niagara to Rochester, New York. The project area is covered by nine 1:20,000 scale sheets and four 1:10,000 scale sheets; TP-00498 to TP-00506 (1:20,000), TP-01065 to TP-10167 and TP-00900 (1:10,000).

22. Method

Four strips of 1:50,000 scale photography were bridged by analytic aerotriangulation methods. The strips of bridging photography were controlled by field identified control. Tie points were used to ensure an adequate junction of strips. Points for compilation were established on the 1:30,000 scale photography for the 1:10,000 scale sheets. The bridging photography will be used for the 1:20,000 scale sheets. Ratios of the compilation photography were determined and the ratios were ordered by this office.

The manuscripts were plotted by the Calcomp 718 plotter.

23. Adequacy of Control

Control checked well within map accuracy standards and is sufficient for its intended use.

24. Supplemental Data

USGS quadrangles were used to provide vertical control for the adjustment.

25. Photography

The coverage, overlap, and quality of the photography was adequate for the job.

Submitted by,
Brian Thornton

Approved and Forwarded:

Don O. Norman
Chief, Aerotriangulation Section
NIAGARA RIVER TO ROCHESTER
NEW YORK
31. **DELINEATION**

Delineation was by office interpretation of the 1:50,000 scale 1980 black and white photographs using the Wild B-8 stereoplotting instrument. The photography was adequate. Refer to 76-36B for a list of the photographs.

32. **CONTROL**

The horizontal control was adequate. Refer to the Photogrammetric Plot Report, dated November 1980.

33. **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

None

34. **CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE**

Contours are not applicable to this project. Drainage was compiled by office interpretation of the photographs.

35. **SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS**

The shoreline and alongshore details were compiled from office interpretation of the photographs.

36. **OFFSHORE DETAILS**

Offshore details were compiled from office interpretation of the photographs. No unusual problems were encountered.

37. **LANDMARKS AND AIDS**

Appropriate forms were submitted to the Rockville office.

38. **CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS**

None

39. **JUNCTIONS**

Refer to the Data Record Form 76-36B, Item 5 of the Descriptive Report.
40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY

See Item #32.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS

A comparison was made with U.S. Geological Quadrangles:
Braddock Heights, New York, dated 1971, photorevised 1978, scale 1:24,000
Rochester East, New York, dated 1971, photorevised 1978, scale 1:24,000
Rochester West, New York, dated 1971, photorevised 1978, scale 1:24,000

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

A comparison was made with Lake Ontariö chart No. 14804,
scale 1:80,000, 21st edition, dated 23 May 1981, and 14815, scale

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY

None

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD

None

Submitted by:

Paul L. Evans, Jr.
Cartographic Technician

Date: May 5, 1982

Approved:

James L. Byrd, Jr.
Chief, Coastal Mapping Section
REVIEW REPORT
SHORELINE
TP-00506

61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See Summary included with this report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

Not applicable

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

A comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Quadrangles: Braddock Heights, New York, Rochester East and Rochester West, New York; all three are dated 1971, photorevised 1978, scale 1:24,000.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

No contemporary hydrographic survey was conducted in the area pertaining to this final Class III map.

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS


66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

This map complies with project instructions and meets the requirements for National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Submitted by,
Lowell O. Neterer Jr.
Final Reviewer

Approved for forwarding,
Billy H. Barnes
Chief, Photogrammetric Branch, AMC

Approved,
Chief, Photogrammetric Branch, Rockville
Chief, Photogrammetry Division
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

CM-8000 (Lake Ontario-Niagara River to Rochester)

TP-00506

Buck Pond
Crescent Beach (Pp1)
Grand View Beach (Pp1)
Huckleberry Island
Island Cottage Beach (Pp1)
Lake Ontario
Lewis Point
Long Pond
O'Neil Point
Round Pond
Round Pond Creek

Approved by:

Charles E. Harrington
Chief Geographer, C3x5
CM-8000
Lake Ontario
Niagara River to Rochester, New York

MATERIAL ON FILE

NATIONAL ARCHIVES/FEDERAL RECORD CENTER

BROWN JACKET
   Field Notebook of Photo I.D. Control
   Ratio Photographs
PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT
BUREAU ARCHIVES
   Registered Copy of Each Map
   Descriptive Report of Each Map
REPRODUCTION DIVISION
   8x Reduction Negative of Each Map
OFFICE OF STAFF GEOGRAPHER
   Geographer Names Standard
**LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS**

The following objects **HAVE** been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTING NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LATITUDE</th>
<th>LONGITUDE</th>
<th>METHOD AND DATE OF LOCATION</th>
<th>CHARTS AFFECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPIRE</td>
<td></td>
<td>43 15</td>
<td>05 12 30 09</td>
<td>80 ZP 6990 6/5/80</td>
<td>14804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STACK</td>
<td></td>
<td>43 12:0</td>
<td>77 38 7</td>
<td>Beyond Photo Coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For other landmarks in this area, see TP-00900, TP-01066, and TP-01067: all at 1:10,000.
By photogrammetric methods:

1. Position field positions are determined by field observer.

**EXAMPLE:** 8-12-75

- Location and date of field work.
- Field position determined by method of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>74L(C)</th>
<th>9282</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>4 - Sear</td>
<td>3 - Intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>2 - Traverse</td>
<td>5 - Field Identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>1 - Triangulation</td>
<td>Visibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>6 - Visualize</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>7 - Photographable</td>
<td>Objects Identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>8 - Reason</td>
<td>2 - Visualize</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Identity and locate the object.
- Field identified and located objects.
- Field (cont'd)

Instructions for Entries Under Method and Date of Location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
<th>Type of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Contact Photogrammetric Information No. 54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality Control and Review Group</td>
<td>SLB, E. Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Activity Representative</td>
<td>Field Activity Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo Field Party</td>
<td>Field Activity Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Activity Representative</td>
<td>Office Activity Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Party</td>
<td>Field Activity Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto(56,94)</td>
<td>Field Activity Representative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Descriptive Report Control Record

**Map No.** TP-00506  
**Job No.** CM-8000  
**Geodetic Datum** NA 1927  
**Originating Activity** Coastal Mapping Division, Norfolk, VA

### Station Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Information (Index)</th>
<th>AEROTRIANGULATION POINT NUMBER</th>
<th>COORDINATES IN FEET</th>
<th>GEOGRAPHIC POSITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>State New York</td>
<td>Zone West</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X=</td>
<td>φ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=</td>
<td>λ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X=</td>
<td>φ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=</td>
<td>λ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X=</td>
<td>φ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=</td>
<td>λ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X=</td>
<td>φ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=</td>
<td>λ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X=</td>
<td>φ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=</td>
<td>λ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Computed By**  
**Listed By**  
**Hand Plotting By**  
**Date of Computation**  
**Date of Listing**  
**Date of Hand Plotting**

*Supersedes NOAA Form 76-41, 2-71 Edition Which Is Obsolete.*
INSTRUCTIONS
A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.
1. Letter all information.
2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.
3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHART</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CARTOGRAPHER</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via Drawing No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>